



Growth Performance and Survival of Climbing Perch (*Anabas testudineus* Bloch) Raised in Conventional and Bioflocs System

Agussyarif Hanafie^{a###*}, Danang Biyatmoko^{b++#},
Fatmawati^{c†‡} and Noor Arida Fauzana^{c†‡}

- ^a Study Program (S3), Agricultural Sciences Postgraduate, Lambung Mangkurat University, South Kalimantan, Indonesia.
^b Animal Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Lambung Mangkurat University, South Kalimantan, Indonesia.
^c Aquaculture Department, Fisheries and Marine Faculty, Lambung Mangkurat University, South Kalimantan, Indonesia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJFAR/2023/v21i2530

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95705>

Original Research Article

Received: 20/10/2022
Accepted: 29/12/2022
Published: 25/01/2023

ABSTRACT

The aim of this research is to analyze the performance of growth and survival of climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus* Bloch) which was raised in bioflocs and conventional systems. It investigated the difference in the initial size of the fry stocked with climbing perch has effect. The experimental

^{###} Doctoral Student;

⁺⁺ Head of Promoter;

[#] Professor;

[†] Co-Promoters;

[‡] Lecturer;

*Corresponding author: Email: agus.shanafie@ulm.ac.id;

design used in this study was a Factorial Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with 2 factors and 3 replications: Factor A: climbing perch aquaculture Systems; A1: climbing perch in conventional system of; A2: the climbing perch in bioflocs system; Factor B: Initial Size of fry Stocking; B1: fry size 3 ± 0.5 cm; B2: fry size 5 ± 0.5 cm and B3: fry size 7 ± 0.5 cm. The research was conducted for 90 days with daily growth parameters (SGR), weight and length growth, survival rate, food conversion, flocsvolume density (FVD), and main water quality parameters (water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and dissolved ammonia). The results showed that the bioflocs system was able to increase the average growth in the range of 12-67% with an average of 29.73% when compared to conventional systems. The highest value for the daily growth rate or Specific Growth Rate (%/day) was for fry with a size of 7 cm in the bioflocs system (8,419%). The fry size of 3 ± 0.5 cm in the bioflocs system had the highest difference in standard length (4.33 cm) while the highest average length during maintenance was the seed size of 7 ± 0.5 cm in the bioflocs system. The survival results of climbing perch fish during the study were based on the highest score on the seed size of 3 ± 0.5 cm in the bioflocs system. The survival range of the bioflocs system is 94.89 - 99.67% and that of the conventional system is 82.00 - 94.26%. Thus the bioflocs system is able to increase survival in the range of 5-14% when compared to conventional systems. The bioflocs system is able to provide better feed efficiency than conventional systems in the range of 34-39%. The average value of floc volume density (FVD) in the bioflocs system is in the range of 5.35 – 8.16 ml/L, which is still ideal but can still be improved. Water temperature during the study period, air and water temperatures ranged from 28.54 to 28.71 (°C), the degree of acidity in all treatments was in the range 6.34 – 7.27, dissolved oxygen levels were in the range 5.77 – 6.90 mg /L) while the average measurement results for ammonia levels (mg/L) were in the range of 0.49 – 0.57 mg/L. The temperature ranges in the studies on conventional and bioflocs systems are within the acceptable range for fish farming Water temperature during the study period, air and water temperatures ranged from 28.54 to 28.71 (°C), the degree of acidity in all treatments was in the range 6.34 – 7.27, dissolved oxygen levels were in the range 5.77 – 6.90 mg /L) while the average measurement results for ammonia levels (mg/L) were in the range of 0.49 – 0.57 mg/L.

Keywords: Fry size; climbing perch; bioflocs; conventional.

1. INTRODUCTION

The climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus* Bloch) is one of the fish species that have potentials. It is very popular for consumption, especially on the islands of Kalimantan Sumatra, and Java because of its delicious taste [1]. So far, most of the consumption needs have been obtained from nature, such popularity has experienced a very significant reduction, some of its aquaculture efforts have been carried out by fish farmers using traditional pond techniques - conventional in Central and South Kalimantan. However, the production rate is still relatively low due to low stocking density (15 fish/m^2). Even though high stocking densities in intensive culture can increase the growth and survival rate of aquaculture fish [2]. Different stocking densities of climbing perch have been reported for examples, 10 fish/m^2 [3], 15 fish/m^2 [4], and 14 fish/m^2 also reported that high stocking densities resulted in lower production performance compared to lower stocking densities [5]. The climbing perch is one of the economically important fish originating from swamp waters; where swamp waters are part of the wetland as

the Basic Scientific Pattern of Lambung Mangkurat University. The price of climbing perch measuring 8-9 per kilogram can reach Rp. 100.000,00 - Rp. 140.000,00 , subject to availability due to seasonal influences.

The size of the fish fry is important related to the rate of their rearing period. Several research reports on initial fry size in fish rearing as stated by [6], used catfish fry sizes 9.5 ± 1.7 cm long and 7 ± 2 grams in weight. Sangkuriang catfish fry were 2 – 3 cm (2.9 g) /head) and 5 – 8 cm (6.2 g/head) [7] Soedibya et al, [8], using fry size test fish have an average weight of 1.85 ± 0.09 g reared in terpoline ponds with a diameter of 1.72 m and a height of 1.05 m with a water volume of $\pm 2,000$ L in four units with different densities, namely 1,000 individuals/ m^3 , 1,500 individuals/ m^3 , 2,000 individuals/ m^3 , 2,500 fish/ m^3 With a weight of 20- 30 g/head, tilapia fry are reared in circular tanks with a density of 55– 80 fish/ m^3 or equal to 0.7-3 kg/ m^3 . The stocking density of vannamei shrimp is 100 individuals per m^2 (1,000,000 individuals/ha) [9]. The fish used is gourami fry with a length of 5-7 cm which is reared in a cylindrical tub with a diameter of 1

meter and a height of 1 meter [10], the initial average size of the fish is 6.23 ± 0.15 cm and 4.47 ± 0.15 grams [11]. Gouramy measuring 5-7 cm using [12], the initial weight of each size is 5 ± 0.00 g and 2 ± 0.00 gram [13] maintenance in ponds using initial size with an initial length of climbing perch 0.75 ± 0.01 cm and a weight of 0.20 grams in all treatments [14]. 2 ± 0.00 grams [13] rearing in ponds using the initial size with an initial length of climbing perch 0.75 ± 0.01 cm and a weight of 0.20 grams in all treatments [14]. 2 ± 0.00 grams [13] rearing in ponds using the initial size with an initial length of climbing perch 0.75 ± 0.01 cm and a weight of 0.20 grams in all treatments [14].

A study was conducted in 6 earthen ponds to examine the effect of stocking density on the growth, survival and production of Thai climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus* Bloch). Three stocking densities (treatments) were compared: ponds with 350, 400 and 550 individuals per decimal (0.01 acre). All treatments were randomized and duplicated. Artificial feed containing 34% crude protein was initially applied 20% of the total fish weight per day. Gradually the feeding rate was reduced to 15, 12, 10, 8 and 5% of the total fish weight per day. Feeding rates per pond are adjusted every two weeks after weighing at least 20% of the fish stocked. The trial duration is 90 days. The results showed that all growth parameters were higher in ponds with lower stocking density than ponds with high stocking density, while the total fish yield was higher in ponds with higher stocking density than in ponds with lower stocking density. The cost-

benefit analysis reveals that all three systems are economically profitable. However, ponds with a stocking density of 550 individuals per decimal are the most profitable systems. Further research is still needed to further optimize the stocking density of climbing perch in aquaculture ponds. Until then, stocking 550 individuals of the Thai variety climbing perch per hour per decimal will result in good production for fish cultivators in the South and Southeast Asia region. [5].

