



Response of Biofertilizer and Biochar on Growth and Yield of Baby Corn

Yedoti Uma Maheswar ^{a*} and Umesha C. ^a

^a Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJSSPN/2024/v10i2258

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114441>

Original Research Article

Received: 22/01/2024
Accepted: 27/03/2024
Published: 01/04/2024

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was conducted at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, UP, during the *Zaid* season of 2023. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with ten treatment combinations which are replicated thrice. The experiment involves with the biofertilizers like azospirillum(10g and 20g/kg seed), azotobacter(10g and 20g/kg seed) along with biochar(0t/ha,10t/ha,40t/ha). Among the various treatment combinations in (treatment-9) with the application of Azospirillum 10g/kg seed along with Azotobacter 10 g/kg seed and Biochar 40 t/ha significantly recorded higher plant height (117.13 cm), plant dry weight (34.33 g), cobs/plant (1.87), cob length without husk (14.58 cm), cob weight without husk (12.09 g) and cob yield without husk (4.70 t/ha). Maximum gross returns (2,35,000.00 ₹/ha), were obtained in (treatment-9) whereas maximum net returns (1,27,001.00 ₹/ha) and higher benefit cost ratio (1.74) was obtained in (treatment-8) with application of Azospirillum 10g/kg seed along with Azotobacter 10g/kg seed and Biochar 10 t/ha.

Keywords: Baby corn; biochar; biofertilizers; growth; yield.

⁺⁺M.Sc. Scholar;

[#]Assistant professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: yedotiumamahesh@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize is the third most significant cereal crop close to rice and wheat in India. Baby corn is dehusked young cob of maize collected within 2-3 days of silk rise. Baby corn has high nutrients like vitamin B and of various supplements. Baby corn is a scrumptious, enhancing and nutritious vegetable, without cholesterol. It is low calorie vegetable which helps in weight the executives, it is likewise a low carb, high fiber, fat free vegetable, and has rich value of nutrients and minerals [1]. One baby corn can measure up to an 'egg' concerning minerals. Hundred gram of baby corn contained 89.1% moisture, 0.2 g fat, 1.9 g protein, 8.2 mg starch, 0.06 g ash, 28.0 mg calcium, 86.0 mg phosphorus, and 11.0 mg of ascorbic acid [2]. India is the fifth-biggest producer of corn on the planet, contributing 3% of worldwide creation across an area of around 9.18 million hectares with a development of 27.23 million tons and a typical efficiency of 2.96 t ha⁻¹. Uttar Pradesh contributes an area of around 0.73 M ha with a 7.98% to the whole nation of India, which has a development of around 1.53 M t. Because of expanding mindfulness about wellbeing combined with superior income the interest of baby corn is expanding in Indian market [3].

Biofertilizer is a characteristic information that can be applied as a supplement to, or as a substituent of synthetic manure in sustainable farming [4]. Bio composts are substances that contain live microorganisms that colonize the rhizosphere or within plants and invigorate plant development by expanding the stock of essential supplement accessibility and additionally animating objective plant development, when applied to seeds, plant surfaces, or soil [5]. Mycorrhizal advantageous interaction expands ingestion of certain components like phosphorus, nitrogen and micronutrients, further develops water take-up, produces chemicals, lessens harms brought about by ecological pressure, works on nature of soil total [6]. Bio-manures assume a significant part in the rising accessibility of nitrogen and phosphorus. Among biofertilizers Azospirillum is known to fix air nitrogen and expanded around 10-15 % grain yield in maize [7]. Azotobacter, a vigorous free-living soil microorganism broadly utilized as biofertilizer, converts climatic nitrogen and delivery it as ammonium particles into the soil. They are pervasive and richly tracked down in impartial to feebly acidic soils. The oxygen consuming microbes Azotobacter chroococcum

known to fix extensive amount of nitrogen in the scope of 20-40 kg of nitrogen for each hectare in the rhizosphere in non-leguminous harvests [8]. Azotobacter was the first and is the most widely recognized biofertilizer for certain plants, for example, maize, wheat, sorghum and rice which delivers some plant development advancing metabolites, catalysts and chemicals (auxin, cytokinin and gibberelin) as well as fixing air nitrogen. On a normal 20 and 22 kg of nitrogen/ha can be saved by applying of biofertilizers of baby corn seed prior to planting with azotobacter or azospirillum, individually [9].

