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#### Abstract

We generalized our earlier result (Nahatkar and Pund [6]) with regard to the motion of a simple pendulum in a plane.
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## 1 Introduction

In an attempt to explain the observed uniform velocities of galaxies, Professor Milgrom in 1983 [3-5] propounded an equation of motion which resulted in the postulation of a theory known as MOND (Modified Newtonian Dynamics). In the proposed theory Newton's second law of motion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{F}=m \boldsymbol{a} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is generalized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{F}=m \mu\left(\frac{a}{a_{0}}\right) \boldsymbol{a} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is an interpolation function given by

[^0]\[

\mu\left(\frac{a}{a_{0}}\right)=\left\{$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{a}{a_{0}} & a \ll a_{0} \\
1 & a \gg a_{0}
\end{array}
$$ .\right.
\]

For $a \gg a_{0}$, above equation (2) reduces to (1). The quantity $a_{0}$ is a constant having the dimensions of an acceleration $a$ and is evaluated as $a_{0}=1.2 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{sec}^{-2}$ (Milgrom and Bekenstein) [1-5].

Very recently adopting the form of kinetic energy proposed by Pankovic and Kapor [7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(v, a)=\frac{m v^{2}}{2} \frac{a}{a+a_{0}}=\frac{m v^{2}}{2} \frac{\dot{v}}{\dot{v}+a_{0}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we (Nahatkar and Pund [6]), have claimed that the Newtonian analogue can be restored in the study of a simple pendulum.

In view of this finding we intend to generalize the result to a system consisting of multiple pendulums i.e. a system of more than a single pendulum.

Sections-2 and 3 are devoted to the study of two-pendulums and three-pendulums respectively. In Section-4 this work is generalized to a system of n -pendulums.

## 2 System of Two-Pendulums in MOND

Consider a system of two-pendulums of masses $m_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $m_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ with the effective lengths $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$. For simplicity let, $x_{2}=k_{1} x_{1}$ and $y_{2}=k_{1} y_{1}$, where $k_{1}$ is positive constant. Then the kinetic energy of the system in Newtonian dynamics can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\left[m_{1}+m_{2} k_{1}^{2}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{1}$ be the angular displacement of the system of two-pendulums from the equilibrium position.Using equation (3), the MOND analogue of the above assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{2}\left[\frac{m_{1}}{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}+\frac{k_{1}^{3} m_{2}}{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Lagrangian $L$ of the system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{2}\left[\frac{m_{1}}{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}+\frac{k_{1}^{3} m_{2}}{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right]-V\left(\theta_{1}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $L=L\left(\theta_{1}, \dot{\theta}_{1}, \ddot{\theta}_{1}\right)$, it satisfies the generalized Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{1}}\right)-\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{\theta}_{1}}\right)=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{1}}\right)=\frac{m_{1} l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}+\frac{m_{2} k_{1}^{3} l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}+O_{1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{\theta}_{1}}\right)=\frac{m_{1} l_{1}^{3} a_{0} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{\left(l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}\right)^{2}}+\frac{m_{2} k_{1}^{3} l_{1}^{3} a_{0} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{\left(k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}\right)^{2}}+O_{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first two terms on the right sides of (8) and (9) constitute the leading terms, while $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$ represent non-leading terms which are not significant to our result. With these approximations, the Euler-Lagrange equation (7) yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m_{1} l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{\left(l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}\right)}\left[1-\frac{a_{0}}{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right]+\frac{m_{2} k_{1}^{3} l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{\left(k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}\right)}\left[1-\frac{a_{0}}{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right]=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Especially for $l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \gg a_{0}$ i.e. for Newtonian regime (10) turns out approximately to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{1}+k_{1}^{2} m_{2}\right) l_{1}^{2} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \approx-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \ll a_{0}$ i.e. for MOND, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{1}+k_{1}^{3} m_{2}\right) l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2} / a_{0} \approx-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the potential energy $V$ is arbitrary, scaling it to $\frac{V}{l}$ and restoring the symbol $V$ for the new scaled quantity $\frac{V}{l}$, equations (11) and (12) respectively become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{1}+k_{1}^{2} m_{2}\right) l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \approx-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{1}+k_{1}^{3} m_{2}\right) l_{1}^{2} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2} / a_{0} \approx-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The MOND inference (14) is obviously different from its Newtonian counterpart (13).