One of the obstacles in the development of climbing perch aquaculture is site maintenance. A rearing place is a place where the living habits of fish are kept both intensively and semi-intensively, to fulfill aquaculture strategies in bioflocs systems with limited natural resources such as water and land. so that intensification is the most possible option using bioflocs system technology for aquaculture activities on the scale of seed to enlargement. The link between climbing perch and the bioflocs system needs to be developed, according to Ekasari [15], to apply to new species and find solutions to problems. The purpose of this research was to analyze how the performance of growth and survival of climbing Perch aquacultured with the bioflocs system and conventional whether there were differences in the fish size.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Tools and Materials

The tools and materials used in this study can be seen in Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1. Types, quantity and use of research tools

No	Tool's name	Amount	Utilities
1	One meter diameter Bioflocs pond	9 pcs	Fish rearing containers (research)
2	Conventional pool One meter in diameter	9 pcs	Fish rearing containers (research)
3	Scrap	4 pieces	Catch fish
4	Plastic	1 pack	Containers provide feed
5	Ruler	1 piece	Measure the length of the fish
6	Scales	1 piece	Measure the weight of the fish
7	Aerators	2 pieces	Make DO stable
8	Do meter	1 piece	DO meters
9	PH meter	1 piece	PH meter
10	Test ket	1 piece	Ammonia meters
11	Conical funnels	1 piece	Measure the flock
12	Digital scales	1 piece	Measure the ingredients in grams
13	Scales	1 piece	Weigh the total weight of the fish
14	Laminating paper	18pcs	Code randomized study design

Table 2. Type, amount and use of research materials

No	Ingredient	Amount	Utilities
1.	Climbing perch fry	10,800 heads	Test fish
2.	chlorine	120 gram/m ³	Bioflocs media
3.	Salt	10 kg/m ³	Bioflocs media
4.	Dolomitic limestone	800 grams/m ³	Bioflocs media
5.	Molasses / molasses	800 grams/m ³	Bioflocs media
6.	Pineapple	4 kg/m ³	Bioflocs media
7.	Probiotics	40mL/m ³	Bioflocs media
8.	PK (Potassium Permanganate)	40mL/m ³	Drugs
9.	Pellets pf 1000	kg	Test feeds

2.2 Research design

The experimental design used in this study was a Factorial Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with 2 factors and 3 replications:

Factor A: Climbing perch Aquaculture System
 A1: the Climbing perch in conventional system
 A2: the climbing perch in bioflocs system

Factor B: Initial Size of Fry Stocking
 B1: Fry size 3 ± 0.5cm
 B2: Fry size 5 ± 0.5cm
 B3: fry size 7 ± 0.5cm

The combination (Table 3) of treatment of the 2 (two) factors is:

Size of Fry Spread	Aquaculture System	
	Conventional System (SK) = A1	Bioflocs System (SB) A2
B1 = 3cm	A1B1	A2B1
B2 = 5 cm	A1B2	A2B2
B3 = 7 cm	A1B3	A2B3

2.3 Research Procedure

1. Preparation of research containers

a. Conventional pool

- 1) Conventional pools are circular tarpaulin pools with a diameter of 1 meter and a height of 100 cm.
- 2) The pond to be used was first cleaned using a solution of PK (*Potassium permanganate*) to avoid disease and bacteria.
- 3) The pool was filled with water to a 65 cm level.
- 4) The water in the conventional ponds were changed every 2 days as much as 10 cm/m³

b. Bioflocs pond

- 1) Fill with water as much as 1 m³ or as high as 65 cm using direct well water.

- 2) Making bioflocs media, making bioflocs media is carried out simultaneously from the provision of chlorine, dolomite, salt, lime, molasses and probiotics.
- 3) Media preparation begins with the addition of 15 grams/m³ of chlorine and is aerated for 3-4 days.
- 4) Giving salt as much as 2.5 kg/m³ in aeration for 1 day.
- 5) Giving dolomite lime as much as 100 gr/m³ by taking a container filled with water, then stirring the lime in the container and putting the white lime juice into the pond, then letting it sit for 2 days with aeration and measuring the pH of the pool water.
- 6) Give as much molasses as 100 gr/m³ with the addition of pineapple as much as 1 kg by means of a blender, after the pineapple is blended it is then put into the pond together with the molasses.

- 7) Giving probiotics as much as 10 mL/m³ and aerated for 5-6 days before adding fish.
 - 8) For bioflocs ponds, water deposits are removed every 2 days to keep the media good, then in 1 week molasses is given as much as 50 mL/m³ according to the SOP procedure for media treatment.
2. The fry used according to the treatment were 3, 5 and 7 cm in size, the fry came from cultivators at Mentaos cultivators. The fry stocking was carried out in the morning and evening, because the temperature was relatively low, before stocking the fish fry, a sample of 20% (120 fish) of the total fish in the pond was taken. Take the fish fry using a scoop and then weigh all 120 fish fry and then measure the length of each fish.
 3. Feeding, the feed given is in the form of feed that has been fermented first. How to ferment feed as follows:
 - i. Take 1 kg of PF 1000 feed
 - ii. Add 50 mL of molasses, 10 mL of probiotics and 200 mL of mineral water
 - iii. Mix all the ingredients in 1 bowl, stir until evenly distributed, about 5-10 minutes until the feed is slightly dry
 - iv. Put the fermented feed into an airtight plastic.
 - v. Leave the feed for 2 days and then the feed can be used for fish. Feeding from bioflocs and conventional treatments is the same as 5% of the total weight of fish in the pond. Feeding is done 2 times a day (morning and afternoon). The artificial feed or commercial feed used in this study was 1000 pf type feed, because 1000 pf feed is suitable for fish mouth openings with sizes between 0.8 – 2.5 mm (Anonymous, 2012).
 4. Sampling of fish fry was carried out every 10 days, sampling was carried out on 20% of the total fish, namely 100 individuals.

2.4 Observation Parameters

- a. Absolute Growth (grams)
- b. Specific growth rate (SGR)

The specific rate of growth (SGR) is calculated using the formula from Zonneveld et al. [16]:

$$SGR = \frac{\ln Wt - \ln Wo}{t} \times 100\%$$

Information:

SGR = specific daily growth rate (%/day)

Wt = average weight ± fish at the end of the study (g/head)

Wo = average weight ± average fish at the beginning of the study (g/head)

t = time (long maintenance)

c. Standard Long Growth (cm)

The standard growth length is calculated by the formula Zonneveld et al. (1991):

$$L = L_o - L_t$$

Information:

L = Growth in absolute length (cm)

Lt = fish body length at the end of the study (cm)

Lo = fish body length at the beginning of the study (cm)

d. Feed Conversion Ratio

According to Rodde et al. [17], the feed conversion ratio (FCR) is calculated based on the following formula:

$$FCR = \frac{F}{Wt + D ; F Wo}$$

Information:

FCR = Feed conversion ratio

F = Weight of feed given (grams)

Wt = Biomass of test animals at the end of rearing (grams)

D = Weight of dead fish (grams)

Wo = Biomass of test animals at the start of rearing (grams)

e. Survival

Survival Rate calculations using the formula Effendi [18], namely:

$$SR = \frac{Nt}{No} \times 100\%$$

Information :

SR = Survival rate (SR) %

Nt = Number of fish at the end of rearing

No = Number of fish at the start of stocking

f. Environmental Quality

1. Floc Volume Density

The abundance of flocs was taken using an Imhoff cone so that the flocs could be filtered out. Floc volume is a representation of the density of floc particles in a water column [19]. The volume of the floc that settles is recorded and then calculated using the formula:

$$mL/L = \frac{mL}{L}$$

2. Water quality

2.5 Hypothesis

1. Main Effect of Factor A

H0 = Different aquaculture systems have no significant effect on the growth performance and survival of climbing perch (*Anabas testudeni*us Bloch)

H1 = Different aquaculture systems have a significant effect on the growth performance and survival of climbing perch (*Anabas testudeni*us Bloch)

2. Main Factor Factor B

H0 = Different fry sizes have no significant effect on the growth performance and survival of climbing perch (*Anabas testudeni*us Bloch)

H1 = Different fry sizes have a significant effect on the growth performance and survival of climbing perch (*Anabas testudeni*us Bloch)

3. Interaction Factors Factor A and Factor B

H0 = The interaction of the aquaculture system and the size of the fry of the climbing perch at different stages did not significantly affect the

growth performance and survival of the climbing perch (*Anabas testudeni*us Bloch)

H1 = The interaction of the aquaculture system and the size of the fry of the climbing perch at different times significantly affected the growth performance and survival of the climbing perch (*Anabas testudeni*us Bloch).