Biochar is charcoal acquired from biomass intended to be incorporated into soil [10]. A couple of significant potential impacts of biochar on surface soil include: soil carbon sequestration, green-house gas releases [11], crop-productivity capacity, soil biome [12], soil and chemical capacities and solution for polluted soils [13]. In acidic soils, liming impact of biochar improves soil microbial variety and its capability, along with expanding cationexchange capacity and harvest water accessibility. Applying of biochar to soil further develops soil fertility retention, for example, soil pH, CEC, absolute C, all out N, accessible P, water storage capacity, nutrient cycling and also increase in attraction of beneficial microbial organisms [14]. Biochar can work as soil conditioner by enhancing the physical and biological attributes of soils, for example, water holding range and soil nutrient withholding capacity, and furthermore upgrading plant development [15] and as valuable soil conditioner for crop better production [16].

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment on the effect of biofertilizers and biochar on growth and yield of baby corn were conducted in *Zaid* season of 2023 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj which is located is located at 25°39' 42"N latitude, 81°67'56" E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level (MSL). This area is situated on the right side of the Yamuna River by the side of Prayagraj - Rewa road about 12 km from the city. A composite soil sample was collected at a depth of 0 to 15 cm depth. The soil with reaction of (pH 7.3), organic carbon (1.217%), available nitrogen (2748.48 kg/ha), phosphorus (45.1 kg/ha), potassium (223.5 kg/ha), Electric conductivity (0.724 mm/cm). Baby com (*Zea mays* L.) variety G5414 were selected for sowing. Seeds were sown in line manually on 2023. Seeds were covered with

the soil immediately after sowing. The spacing adopted was plant to plant 10 cm and row to row 45 cm according to the treatment details and the seeds were drilled at 3-4 cm depth. All the treatments were applied by balancing to the initial soil test values and crop requirements to justify the crop response to the supplied nutrients.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Biofertilizers and Biochar on Growth and Yield of Baby Corn. 1. Growth Parameters

Plant height: At 40 DAS, significantly higher plant height (117.13 cm) was recorded in (treatment-9) with application of Azospirillum 10g along with Azotobacter 10g/kg seed and Biochar 40 t/ha, whereas (treatment 7) and (treatment-8) were found to be statistically at par with the (treatment-9). The significant response in plant height was recorded with application of biofertilizers along with biochar in baby corn. This might be due to the combined

effect of biofertilizers along with use of biochar that have increased the nutrient availability to the plant. Increase in vigorous growth of internode length due to accessibility and uptake of nutrients that might have increased the plant height [17].

Plant dry weight: At 40 DAS, superiorly higher plant dry weight (34.33 g) was recorded in (treatment 9) with application of Azospirillum 10g along with Azotobacter 10g/kg seed and Biochar 40 t/ha, whereas (treatment 8) was found to be statistically at par with the higher. The significant increase in plant dry weight might be due to the role of biofertilizers and biochar on enhancing soil moisture status that can indirectly help in better crop establishment and nutrient uptake. The application of biochar with the appropriate dose in plants will help increase microbial activity in the soil and will have an effect on increasing the availability of organic matter in the soil. Optimal organic content in the soil helps in maintaining growth and development in plants [18], 2022[19].

Table.1 Effect of biofertilizers and biochar on growth attributes of baby corn

S.No	Treatments	Plant height(cm) At 40 DAS	Plant dry weight (g/plant) At 40 DAS	Crop growth rate(g/m ² /day) During (30-40DAS)	Relative growth Rate(g/g/day) During (30-40DAS)
1.	Azospirillum 20g/kg seed + Biochar 0t/ha	109.13	29.61	24.61	0.0550
2.	Azospirillum 20g/kg seed + Biochar 10t/ha	110.60	30.09	25.00	0.0548
3.	Azospirillum 20g/kg seed + Biochar 40t/ha	111.13	30.59	25.42	0.0549
4.	Azotobacter 20g/kg seed + Biochar 0t/ha	112.67	31.01	25.80	0.0550
5.	Azotobacter 20g/kg seed + Biochar 10t/ha	114.07	31.74	26.44	0.0551
6.	Azotobacter 20g/kg seed + Biochar 40t/ha	114.60	32.41	27.22	0.0558
7.	Azospirillum 10g+Azotobacter 10g/kg seed & Biochar 0t/ha	115.13	32.85	27.64	0.0559
8.	Azospirillum 10g+Azotobacter 10g/kg seed & Biochar 10t/ha	115.87	33.13	27.90	0.0560
9.	Azospirillum 10g+Azotobacter 10g/kg seed & Biochar 40t/ha	117.13	34.33	29.08	0.0565
10.	Control (120-60-40 NPK kg/ha)	107.47	29.84	24.98	0.0555
	F-Test	S	S	S	NS
	SEm (±)	0.67	0.40	0.57	0.0010
	CD (p=0.05)	2.01	1.19	1.70	-