## 3 System of Three-Pendulums in MOND

Now consider pendulums of masses $m_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), m_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), m_{3}\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$ with the effective lengths $l_{1}, l_{2}$ and $l_{3}$. Assuming $x_{2}=k_{1} x_{1}$ and $y_{2}=k_{1} y_{1}, x_{3}=k_{2} x_{1}$ and $y_{3}=k_{2} y_{1}$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are positive constants, the kinetic energy of the system in Newtonian dynamics is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\left[m_{1}+m_{2} k_{1}^{2}+m_{3} k_{2}^{2}\right], \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{1}$ be the angular displacement of the total system of three-pendulums from the equilibrium position. Using equation (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{2}\left[\frac{m_{1}}{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}+\frac{k_{1}^{3} m_{2}}{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}+\frac{k_{2}^{3} m_{3}}{k_{2} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right] . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The MOND Lagrangian $L$ of the system has the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{2}\left[\frac{m_{1}}{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}+\frac{k_{1}^{3} m_{2}}{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}+\frac{k_{2}^{3} m_{3}}{k_{2} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right]-V\left(\theta_{1}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving Euler-Lagrange equation, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{m_{1} l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{\left(l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}\right)}\left[1-\frac{a_{0}}{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right]+\frac{m_{2} k_{1}^{3} l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{\left(k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}\right)}\left[1-\frac{a_{0}}{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right] \\
& +\frac{m_{3} k_{2}^{3} l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{\left(k_{2} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}\right)}\left[1-\frac{a_{0}}{k_{2} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right]=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It's Newtonian and MOND analogue are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(m_{1}+k_{1}^{2} m_{2}+k_{2}^{2} m_{3}\right) l_{1}^{2} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \approx-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}}  \tag{18}\\
& \left(m_{1}+k_{1}^{3} m_{2}+k_{2}^{3} m_{3}\right) l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2} / a_{0} \approx-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing a new potential $\frac{V}{l}$ instead of $V$, and restoring the same symbol $V$ for MOND potential, above (18) and (19) assume the forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{1}+k_{1}^{2} m_{2}+k_{2}^{2} m_{3}\right) l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \approx-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{1}+k_{1}^{3} m_{2}+k_{2}^{3} m_{3}\right) l_{1}^{2} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2} / a_{0} \approx-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It seems that both Newtonian and MOND outcome have same sort of symmetry as is viewed from the pair of equations $[(13),(20)]$ and $[(14),(21)]$. This enables us to rewrite the generalization to a system of $n$ pendulums in MOND and the same is summarized in the next section.

## 4 The System of $\mathbf{n}$-Pendulums in MOND

Continuing the process detailed in the previous sections, we can generalize the equations to a system of npendulums as follows:

Newtonian analogue:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{1}+k_{1}^{2} m_{2}+k_{2}^{2} m_{3}+k_{3}^{2} m_{4}+\ldots . .+k_{n-1}^{2} m_{n}\right) l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \approx-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

MOND analogue:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{1}+k_{1}^{3} m_{2}+k_{2}^{3} m_{3}+k_{3}^{3} m_{4}+\ldots \ldots . .+k_{n-1}^{3} m_{n}\right) l_{1}^{2} \ddot{\theta}_{1}^{2} / a_{0} \approx-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_{1}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pattern of the above equations is worth noting.

## 5 Conclusion

The Newtonian analogue and the MOND analogue are contained in the equations (22) and (23) respectively.

## Note

We can also get the same result by the method of mathematical induction.
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## APPENDIX

Derivation of (4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}^{2}=\dot{x}_{1}^{2}+\dot{y}_{1}^{2}, v_{2}^{2}=\dot{x}_{2}^{2}+\dot{y}_{2}^{2} . \\
& \text { As } x_{2}=k_{1} x_{1}, y_{2}=k_{1} y_{1} \Rightarrow v_{2}^{2}=k_{1}^{2} v_{1}^{2} \\
& \dot{v}_{2}=k_{1} \dot{v}_{1} \\
& v_{1}=l_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1} \Rightarrow \dot{v}_{1}=l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \\
& \dot{v}_{2}=k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \\
& T=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} v_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{2} v_{2}^{2} \\
& T=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{2} k_{1}^{2} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2} \\
& T=\frac{1}{2} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\left[m_{1}+m_{2} k_{1}^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Derivation of (5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} v_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{2} v_{2}^{2} \\
& T=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\left[\frac{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}}{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right]+\frac{1}{2} m_{2} k_{1}^{2} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\left[\frac{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}}{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right] \\
& T=\frac{l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{2}\left[\frac{m_{1}}{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}+\frac{k_{1}^{3} m_{2}}{k_{l_{1}} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Derivation of (15)

$$
v_{1}^{2}=\dot{x}_{1}^{2}+\dot{y}_{1}^{2}, v_{2}^{2}=\dot{x}_{2}^{2}+\dot{y}_{2}^{2}, v_{3}^{2}=\dot{x}_{3}^{2}+\dot{y}_{3}^{2}
$$

As $x_{2}=k_{1} x_{1}, y_{2}=k_{1} y_{1}$, and $x_{3}=k_{2} x_{1}, y_{3}=k_{2} y_{1}$,

$$
v_{2}^{2}=k_{1}^{2} v_{1}^{2}, v_{3}^{2}=k_{2}^{2} v_{1}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=l_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1} \Rightarrow \dot{v}_{1}=l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \text { and } v_{2}=k_{1} l_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1} \Rightarrow \dot{v}_{2}=k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \text { also } v_{3}=k_{2} l_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1} \Rightarrow \dot{v}_{3}=k_{2} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \\
& T=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} v_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{2} v_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{3} v_{3}^{2} \\
& T=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{2} k_{1}^{2} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{3} k_{2}^{2} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2} \\
& T=\frac{1}{2} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\left[m_{1}+m_{2} k_{1}^{2}+m_{3} k_{2}^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Derivation of (16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} v_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{2} v_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{3} v_{3}^{2} \\
& T=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\left[\frac{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}}{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right]+\frac{1}{2} m_{2} k_{1}^{2} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\left[\frac{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}}{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right]+\frac{1}{2} m_{3} k_{2}^{2} l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\left[\frac{k_{2} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}}{k_{2} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right] \\
& T=\frac{l_{1}^{3} \ddot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}}{2}\left[\frac{m_{1}}{l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}+\frac{k_{1}^{3} m_{2}}{k_{1} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}+\frac{k_{2}^{3} m_{3}}{k_{2} l_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+a_{0}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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