2.6 Data Analysis

The data obtained from the results of this study were growth data, survival rate, feed-conversion ratio before analyzing the data was varied, the data was tested for normality and homogeneity test. The normality test, homogeneity test is carried out to ensure that the data is normally and homogeneous as is the requirement for conducting Factorial Completely Randomized Design (CRD). The data were analyzed by means of the F test (significance) with the SPSS 24 program to find out the difference between the treatment and the post hoc test. The environmental quality data was obtained based on descriptive measurement results to determine the effect on growth and survival.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

3.1.1 Absolute weight growth

The results of observations on the average absolute weight growth of climbing perch fish are presented in Table 4, Table 5 and Fig. 1, which were observed from stocking to the end of the study with sampling done every 10 days for 90 days.

Table 3. Water quality measurement methods

Parameter No	Units	Tool type	How to use
Physical : 1. Temperature	°C	thermometer	Dipped in the pool on one side of the container in a submerged position
Chemical: 2. DO	mg/L	DO-meter	Dipping, DO-meter into the pool see the results of the scale that has been listed on the DO meter display
3. pH	-	PH meter	Insert the pH meter into a pool of water and wait until the number scale stops.
4. Ammonia	mg/L	Test Kits	Take water samples and dip the test kit paper in the water sample.

Table 4. Performance of the average absolute population growth (grams) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeni* Bloch) reared for 90 days

Perlakuan	Hari ke									Jumlah	Rerata
	0	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90		
BFC3	655.00	1316.67	1706.67	2113.33	2488.33	3050.00	3641.67	4071.67	4740.67	24694.00	2469.40
BFC5	1883.33	2493.33	3016.67	3291.67	4150.00	4550.00	5410.00	5868.33	6342.33	39293.17	3929.32
BFC7	3216.67	3980.00	4383.33	4683.33	5016.67	5316.67	5703.33	6330.00	6829.00	49040.67	4904.07
K3	582.75	1081.42	1727.25	2136.08	2548.42	3091.67	3551.67	3905.00	4172.67	23636.00	2363.60
K5	2041.67	2393.33	2575.33	2883.33	3550.00	3940.83	4434.33	5006.67	5405.33	34380.83	3438.08
K7	3308.33	3733.33	4100.00	4308.33	4471.67	4661.67	5080.00	5515.00	5731.33	44329.67	4432.97




Fig. 1. Performance of average absolute population growth (grams) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeni Bloch*) reared for 90 days

Information :

BFC3: bioflocs system seed size ± 3.00 cm

BFC5: bioflocs system seed size ± 5.00 cm

BFC7: bioflocs system seed size ± 7.00 cm

K3 : conventional system seed size ± 3.00 cm

K5 : conventional system seed size ± 5.00 cm

K7 : conventional system seed size ± 7.00 cm

From Table 4 and Fig. 1, it shows that there is a difference in the average performance value of the average absolute population growth (grams) of climbing perch fish reared for 90 days in the bioflocs and conventional systems with differences in the initial size of the fry. The BFC7 treatment showed the highest absolute growth performance among all the treatments given with an average of 4,289.46 grams, followed by the K7 treatment (3,917.15 grams), BFC5 (3,358.51 grams), K5 (2,951.60 grams), BFC3 (2,042.74 grams) and K3 (1,988.06 grams).

The results of the ANOVA test in this study showed that the output obtained a Significance

value of the size of the climbing perch fish seed with a significance value $0.000 < 0.05$, which showed differences between treatments. Based on the output of the maintenance media (bioflocs and conventional) a Significance value of $0.281 > 0.05$, so that the maintenance media between bioflocs and conventional systems there is no difference. Based on the output of the interaction between seed size and maintenance media, the Sig. of $0.864 > 0.05$, which indicates that there is no interaction between the two treatments. Furthermore, post hoc tests were carried out for seed size.

Table 5. Differences in mean size of fish fry in bioflocs and conventional systems

Dependent Variable: Absolute Growth		
Seed Size in Bioflocs System (I)	Seed Size in Conventional System (J)	Mean Difference (IJ)
Seed Size 3	Seed Size 5	-1267.1980*
	Seed Size 7	-2252.0155*
Seed Size 5	Seed Size 3	1267.1980*
	Seed Size 7	-984.8175*
Seed Size 7	Seed Size 3	2252.0155*
	Seed Size 5	984.8175*

Based on Table 5 seed size ± 3.00 cm, in the bioflocs system showed a significant difference at the 0.05 level with fry of ± 5.00 cm and ± 7.00 cm in the conventional system, seed size ± 5.00 cm in the bioflocs system showed a significant difference at the 0.05 level with fry of ± 3.00 cm and ± 7.00 cm in the conventional system and seed size of ± 7.00 cm in the bioflocs system showed a significant difference in level of 0.05 with fry of ± 3.00 cm and ± 5.00 cm in conventional systems. The absolute number growth, the BFC7 treatment was able to grow 13% (K7), 54% (K3), 67% (K5), 57% (BFC3) and 22% (BFC5). Meanwhile, when compared between bioflocs and conventional systems of the same size, the results were 12% (BFC3 with K3), 14% (BFC5 with K5) and 13% (BFC7 and K7). Overall the bioflocs system was able to increase the average growth when compared to conventional systems in the range of 12-67% with an average of 29.73%.

3.2 Specific Growth Rate (%/day)

Observations from stocking to harvesting with sampling were carried out every 10 days, from the beginning to the end of the study it can be seen that the Specific Growth Rate (%/day) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudenius* Bloch) reared for 90 days can be seen in Table 6 and Fig. 2.

The highest value for the daily growth rate or Specific Growth Rate (%/day) was in the BFC7 treatment (8.419%), followed by the K7 treatment (8.313%), BFC5 (8.181%), K5 (8.044%), BFC3 (7.684%), and K3 (7.638 %). The results of the ANOVA test in this study showed that the output obtained a Significance value for the size of the climbing perch fish seed with a significance value of $0.000 < 0.05$, which indicates differences between treatments. Based on the output of rearing media (bioflocs and conventional) a significance value was obtained. of $0.315 > 0.05$, so there is no difference in the maintenance media between the bioflocs and conventional systems. Based on the output of the interaction between seed size and rearing medium, a significance value of $0.839 > 0.05$ was obtained, which indicated that there was no interaction between the two treatments. Then a post hoc test was carried out for seed size to SGR (%/day) and based on Table. 7, seed size ± 3.00 cm in the bioflocs system showed a significant difference at the 0.05 level with fry of ± 5.00 cm and ± 7.00 cm in the conventional system, seed size ± 5.00 cm in the bioflocs

system showed a significant difference at the 0.05 level with fry of ± 3.00 cm in the conventional system and ± 7.00 cm seed size in the bioflocs system showed a significant difference at the 0.05 level with fry size ± 3.00 cm in conventional systems. The treatment of the seed size of the three treatments that were given a mean value was significantly different in all treatments, where the seed size of ± 7 cm had the best median value. Seed size 3 is in subset 1, different from seed sizes 5 and 7, which are in subset 2.

3.3 Standard Length Growth (cm)

Growth in standard length (cm) can be seen in Table 8, Fig. 3.

Based on Table 8 and Fig. 3, the BFC3 treatment had a higher standard length difference (4.33 cm) followed by the K3 treatment (4.22 cm), BFC5 (3.56 cm), K5 (3.03 cm), BFC7 (2.60 cm) and K7 (1.32cm). while the highest average length during maintenance was in the BFC7 treatment (8.16 cm) and the lowest was in K3 (5.24 cm). This difference occurs due to the initial size treatment when stocking and rearing media. The growth in standard length of climbing perch fish has a significance value of $1.000 > 0.05$, meaning that seed size has no significant effect on the growth of standard length, while the rearing media with the bioflocs and conventional systems also have no significant effect because of its significance value. $1.000 > 0.05$, the significance value of the interaction between seed size and rearing medium was also not significantly different, because the value was $1.000 > 0.05$, so a post hoc test was not needed.

3.4 Survival RATE (%)

The results and survival rates (%) of this study are presented in Table 9 and Fig. 4.