Table. 2 Effect of biofertilizers and biochar on yield attributes of baby corn

S.No	Treatments	Number of cobs/plant	Cob length with husk (cm)	Cob length without husk (cm)	Cob girth with husk (cm)	Cob weight with husk (g)	Cob weight without husk (g)	Cob yield without husk (t/ha)
1.	Azospirillum 20g/kg seed + Biochar 0t/ha	1.20	19.29	10.95	5.45	35.99	07.33	1.67
2.	Azospirillum 20g/kg seed + Biochar 10t/ha	1.40	20.02	11.64	5.63	36.13	10.54	2.83
3.	Azospirillum 20g/kg seed + Biochar 40t/ha	1.53	20.38	12.08	5.88	37.03	11.06	3.23
4.	Azotobacter 20g/kg seed + Biochar 0t/ha	1.40	20.98	12.49	5.99	37.40	08.19	2.23
5.	Azotobacter 20g/kg seed + Biochar 10t/ha	1.47	21.20	12.81	6.30	38.43	10.81	3.10
6.	Azotobacter 20g/kg seed + Biochar 40t/ha	1.60	21.47	13.48	6.34	39.42	11.15	3.77
7.	Azospirillum 10g+Azotobacter 10g/kg seed & Biochar 0t/ha	1.40	21.91	13.76	6.46	40.00	08.41	2.33
8.	Azospirillum 10g+Azotobacter 10g/kg seed & Biochar 10t/ha	1.60	22.29	14.10	6.93	40.43	11.79	4.00
9.	Azospirillum 10g+Azotobacter 10g/kg seed & Biochar 40t/ha	1.87	22.62	14.58	7.16	41.13	12.09	4.70
10.	Control (120-60-40 NPK kg/ha)	1.13	18.87	10.76	5.37	35.31	07.21	1.57
	F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
	SEm (±)	0.10	0.22	0.23	0.10	0.34	0.10	0.23
	CD (p=0.05)	0.30	0.65	0.69	0.32	1.02	0.31	0.71

Table. 3 Effect of biofertilizers and biochar on economics of baby corn

S.No	Treatments	Cost of cultivation (₹)	Gross returns (₹)	Net returns (₹)	Benefit cost ratio
1.	Azospirillum 20g/kg seed + Biochar 0t/ha	52,990.20	83,333.33	30,343.13	0.57
2.	Azospirillum 20g/kg seed + Biochar 10t/ha	72,990.20	1,41,666.67	68,676.47	0.94
3.	Azospirillum 20g/kg seed + Biochar 40t/ha	1,32,990.20	1,61,666.66	28,676.47	0.22
4.	Azotobacter 20g/kg seed + Biochar 0t/ha	53,007.80	1,11,666.67	58,658.87	1.11
5.	Azotobacter 20g/kg seed + Biochar 10t/ha	73,007.80	1,55,000.00	81,992.20	1.12
6.	Azotobacter 20g/kg seed + Biochar 40t/ha	1,33,007.80	1,88,333.33	55,325.53	0.42
7.	Azospirillum 10g+Azotobacter 10g/kg seed & Biochar 0t/ha	52,999.00	1,16,666.67	63,667.67	1.20
8.	Azospirillum 10g+Azotobacter 10g/kg seed & Biochar 10t/ha	72,999.00	2,00,000.00	1,27,001.00	1.74
9.	Azospirillum 10g+Azotobacter 10g/kg seed & Biochar 40t/ha	1,32,999.00	2,35,000.00	1,02,001.00	0.77
10.	Control (120-60-40 NPK kg/ha)	52,974.80	78,333.33	25,358.53	0.48

Crop growth rate: During 30-40 DAS, significantly higher crop growth rate (29.08 g/m²/day) was recorded with (treatment 9) with application of Azospirillum 10g along with Azotobacter 10g/kg seed and Biochar 40 t/ha, However, (treatment-7), (treatment-8) were found to be statistically at par with the higher. The significant increase in crop growth rate might be due to the synergetic effect of biofertilizers and biochar might have attributed the crop growth

and microbial activity of biofertilizers which have enhanced the supply of nutrients to the plant.

Relative growth rate: During 30-40 DAS, the higher relative growth rate (0.0565 g/g/day) was recorded (treatment-9) with application of Azospirillum 10g + Azotobacter 10g/kg seed + Biochar 40 t/h and also, which was found to be statistically at par with higher among all treatments.