The results of the ANOVA test showed the significance value of the live climbing perch fish $0.08 > 0.05$, which shows seed size has no significant effect on survival, bioflocs and conventional maintenance media have a significant effect on survival because of their significance value $0.000 < 0.05$, while the significance value of the interaction between seed size and rearing media was not significantly different. The post hoc test for survival with maintenance media showed the results of the analysis in Table 7. The maintenance media for the bioflocs system had a significant effect compared to the conventional system on survival.

Table 6. Specific Growth Rate (%/day) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudenius* Bloch) reared for 90 days

Treatment	Days to									Total	Average
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90		
BFC3	6,739	7,111	7,362	7,572	7,731	7,938	8,113	8,218	8,374	69,157	7,684
BFC5	7,649	7,725	7,926	8,003	8,248	8,327	8,508	8,581	8,658	73,625	8,181
BFC7	8,089	8,195	8,290	8,353	8,423	8,479	8,551	8,659	8,732	75,771	8,419
K3	6,660	6,905	7,390	7,582	7,756	7,950	8,084	8,175	8,238	68,741	7,638
K5	7,573	7,686	7,756	7,873	8,090	8,185	8,304	8,426	8,498	72,392	8,044
K7	8,036	8,129	8,223	8,268	8,304	8,346	8,437	8,519	8,553	74,815	8,313




Fig. 2. Specific growth rate (%/day) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeniuss* Bloch) reared for 90 days

Table 7. Post hoc test results for the size of climbing perch fry reared for 90 days

Dependent Variable: SGR		
Independent Variable : Seed Size		
Bioflocs System (I)	Conventional System (J)	Mean Difference (IJ)
Seed Size 3	Seed Size 5	-.4801*
	Seed Size 7	-.7125*
Seed Size 5	Seed Size 3	.4801*
	Seed Size 7	-.2325
Seed Size 7	Seed Size 3	.7125*
	Seed Size 5	.2325




Fig. 3. Growth in average standard length (cm) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeniuss* Bloch) reared for 90 days

Table 8. Growth in standard length (cm) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeni* Bloch) reared for 90 days

Treatment	Days to										Average	Length difference
	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90		
BFC3	3,233	3,637	3,833	4,517	5,400	5,833	5,950	6,517	7,050	7,567	5,354	4,33
BFC5	5,300	5,633	6,133	6,583	6,833	7,233	7,533	8,150	8,470	8,867	7,074	3,57
BFC7	7,000	7,467	7,627	7,780	7,883	8,200	8,350	8,467	9,250	9,600	8,162	2,60
K3	3,133	3,520	3,797	4,463	5,277	5,700	5,887	6,430	6,887	7,353	5,245	4,22
K5	5,267	5,400	5,900	6,200	6,300	6,450	7,250	7,600	7,917	8,300	6,658	3,03
K7	7,167	7,240	7,450	7,600	7,780	7,917	8,117	8,200	8,350	8,483	6,658	1,32

Table 9. Average survival (%) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeni* Bloch) reared for 90 days

Perlakuan	Hari ke									Jumlah	Rerata
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90		
BFC3	99.667	99.110	99.113	99.667	99.890	99.780	99.777	100.000	100.000	897.003	99.667
BFC5	94.223	92.220	94.223	94.223	96.223	92.220	96.110	94.667	99.890	854.000	94.889
BFC7	98.074	99.443	99.333	99.667	99.410	99.780	99.557	98.447	98.557	892.268	99.141
K3	96.777	93.557	97.000	98.333	99.667	99.333	98.330	82.333	83.000	848.330	94.259
K5	86.333	84.333	84.113	84.443	86.223	84.000	82.777	89.337	90.447	772.007	85.779
K7	93.663	56.777	84.777	83.553	81.330	80.333	86.333	89.220	82.000	737.987	81.999




Fig. 4. Average survival (%) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudenius* Bloch) reared for 90 days

Table 10. Comparison of conventional and bioflocs maintenance media on the survival of climbing perch fish

Pairwise Comparisons		
Dependent Variable: Graduation Life		
(I) Maintenance Media	(J) Maintenance Media	Mean Difference (I-J)
Bioflocs System	Conventional System	7.195*, b
Conventional System	Bioflocs System	-7.195*, c

The results of the living climbing perch fish during the study were based on the highest scores in the following order: BFC3 (99.67 %), BFC7 (99.14%), BFC3 (94.26%), K3 (94.26 %), K5 (85.78%) and K7 (82.00%). The survival range of the bioflocs system was 94.89-99.67% and that of the conventional system was 82.00 - 94.26%. Thus the bioflocs system is able to increase survival in the range of 5-14% when compared to conventional systems.

3.5 Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR)

Results and analysis of the average food conversion of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudenius* Bloch) reared for 90 days are presented in Table 11 and Fig. 5.

The results of the anova test in this study showed that the output obtained a Significance value for the size of the climbing perch fish fry with a significance value of 0.004 < 0.05, which indicates a significant difference between

treatments. Based on the output of the rearing media (bioflocs and conventional) a Significance value was obtained. of 0.00 < 0.05, so that the maintenance media between the bioflocs and conventional systems have a significant difference. Based on the output of the interaction between seed size and rearing media, it showed that there was no interaction between the two treatments. Then post hoc tests were carried out on the size of the fry and the rearing medium on the feed conversion ratio on a single basis. the mean conversion ratio between seed sizes 3, 5 and 7 in the bioflocs system and the conventional system showed no significant difference. All sizes were in subset 1. The average feed conversion ratio results during the experimental treatment were ordered as follows: BFC7 (1.316) BFC5 (1.319), BFC3 (1.359), K3 (1.763), K5 (1.816) and K7 (1.831). When viewed from this value, it shows that the bioflocs system is able to provide better feed efficiency than conventional systems in the range of 34-39%.

Table 11. Feed conversion ratio for climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeni* Bloch) reared for 90 days

Treatment	Days to									Average
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	
BFC3	1,3633	1,3533	1,3533	1,3567	1,3067	1,3567	1,3633	1,4067	1,3767	1,3596
BFC5	1,3633	1,3100	1,3067	1,2267	1,3400	1,2833	1,3100	1,4067	1,3233	1,3189
BFC7	1,3633	1,3100	1,3067	1,2267	1,2667	1,3167	1,3300	1,4067	1,3233	1,3167
K3	1,9433	1,6667	1,8200	1,7267	1,8600	1,6900	1,7567	1,6500	1,7500	1,7626
K5	2,3433	1,7500	1,7300	1,7500	1,8267	1,6633	1,6900	1,6600	1,9267	1,8156
K7	2,2033	2,0700	1,9367	1,8300	1,9000	1,6900	1,6633	1,5833	1,6020	1,8310




Fig. 5. Feed conversion ratio for climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeniuss* Bloch) reared for 90 days




Fig. 6. Floc content (ml/L) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeniuss* Bloch) reared for 90 days

3.6 Floc Volume Density (FVD)

The floc volume density (ml/L) of climbing perch fish during the study can be seen in Table 12, Fig. 6. The mean value of the floc content in each treatment was sorted as follows: BFC 3 = 5.35 ml/L, BFC5 = 7.07 ml/L, BFC7 =

8.16 ml/L, K3 = 5.24 ml/L, K5 = 6.66 ml/L and K7 = 7.83 ml/L. The floc content in the conventional treatment is not bioflocs produced by the system, because measurements using an Imhoff funnel only measure sediment from filtering one liter of media water.

Table 12. Floc volume density (ml/L) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeni* Bloch) reared for 90 days

Treatment	Days to										Average
	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	
BFC3	5,00	5,00	5,00	5,00	5,00	5,00	5,95	6,52	7,05	7,57	5,35
BFC5	5,30	5,63	6,13	6,58	6,83	7,23	7,53	8,15	8,47	8,87	7,07
BFC7	7,00	7,47	7,63	7,78	7,88	8,20	8,35	8,47	9,25	9,60	8,16
K3	3,13	3,52	3,80	4,46	5,28	5,70	5,89	6,43	6,89	7,35	5,24
K5	5,27	5,40	5,90	6,20	6,30	6,45	7,25	7,60	7,92	8,30	6,66
K7	7,17	7,24	7,45	7,60	7,78	7,92	8,12	8,20	8,35	8,48	7,83

Table 13. Range and average results of measurements of water temperature (°C), pH, dissolved oxygen (mg/L) and dissolved ammonia (mg/L) of Climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeni*us Bloch) reared for 90 days

Treatment	Water temperature (°C)	pH	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	Dissolved ammonia (mg/L)
BFC3	28.60	7.24	6.90	0.50
BFC5	28.63	7.27	6.88	0.49
BFC7	28.61	7.24	6.70	0.50
K3	28.63	6.45	5.85	0.55
K5	28.71	6.43	5.87	0.54
K7	28.54	6.34	5.77	0.57

3.7 Water Quality

The results of water quality measurements (water temperature (°C), pH, dissolved oxygen (mg/L) and dissolved ammonia (mg/L) of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeni*us Bloch) reared for 90 days can be seen in Table 13. The water temperature during the study period, air and water temperatures ranged from 28.54 to 28.71 (°C). From the results of this study the range of acidity degrees in all treatments was in the range of 6.34 – 7.27. The average dissolved oxygen measurement results were in the range of 5.77 – 6.90 mg/L). the average measurement results for ammonia levels (mg/L) were in the range of 0.49 – 0.57 mg/L.

4. DISCUSSION

Discussion on the performance of growth and survival of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudeni*us Bloch) which were aquacultured for 90 days with the bioflocs system and the conventional system with differences in the initial size of the fry stocked from the observed parameters of absolute weight growth (grams) and specific growth rate - growth rate daily growth (%/day), standard length growth (cm), survival rate (%) feed conversion ratio, floc volume density (ml/L), water quality and plankton analysis are detailed below:

4.1 Absolute Weight Growth

The fry size ± 3.00 cm, in the bioflocs system showed a significant difference at the 0.05 level with fry of ± 5.00 cm and ± 7.00 cm in the conventional system, seed size ± 5.00 cm in the bioflocs system showed a significant difference at the 0.05 level with fry of ± 3.00 cm and ± 7.00 cm in the conventional system and the fry size of ± 7.00 cm in the bioflocs system showed significant differences at the 0.05 level with fries of ± 3.00 cm and ± 5.00 cm in conventional systems. Based on absolute number growth, the

BFC7 treatment was able to grow 13% of the treatment (K7), 54% (K3), 67% (K5), 57% (BFC3) and 22% (BFC5). Meanwhile, when compared between bioflocs and conventional systems of the same size, the results were 12% (BFC3 with K3), 14% (BFC5 with K5) and 13% (BFC7 and K7). Overall the bioflocs system was able to increase the average growth when compared to conventional systems in the range of 12-67% with an average of 29.73%. According to Ekasari [20] the application of bioflocs technology in rearing systems for several aquaculture species can increase net productivity by 8–43%, relative to non-bioflocs control (traditionally with water exchange, clear water systems or recirculating aquaculture systems).

Kohinoor, et al. [21] Reporting on growth performance was carried out to evaluate the growth performance and cross production of climbing perch fish (*Anabas testudineus* Bloch) The results showed that among the treatments in the growth system, the highest average yield weight was 69.25 ± 9.01 g found in T4 (Thai climbing perch) , which differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) from all other treatments. While T3 (local climbing perch) also showed the lowest average harvest weight of 33.38 ± 8.74 g and showed a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) among all treatments. The average harvest weight of the two groups of crosses, namely T1 and T2, were 50.83 ± 6.65 and 59.94 ± 7.83 g, respectively, and these results were not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$) between them but significant among all other treatments.

The study evaluating the growth and performance of this study was conducted to evaluate the growth and production performance of Thai climbing perch and Vietnamese climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus* Bloch) for four months from April to July 2014. There were two treatments each with three replications. Three ponds in treatment-1 (T-1) were stocked with

Vietnamese climbing perch fish, while the other three ponds designated as treatment-2 (T-2) were stocked with Thai climbing perch. Fish were fed with commercial pellet feed (30% crude protein). Pond water quality parameters are monitored weekly and are within the acceptable range for fish farming. The average harvest weight for treatment-1 was significantly higher than treatment-2. After four months of rearing, the gross fish production was 15,352 and 9,456 Kg/ha each obtained from Vietnam and Thailand lines. The results indicated that higher average growth and production were observed in the Vietnamese strain than the Thai climbing perch [21].

Fish stocking density is species specific and is considered as one of the important aspects for optimizing feed and water quality management in bioflocs systems [22,23]. This research was conducted to determine the optimum stocking density of superior cultivated fish species, namely perch *anabas testudineus* in the bioflocs system. Fish fingerlings with an average initial weight of 0.80 ± 0.02 g were reared in bioflocs tanks for 13 weeks with three different stocking densities, 300 (T-300), 450 (T-450), and 600 (T-600). m⁻³ 3 fish in triplicate, and the fish were fed a commercial diet at 3% of their body weight. At the final harvest, significant differences in growth and body composition were observed between treatments. T-300 and T-450 showed the same growth pattern ($p > 0.05$), while fish on T-600 recorded very poor growth ($p < 0.05$). Models fitted for all growth variables were quadratic, and individual daily feed intake was linear. Although the regression model for yield was not significant ($p = 0.072$), the highest yield (kg m⁻³) was achieved at T-450. Dry matter, protein, and fish fat content remained unaffected ($p > 0.05$), whereas a significant difference in ash content ($p < 0.05$) was observed between treatments with the highest being found in fish from T-600. These results indicate that in the early stages of cultivation, the optimum stocking density of climbing perch fish in the bioflocs system was 300–450 m and fish fat remained unaffected ($p > 0.05$), whereas a significant difference in ash content ($p < 0.05$) was observed between treatments with the highest being found in fish from T-600. These results indicate that in the early stages of cultivation, the optimum stocking density of climbing perch fish in the bioflocs system was 300–450 m and fish fat remained unaffected ($p > 0.05$), whereas a significant difference in ash content ($p < 0.05$) was observed between treatments with the highest

being found in fish from T-600. These results indicate that in the early stages of cultivation, the optimum stocking density of climbing perch fish in the bioflocs system was 300–450 m³ [24].

Referring to this study of all the treatments given as a whole the bioflocs system was able to increase the average growth compared to the conventional system in the range of 12-67% with an average of 29.73%.

4.2 Specific Growth Rate

The highest value for the daily growth rate or Specific Growth Rate (%/day) was in the BFC7 treatment (8.419%), followed by the K7 treatment (8.313%), BFC5 (8.181%), K5 (8.044%), BFC3 (7.684%), and K3 (7.638 %). Kazi et al. [25] reported a range of mean weight gain (grams) $27.48 \pm 0.62c$ with SGR (%) $2.24 \pm 0.03c$ - $2.52 \pm 0.03a$. Daily average weight gain ($3.18 \pm 1.576g/d$) [26]. Kazi et al, 2021, reported specific growth rates (SGR) ranging from 1.75 to 2.30%/day. Hasan et al. [27] stated that the SGR value of climbing perch fish reared in hapa ranged between 3.69 and 3.82% day⁻¹ which was higher than the current study. Uddin et al [28] found that the SGR value of climbing perch fish kept in cages in Kaptai Lake ranged between 2.24 and 2.52%/day. The results of the study [29] showed that the SGR range was between 4.08 ± 0.52 - $4.09 \pm 1.11ns$ (%/day).