4. YIELD PARAMETERS

4.1 Number of Cobs/Plant

Significantly maximum number of cobs/plant (1.87) was recorded with (treatment-9) with application of Azospirillum 10g along with Azotobacter 10g/kg seed and Biochar 40 t/ha, whereas (treatment -8) were found to be statistically at par with the higher.

4.2 Cob Length with Husk

Significantly higher cob length with husk (22.62 cm) was recorded in (treatment-9) with application of Azospirillum 10g along with Azotobacter 10g/kg seed and Biochar 40 t/ha, whereas (treatment -8) were found to be statistically at par with the higher.

4.3 Cob Length without Husk

Significantly higher cob length with husk (14.58 cm) was recorded in (treatment-9) with application of Azospirillum 10g along with Azotobacter 10g/kg seed and Biochar 40 t/ha, whereas (treatment -8) were found to be statistically at par with the higher.

4.4 Cob Girth with Husk

Significantly higher cob girth with husk (7.16 cm) was recorded in (treatment-9) with application of Azospirillum 10g along with Azotobacter 10g/kg seed and Biochar 40 t/ha, whereas (treatment -8) were found to be statistically at par with the higher.

4.5 Cob Weight with Husk

Significantly higher cob weight with husk (41.13 g) was recorded in (treatment-9) with application of Azospirillum 10g along with Azotobacter 10g/kg seed and Biochar 40 t/ha, whereas (treatment -8) and (treatment-7) were found to be statistically at par with the higher.

4.6 Cob Weight without Husk

Significantly higher cob weight without husk (12.09 g) was recorded in (treatment-9) with application of Azospirillum 10g along with Azotobacter 10g/kg seed and Biochar 40 t/ha, whereas (treatment -8) were found to be statistically at par with the higher.

4.7 Cob Yield without Husk

Significantly higher cob weight without husk (4.70 t/ha) was recorded in (treatment-9) with application of Azospirillum 10g along with Azotobacter 10g/kg seed and Biochar 40 t/ha, whereas (treatment -8) were found to be statistically at par with the (treatment-9).

Significant increase in the yield parameter and yield of baby corn might be due the application of biofertilizer that have been proved beneficial for development of corn yield attributing characters mainly due to availability of optimum amount of nutrients during reproductive phase of the crop.[8]. Usage of biochar might have increased the organic carbon in the soil, that helps the roots of baby corn in nutrient uptake and rapid photosynthesis and supply of nutrients from source to sink. Biofertilizers increases the nitrogen availability in the soil that might have improved the yield of baby corn [20]. The increase in the yield attributes and yield of baby corn might be due to the synergetic effect of biofertilizers and biochar that have enhanced water holding capacity, increased cation exchange capacity (CEC) and provision of a medium for adsorption of plant nutrients and improved conditions for soil micro-organisms and direct nutrients from NPK. The rise in corn yield could be credited due to the overall enhancement in soil chemical, physical and biological properties [21,22].

5. ECONOMICS

5.1 Cost of Cultivation

The cost of cultivation of treatments varies between 93,007.80 ₹/ha and 52,974.80 ₹/ha. Maximum cost of cultivation is recorded with application of Azotobacter 20g/kg seed + Biochar 40t/ha(₹1,33,007.80). The minimum cost of cultivation is in control (₹52,974.80).

5.2 Gross Returns

Maximum gross returns (2,35,000.00 ₹/ha) were recorded in (treatment -9) Azospirillum 10g and Azotobacter 10g/kg seed along with Biochar 40 t/ha and minimum gross return (78,333.33 ₹/ha) was recorded in control.

5.3 Net Returns

Maximum net returns (1,27,001.00 ₹/ha) were recorded in (treatment-8) Azospirillum 10g and Azotobacter 10g/kg seed along with Biochar 10

t/ha and minimum (25,358.53 ₹/ha) was recorded in control.

5.4 Benefit Cost Ratio

Higher benefit cost ratio (1.74) was recorded in (treatment-8) Azospirillum 10g and Azotobacter 10g/kg seed along with Biochar 10 t/ha and lowest (0.22) was recorded in Azospirillum 20g/kg seed + Biochar 40t/ha [23].

6. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that among different treatment combinations, with the application of Azospirillum 10g+ Azotobacter 10g/kg seed & Biochar 10 t/ha has recorded maximum net returns (1,27,001.00 ₹/ha) and higher benefit cost ratio (1.74). It also found to be statistically at par with maximum plant height, plant dry weight, number of cobs, cob yield. So, it is the treatment best and economically profitable for farmers.