Arnuparp, et al. [29] using three local glucose sources consisting of molasses, rice flour and rice bran especially the last two carbon sources designed to be combined with molasses in a ratio of 50 : 50 before being added to the aquaculture ponds. The experiment was carried out in a cylindrical shape with a diameter of 2.5 m. and 1m. height by 0.8 m. water depth. The water volume was maintained between 2.5 -3 tonnes at all times along with dissolved oxygen maintained above 4 mg/L throughout the culture period. The stocking density of fish was stocked at a rate of 50 fish/m³. Fish were fed according to the catfish feeding program within 120 days before harvest. All three carbon sources are added to the system when the ammonia reaches more than 0.05 mg./L together with controlling 15 : 1 for the C : N ratio. The results showed that the growth of climbing perch fish reached the largest market size, namely 4 fish/kg. When to add all carbon sources. In addition, body weight gain, average daily weight gain, feed conversion ratio, specific growth rate and survival rate were not significant ($P > 0.05$). However, it had a significant

relationship between fish growth and bioflocs concentration in each treatment ($P < 0.05$). Therefore, climbing perch fish is one of the most suitable species to be cultivated in a bioflocs system by adding rice flour or bran as a good alternative carbon source. specific growth rate and survival rate were not significant ($P > 0.05$). However, it had a significant relationship between fish growth and bioflocs concentration in each treatment ($P < 0.05$). Therefore, climbing perch fish is one of the most suitable species to be cultivated in a bioflocs system by adding rice flour or bran as a good alternative carbon source. specific growth rate and survival rate were not significant ($P > 0.05$). However, it had a significant relationship between fish growth and bioflocs concentration in each treatment ($P < 0.05$). Therefore, climbing perch fish is one of the most suitable species to be cultivated in a bioflocs system by adding rice flour or bran as a good alternative carbon source.

If the results of this study are related to the results of previous studies, especially with a higher Specific Growth Rate, for fry sizes 3, 5, and 7 reared in bioflocs systems and conventionally using floating pellet feed with 34% protein and the presence of a floc content with 36.42% protein, as well as the possibility of differences in endemic varieties of climbing perch fish thought to be triggers in daily growth. The treatment with a stocking density of 7 cm in the bioflocs system compared to other treatments because it had the highest specific growth rate (SGR) (8,419%) and was higher than previous studies.

4.3 Standard Length

The BFC3 treatment had a higher standard length difference (4.33 cm) followed by the K3 treatment (4.22 cm), BFC5 (3.56 cm), K5 (3.03 cm), BFC7 (2.60 cm) and K7 (1.32cm). While the highest average length during maintenance was in the BFC7 treatment (8.16 cm) and the lowest was in K3 (5.24 cm). This difference occurs due to the initial size treatment when stocking and rearing media. Haque et al. [30] reported the final average length gain (cm) of Vietnamese climbing perch fish in the range of $13.58 \pm 0.01 - 13.70 \pm 0.03$, cm. with initial length not informed only an average weight of 1.01 gram during maintenance September 01, 2013 to April 02, 2014 (7 months). Widiyati et al, [31], used fry of initial length in the range of 2-3 cm, but did not inform the length at the end of the study, only provided information on the initial weight in grams and the

final weight in grams. With weight gain in the range of 1.83-2.73 grams during 40 days of maintenance. Kazi et al, [25] used an average initial length of 5.80 cm, during 90 days of rearing it produced a final length in the range (cm) $11.15 \pm 1.04a - 12.50 \pm 0.66a$, with a difference range of 5.35 – 6.70 cm. Anantharaja et al. [32], used the initial size with a length range of 5.60 ± 0.57 cm while the final length in the aquaponic system was 9.02 ± 0.54 cm and 8.19 ± 0.59 cm in the conventional system with an additional length of 3.6 cm and 2.59 respectively cm during maintenance of 42 days.

The treatment with stocking density of 7 cm in the bioflocs system was more than the other treatments with a difference in length of 4.33 cm and a final length of 8.16 cm.

4.4 Survival Rate

The survival results of climbing perch fish during the study based on the highest score were sorted as follows: BFC3 (99.67%), BFC7 (99.14%), BFC3 (94.26%), K3 (94.26%), K5 (85.78%)) and K7 (82.00%). Haque et al [30], reported a range between $92.50 \pm 2.200 - 95.12 \pm 1.120$ (%)., Waseeh et al, [26] reported a range of 92.75% - 98.5%, Jannat et al [5] reported the survival rate of climbing perch fish ranged from - $96.57 \pm 0.03a$ %. Mandal et al. [33], which also shows the same thing (96%). Whereas Zafar, et al, [13], reported for the Vietnamese climbing perch variety the survival range was 93.25 - 95.14% and the mature variety was 64.00 – 72.00% [25], the survival rate of the research was around $57.67 \pm 1.53c - 71 \pm 1.0a$ $67 \pm 1.0b$ %. The highest (93.60%) and lowest (83.06%) survival rates were in Thai climbing perch (T4) and local climbing perch (T3) [21]. Survival rate (%) 95.15 ± 0.43 ns₉₆ - $96 . 0 6 \pm 0 . 0 8$ ns [29].

If seen from the value of the research results, both the treatment of fry size and the maintenance media of the bioflocs and conventional systems are in accordance with several previous studies. The best value is obtained attreatment with stocking density of fry size ± 7 cm and ± 3 cm in the bioflocs system with a passing grade of 99.67%. The survival range of the bioflocs system was 94.89-99.67% and that of the conventional system was 82.00 - 94.26%. Ekasari et al. [20]; Ekasari et al. [34]; Emerenciano et al. [35], argued that Bioflocs technology can support the provision of good quality fry by increasing the reproductive performance of aquaculture fish and increasing the immunity and resistance of fish.

The bioflocs system is able to increase survival in the range of 5-14% when compared to conventional systems [36,37]. It is suspected that the small seed size is able to adapt to the rearing environment and the ability of the climbing perch fish disease resistance to be smaller is better.

4.5 Feed Conversion Ratio

The average feed conversion results during the treatment study were ordered as follows: BFC7 (1.316) BFC5 (1.319), BFC3 (1.359), K3 (1.763), K5 (1.816) and K7 (1.831). When viewed from this value, it shows that the bioflocs system is able to provide better feed efficiency than conventional systems in the range of 34-39%.

Arnuparp, et al. [29] reported that the feed conversion ratio (FCR) research results ranged from 1.55 ± 1.32 - 1.57 ± 1.02 . The feed conversion ratio (FCR) values of research results [26] ranged from 1.48 to 1.85, and 1.56. Kazi et al, [25] reported that FCR food conversion values ranged from 2.81 to 3.19, the lowest value. Uddin et al. [28] reported FCR ranging from 2.65 to 2.93 when cultured in Kaptai Lake cages. The results of this study have similarities with Christensen's experiments. Hasan et al [27] reported that the FCR values of climbing perch fish kept in hapa ranged from 3.31 to 3.99, higher than this study. This is presumably due to the use of low quality pellet feed by Hasan et al [27], Moniruzzaman et al [38] observed FCR (2.81-3.19).

When compared with the results of the above studies it is better for maintenance in the bioflocs system. While the conventional system is still in line with previous research. The handling of the production of bioflocs media, availability of flock and feed content of 34% protein and floc protein content of 36% is thought to have resulted in a better feed conversion ratio. The bioflocs system is able to provide better feed efficiency than conventional systems in the range of 34-39%.

4.6 Floc Volume Density (FVD)

The mean value of floc volume density (FVD) in each treatment was ordered as follows: BFC 3 = 5.35 ml/L, BFC5 = 7.07 ml/L, BFC7 = 8.16 ml/L, K3 = 5.24 ml/L, K5 = 6.66 ml/L and K7 = 7.83 ml/L. The floc content in the conventional treatment is not bioflocs produced by the system, because measurements using an Imhoff funnel only measure sediment from filtering one liter of media water. According to [25], ideal floc content

in a bioflocs system for shrimp is in the range of 5 – 15 ml/L, tilapia fry is in the range of 5-20 ml/L, juvenile to adult size (consumption size) is in the range of 20 - 50 ml/L. According to Avnimelech [19], the number of flocks in the range between 1-10 mg/liter is considered low and between 10-20 mg/liter is considered moderate. The floc content of climbing perch fish reared by bioflocs and conventional systems was in the range of 15.50 – 43.06 ml/L [39]. Because the range of flocs for all treatments was in the range of 5.24 – 8.16 ml/L, in line with the opinion [35], but lower than the results of the study [39]. Thus the results of this research are ideal according to [35], whereas according to Avnimelech [19], the number of flocs in the range between 1-10 mg/liter is considered low and between 10-20 mg/liter is considered moderate. Arnuparp et al [29], reported the floc content of Papua fish rearing in the range of $170 \pm 0.81b$ - 190 ± 0.10 . (mg/L) . Sumitro et al [40] reported a floc volume density of 60-80 ml/L, more suitable for cultivating catfish in a bioflocs system. Thus there is an opportunity to increase the content of floc so that the availability of bioflocs is more consumed by Papua fish.

4.7 Water Quality

The water temperature during the study period, air and water temperatures ranged from 28.54 to 28.71 (°C). From the results of this study the range of acidity degrees in all treatments was in the range of 6.34 – 7.27. The average dissolved oxygen measurement results were in the range of 5.77 – 6.90 mg/L. The average measurement results for ammonia levels (mg/L) were in the range of 0.49 – 0.57 mg/L. The temperature ranges in studies on conventional and bioflocs systems are within acceptable ranges for fish farming, and these results are consistent with the findings of Uddin et al [41], Uddin et al [28], Bashar et al [42], Bashar et al [43], Moniruzzaman et al [38], and Moniruzzaman et al [44]. Boyd (1982) has also reported that the water temperature range from 26.06 to 31.97°C is suitable for fish farming whereas according to [35], in the bioflocs system in the range of 28–30° [29] reported water quality for climbing perch fish in the bioflocs system with a water temperature range of 26.80 - 30.67 °C. A good degree of acidity for the bioflocs system is in the range of 6.8–8.0 [35], while the results of the study were lower than the results of the study [39] on the climbing perch fish bioflocs system in the range of 7.6 – 7.8 [29] reported water quality for climbing perch fish using the bioflocs system with an acidity range of 7.85 – 8.14.

Oxygen is the most important stress factor with a direct impact on the health and survival of caged fish [45]. For optimal fish growth, DO levels should be above 5 ppm for warm water fish species (Boyd 1982). The DO concentration at the experimental sites ranged from 5.1 to 7.8 mg L⁻¹, which is within the acceptable range for aquaculture and is in line with the findings of Uddin et al [28] Bashar et al [42], Bashar et al [43], and Alamgir [46]. However, fish can survive at concentrations of 0.50 mg L⁻¹ ,, but the most suitable DO range for fish farming is suggested from 5.0 to 8.0 mg L⁻¹ (DoF 1996) [30], suggested that the dissolved oxygen content of the bioflocs system was above 4 mg/L [23] reported water quality for climbing perch fish using the bioflocs system with a dissolved oxygen range of 4.80 - 5.01 mg/L. From the results of this study, the dissolved oxygen content in all treatments was within 5.87 - 6.90 mg/L. Thus the dissolved oxygen content is good for the formation of bioflocs, the growth and life of the Papuan fish [35] dissolved ammonia content should be less than 1 mg/L whereas according to [39] the results of research on the climbing perch fish bioflocs system obtained values in the range $0.24 \pm 0.05c - 0.78 \pm 0.10a$, Ammonia-nitrogen (mg/L) 0.05-0.85 0.06-0.92 [21,29] reported water quality for climbing perch fish using the bioflocs system with a dissolved ammonia range of 0.019 - 0.021 mg/L .

5. CONCLUSION

1. The bioflocs system was able to increase the average growth when compared to conventional systems in the range of 12-67% with an average of 29.73%.The highest value for the daily growth rate or Specific Growth Rate (%/day) was for fry with a size of 7 cm in the bioflocs system (8,419%). The seed size of 3 cm in the bioflocs system had the highest standard length difference (4.33 cm) while the highest average length during maintenance was the seed size of 7 cm in the bioflocs system.
2. The results of the living climbing perch fish during the study based on the highest score were at 3 cm seed size in the bioflocs system. The survival range of the bioflocs system is 94.89 - 99.67 % and that of the conventional system is 82.00 - 94.26%. Thus the bioflocs system is able to increase survival in the range of 5-14% when compared to conventional systems.
3. The bioflocs system is able to provide better feed efficiency than conventional systems in

the range of 34-39%. The average value of flocc content in the bioflocs system is in the range of 5.35 – 8.16 ml/L, still quite ideal but can still be improved.

4. The water temperature during the study period, air and water temperatures ranged from 28.54 to 28.71 (°C), degree of acidity in all treatments in the range of 6.34 – 7.27, dissolved oxygen levels in the range of 5.77 – 6.90 mg/L) while the average measurement results for ammonia levels (mg/L) were in the range of 0.49 – 0.57 mg/L. The temperature ranges in the studies on conventional and bioflocs systems are within the acceptable range for fish farming.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Sukadi MF, Widiyati A, Nugroho E, Komarudin O, Azwar ZI, Prihadi TH et al. Analysis of local fish commodities in Central Kalimantan. Research results seminar 2010. Bogor: Freshwater Aquaculture Research Institute. 2009;19 .
2. Kusmini II, Sukadi MF, Gustiano R, Prihadi TH, Huwoyon GH. Increasing regional potential fish production in Jambi. Seminar on Research Results in 2017. Bogor Freshwater Aquaculture Research Institute. 2017;15 .
3. Susila N. The effect of stocking density on the survival of betOK fish (*Anabas testudineus* Bloch) larvae reared in basins. J Trop Anim Sci. 2016;5(2):72-5.
4. Habib KA, Newaz AW, Badhon MK, Naser MN, Shahabuddin AM. Effects of stocking density on growth and production performance of cage reared climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*) of high yielding Vietnamese stock. World J Agric Sci. 2015 ISSN 1817-3047;11(1):19-28. DOI: 10.5829/idosi.wjas.2015.11.1.1840.
5. Jannat KM, Rahman MM, Bashar MA, Hassan MN, Ahamed F, Hossain MY. Effects of stocking density on survival, growth and production of Thai climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*) under fed ponds. Sci Malays. 2012;41(10): 1205-10.
6. Wijayanti M, Amin M, Tanbiyaskur T, Jubaedah D, Jaya K, Ziyad MA et al. Aquaponic biofloc technology by swamp bacteria probiotic for *Clarias* catfish

- rearing. J Aquacult Fish Health. September 2021;10(3).
DOI: 10.20473/jafh.v10i3.23549.
7. Nanda TN, Mulyono Mugi, Farchan M, Panjaitan AS, Thaib EA, 2020. erformance of Sangkuriang catfish [*Clarias gariepinus*]. Catfish cultivation with grading interventions. Agroqua J. Tahun . 2020;18(2).
DOI: 10.32663/ja.v%vi%i.1297.
 8. Soedibya PHT, Pramono TB, Listiowati E. Growth performance of African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* cultured in biofloc system at high stocking density. J Akuakultur Indones. 2017;16(2):244-52.
DOI: 10.19027/jai.16.2.244-252
 9. Supono S, Yanti AN, Pertiwi AP, Tarsim T, Wardiyanto W. The effect of nauplii artemia sp. enriched with biofloc on the performance of *Penaeus monodon* and *Penaeus vannamei* post-larvae. Aceh J Anim Sci. 2020;5(2):81-6.
DOI: 10.13170/ajas.5.1.14811
 10. rates and feed conversion ratios in gouramy (*Osphronemus gouramy* LAC.) cultivation with the biofloc system and feeding with different protein levels. Mina Sains J. 2019;5(1):42-9.
DOI: 10.30997/jms. v5i1.1772
 11. Septia NL, Ekasari, Julie, Fauzi IA. Growth performance of Gurame *Osphronemus gouramy* Seeds in the biofloc system with different feeding rates; 2019.
Available: <https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=ID2021104964>
 12. Rahman MM, Bashar MA, Hasan MN. Effects of stocking density on survival, growth and production of Thai climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*) under fed ponds. Sains Malays. 2012;41(10):1205-10.
 13. Zafar MA, Hasan MZ, Ali MM, Asif AA. Growth and production performance of Vietnamese koi (*Anabas testudineus*) with Magur (*Clarias batrachus*) at different stocking densities. Asian-Austr. J BioSci Biotechnol. 2017;2:226-37.
 14. Mumu UH, Hossain MN. Sustainable semi-intensive aquaculture of climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*) in Mymensingh region, Bangladesh: a Comparative Study. AJFAR [study], 11(1). 2021:8-22.
DOI: 10.9734/ajfar/2021/v11i130193.
 15. Ekasari J. Research for Solutions to Problems with Bioflocs Technology (pp-pdf). Presented at the Webinar of the Sukabumi Freshwater Aquaculture Center. Directorate general of aquaculture, KKP. 2020;21.
 16. Zonneveld N, Huisman EA, Boon JH. Principles of fish farming. Gramedia Pustaka Utama, Jakarta, 318 pp; 1991.
 17. Charles R, Chatain B, Vandeputte M, Trinh TQ, Benzie JAH, de Verdal H. Can individual feed conversion ratio at commercial size be predicted from juvenile performance in individually reared Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*, Aquaculture Reports. 2020;17:2020, 100349, ISSN 2352-5134.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2020.100349
 18. Effendi I. Fisheries biology. Yogyakarta: Archipelago Library Foundation; 1997.
 19. Avnimelech Y. Bioflocs technology: A practical guide book. Sorrento: World Aquaculture Society; 2009.
 20. Ekasari J. Bioflocs technology as an integral approach to enhance production and ecological performance of aquaculture. Dissertations. Ghent University; 2014.
 21. Kohinoor AHM and Md. Moshir Rahman and Md. Shahidul Islam and Yahia Mahmud, 2016. Growth and production performance of climbing perch Thai Koi and Vietnamese Koi Strain (*Anabas testudineus*) in Bangladesh. J Int J Fish Aquat Stud. 2016;4:354-357.
 22. Kocour M, Linhart O, Gela D, Rodina M. Growth performance of all-female and mixed-sex common carp *Cyprinus carpio* L. populations in the Central European climatic conditions. J World Aquacult Soc. 2005;36:103-13.
 23. Nugroho E, Khakim A, Dewi RRRSPS. The performance of tilapia culture in biofloc technology (BFT) and floating net cage (KJA) systems as a candidate for the next tilapia culture in Indonesia. IOP Conf S Earth Environ Sci. 012020 IOP Publishing; 2020.
DOI:10.1088/1755-1315/521/1/012020; 521(1).
DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/521/1/012020
 24. Debnath S, Ahmed MU, Parvez MS, Karmokar AK, Ahsan MN. Effect of stocking density on growth performance and body composition of climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*) in bioflocs system. Aquacult Int. 2022;30(3):1089-100.
DOI: 10.1007/s10499-021-00812-4.
 25. Kazi BU, Mohammad M, Abul B, Sanjib B, Saiful I, Yahia M et al. Culture potential of