Although the findings are based on one season. Further research is needed to confirm the findings and its recommendation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Hekmat AW, Mohammadi NK, Ghosh G. Effect of NPK, biofertilizer and zinc foliar nutrition on growth and growth attributes of baby corn (*Zea mays L.*). 2019, 7(4): 2432-2436.
2. Rakesh K, Narendra K, Sudhir K, Amitesh KS, Bohra JS. Effect of NPKS and Zn fertilization on, growth, yield and quality of baby corn-a review. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2017;6(3):1392-1428.
3. Joshi G, Pal MS, Chilwal A. Impact of integrated nutrient management on physiological nutrient efficiency and economics of baby corn (*Zea mays L.*). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(5): 532-534.
4. Meena MD, Tiwari DD, Chaudhari SK, Biswas DR, Narjary B, Meena AL, Meena RB. Effect of Biofertilizer and Nutrient Levels on Yield and Nutrient Uptake by maize (*Zea mays L.*). Annals of Agri-Bio Research. 2013;18(2): 176-181.
5. Fitriatin BN, Yusuf MIM, Sofyan ET, Nurbaity A. Biofertilizers application to improve growth of maize and soil nutrients. E3S Web of Conferences. 2021;316: 03020.
6. Marngar E, Dawson J. Effect of Biofertilizers, levels of nitrogen and zinc on growth and yield of hybrid maize (*Zea mays L.*). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(9): 3614-3622.
7. Rajesh B, Mehera B, Kumar P. Effect of Bio-fertilizer and gibberellic acid on growth and yield of baby corn. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(7):602-607.
8. Tejaswi Y, Singh S, Meshram MR. Effect of zinc and bio-fertilizers on growth, yield and economics of baby corn (*Zea mays L.*). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(10): 167-170.
9. Sri SRS, Debbarma V, Reddy SRK. Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth and yield of baby corn. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2023;35(20): 436-42.
10. Yeboah E, Asamoah G, Kofi B, Abunyewa AA. Effect of biochar type and rate of application on maize yield indices and water use efficiency on an Ultisol in Ghana. Energy Procedia. 2016;93: 14-18.
11. Brassard P, Godbout S, Raghavan V. Soil biochar amendment as a climate change mitigation tool: Key parameters and mechanisms involved. Journal of environmental management. 2016;181: 484-497.
12. Lehmann J. Biochar effects on soil biota—a review. Soil biology and biochemistry. 2011;43(9):1812-1836.
13. Pillala R, Sowmya NSL, Gajbhiye P, Upadhyay H. Impact of biochar in sustainable crop production and soil health management: A review. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;12(5): 569-577.
14. Sharma JK, Meena RH, Jat G, Shekhawat AS. Effect of fertility levels and biochar on yield of wheat. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(7):3590-3594.
15. Rathiya GR, Tiwari A, Samadhya V, Singh AK, Kosariya YK. Effect of biochar and crop residues application on yield and nutrient uptakes of ground nut crop. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;12(2): 2355-2360.

16. Huang M, Kang L, Qin H, Jiang L, Zou Y. Quantifying the effect of biochar amendment on soil quality and crop production in Chinese rice paddies. *Field Crops Research*. 2013;154:172–77.
17. Reddy Vinay, Kumar Reddy, Sikha Singh. Response of biofertilizers and phosphorous level on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2021;10(11); 293-296.
18. Rahayu M, Nurmalasari AI, Aini NN. Effect of various types and doses of biochar on hybrid maize growth. *Earth and Environmental Science*; 2021. DOI:10.1088/1755-1315/1016/1/012053
19. Babu DN, Mehera B. Influence of iron and bio-fertilizer on growth parameters and yield of baby Corn (*Zea mays* L.) Prayagraj condition. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2022; 12(10):830- 836.
20. Baral BR, Adhikari P. Effect of azotobacter on growth and yield of maize. *SAARC J. Agri*. 2013;11(2): 141-147.
21. Dzomeku IK, Illiasu O, Amegbor IK. Effect of biochar, rice husk and rice straw on productivity of maize (*zea mays* L.) and sustainable soil fertility resotation. *Journal pf Experimental Agriculture International*. 2018;20(6): 1-11.
22. Shashi MA, Mannam MM, Rahman MM. Impact of rice husk biochar on growth, water relations and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under drought conditions. *The Agriculturists*. 2018;16(2): 93-101.
23. Kamara A, Kamara HS, Kamara MS. Effect of Rice straw biochar on soil quality and the early growth and biomass yield of two rice varieties. *Agricultural Sciences*. 2015; 6(8):798-806.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114441>