- Thai climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*) in experimental cages at different stocking densities in Kaptai Lake, Bangladesh. Aquaculture, aquarium, conservation & legislation. Int J Bioflux Soc. 2016;9(3): 564-73.
26. Abdul WM, Arifur Rahman AFM, Khan NS. Effects of artificial food additives in Vietnam koi, *Anabas testudineus* (Bloch, 1792) Pond culture system. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research ISSN: 2456-7248. Impact Factor: RJIF 5.44 Received: 05-08-2020; Published: 06-09-2020. 2020;5(3):50-54. Available: <http://www.fishjournals.com>
 27. Hasan M, Ahmad AKS, Khan MMR. A preliminary investigation into the production of Thai koi (*Anabas testudineus*) reared in nylon hapas in Bangladesh. Bangladesh Research Publications [Journal]. 2010;4:15-23.
 28. Mahmud, Lee Seunghan, Bai SungchulC. Uddin Kazi Belal and Mohammad Moniruzzaman and Abu E. Bashar and S. Sanjib Basak and KM Saiful Islam and Yahia. AACL Bioflux. 2016. Culture potential of Thai climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*) in experimental cages at different stocking densities in Kaptai Lake, Bangladesh. 2016;9(3):564-73.
 29. Wankanapol A, Tongsir S, Chaibu P. Growth performance of climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*) in bioflocs culture system using different sources of organic carbon. Burapha Science Journal Volume 25 (No.3) September. 2020;1015-25.
 30. Mozammel HMD, Helal Hosen Md, Moklesur Rahman Md, 2017. Growth performance of Vietnamese koi (*Anabas testudineus*) in a commercial farm ISSN: 2455-944X Int.. Curr J. Res. Biol Med. 2017;2(3);2(3) – 2017:1-7 1 International Journal Of Current Research Inbiology And Medicine ISSN: 2455-944X. DOI: 10.22192/ijcrbm.
 31. Widiyati A, Asyiah BN, Heru Prihadi T, Puspaningsih D. Rearing technology for climbing fish (*Anabas testudineus*) on different salinity. E3S Web Conf Sci FIMaS 2018. 2018;47:02003. DOI: 10.1051/e3sconf/20184702003.
 32. Anantharaja K, Mohapatra BC, Pillai BR, Kumar R, Devaraj C, Majhi D. Growth and survival of climbing perch, *Anabas testudineus* in Nutrient Film Technique (NFT) Aquaponics System. Int J Fish Aquat Stud. 2017;5(4):24-9.
 33. Mondal MN, Shahin J, Wahab MA, Asaduzzaman M, Yang Y. Comparison between cage and pond production of Thai climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*) and tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) under three management systems. J Bangladesh Agric Univ. 2010;8(2):313-22. DOI: 10.3329/jbau.v8i2.7943
 34. Ekasari J, Suprayudi MA, Wiyoto W, Hazanah RF, Lenggara GS, Sulistiani R et al. Bioflocs technology application in African catfish fingerling production: the effects on the reproductive performance of broodstock and the quality of eggs and larvae. Aquaculture. 2016;464: 349-56. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.07.013
 35. Emerenciano MGC, Martínez-Córdova LR, Martínez-Porchas M, Miranda-Baeza A. Bioflocs technology (BFT): a tool for water quality management in aquaculture. In: Tutu H, editor. Water quality. London, UK: IntechOpen. 2017;5:92-109. DOI: 10.5772/66416
 36. DoF (Department of Fisheries). Matsya pokkha sankalan. Department of Fisheries, Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh; 1996;81. [in Bangla].
 37. Uddin KaziB, Abul Bashar M, Saiful Islam AKM. Nursing of Thai climbing perch, *Anabas testudineus* in Kaptai Lake cages. AACL Bioflux. 2021;2021;14(6).
 38. Moniruzzaman M, Uddin KB, Basak S, Mahmud Y, Zaher M, Bai SC. Effects of stocking density on growth, body composition, yield and economic returns of monosex tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) under cage culture system in Kaptai Lake of Bangladesh. J Aquacult Res Dev. 2015; 6(8):357.
 39. Kumar K, Lalrinsanga PL, Sahoo M, Mohanty UL, Kumar R, Sahu AK. Length-weight relationship and condition factor of *Anabas testudineus* and *Channa* species under different culture systems. World J Fish Mar Sci. 2013;5: 74-8.
 40. Sumitro S, Afandi Arfan, Safia WO. Evaluation of Flock Volume Levels on water Quality and Production Performance of catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) Cultured Using a Micropore Pipe as an Aeration Diffuser. J Aquacult Fish Health. 2022; 11(2):163-9. DOI: 10.20473/jafh.v11i2.25186.

41. Uddin KB, Basak S, Moniruzzaman M, Islam AKMS, Mahmud Y. Impact of brush shelter – a fish aggregating device (FAD) on the production potentiality of Kaptai Lake in Bangladesh. *World J Fish Mar Sci.* 2015;7(4):288-94.
42. Bashar MA, Basak S, Uddin KB, Islam AKMS, Mahmud Y. Seasonal variation of zooplankton population with reference to water quality of Kaptai Lake, Bangladesh. *Bangladesh research publications Journal.* 2015;11(2):127-133.
43. Bashar MA, Basak S, Uddin KB, Islam AKMS, Mahmud Y. Changing trends of physicochemical parameters in Kaptai; 2015.
44. Moniruzzaman M, Uddin KB, Basak S, Bashar A, Mahmud Y, Zaher M et al. Effects of stocking density on growth performance and yield of Thai silver barb (*Barbonymus gonionotus*) reared in floating net cages in Kaptai Lake, Bangladesh. *AACL Bioflux.* 2015b;8(6): 999-1008.
45. Masser MP 1997. Cage Culture Site Selection and Water Quality. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center. Publication No. 161.
46. Alamgir M. Impact of physico-chemical parameters on the changing pattern of production potentiality of Kaptai Lake. *Bangladesh J Zool.* 2004;5.

© 2023 Hanafie et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95705>