



# Impact of Front-Line Demonstration on Direct Seeded Rice Technology under Rice-Wheat Cropping System

Ajay Chourasiya <sup>a\*</sup>, Tripathi Uttam Kumar <sup>a</sup>,  
Satyam Chauriha <sup>a</sup> and Ashok Kumar Sharma <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Deendayal Research Institute, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Majhgawan, Satna (M.P.), India.

## **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i242713

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94599>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 10/10/2022**

**Accepted: 14/12/2022**

**Published: 31/12/2022**

## **ABSTRACT**

Krishi Vigyan Kendra Satna laid out Front Line Demonstration in the year Kharif 2020 and 2021 direct seeded rice technology on farmer's field. Direct-seeded rice (DSR) has outperformed farmer's practices. DSR performance was higher at 43.03 q/ha and 44.14 q/ha in 2020 and 2021, respectively, than at 35.83 and 35.92 q/ha in farmer's practices. The average rise in performance was 21.49%. In spite of increase in yield of rice, technology gap and extension gap existed. The DSR technology gave higher gross monetary return (80380 & 85632 Rs./ha), net monetary return (44659 & 50086 Rs./ha) with higher benefit cost ratio (2.25 & 2.41) as compared to farmer's practices. The variation in per cent had increase the yield due to the use of long duration local rice varieties by following the practicing of broadcasting sowing method, poor crop management practices, lack of knowledge and poor socio-economic condition. With respect to sustainable farming practices, the study found that FLD programs were effective at changing attitudes, skills and knowledge about DSR adoption.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [ajaychourasiya09@gmail.com](mailto:ajaychourasiya09@gmail.com);

**Keywords:** FLD; DSR; broadcasting, rice; yield gap; economics.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rice is the foremost cereals and consumed as major food at world level. Worldwide, it was grown on an area of 165.21 m ha with production of 509.32 m tones and 46.00 q/ha of average productivity [1]. In India, rice is being grown on an area of 45.07 m ha with 122.27 mt of production and 27.13 q/ha of average productivity [2]. In Madhya Pradesh it is grown on 3.40 m ha area with production 12.31 mt and productivity of 36.17 q/ha [3]. Rice–wheat is one of the most widely adopted cropping system in the world. Cultivating of degenerated local seed and long duration rice variety by broad casting sowing method besides that imbalance fertilizers use which results in lower productivity in rainfed area of Satna district. Agricultural universities across the country have developed a number of new and improved technologies that help increase crop yields and reduce cropping costs, especially on-farm mechanization. To close the gap between the potential return and the return on farmer's practices, extension activities such as front-line demonstrations, training and information on new technologies play a key role. Krishi Vigyan Kendras (KVKs) in the country has supported many extension activities and has reduced this yield gap which helps small and marginal farmers maintain their economic status.

This DSR technology has proven effective in saving water and improving rice yield around the world and currently contributes 23% of rice production under direct seeding [4]. The DSR increase the net profit by reducing the cost of production. Direct seeded rice-drill increases productivity by 8-10% over broadcasting method [5]. Short duration variety suitable for rain fed condition, direct seeded rice, weed & nutrient management. Therefore, the present study is undertaken to create awareness on direct seeded rice sowing method through front line demonstration.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Front line demonstrations on rice were conducted during Kharif 2020 and 2021 at farmer's fields of Satna district to found the impact of frontline demonstration on direct seeded rice technology under rice-wheat cropping system. 13 FLDs were conducted with randomly selected farmer's fields in Naugawan and Shahpur villages of Majhgawan block of Satna. Geographically, Satna is located in the

Satpura and Kaymore Plateau range at an elevation of 313 metres above mean sea level and between 24° 51' 15" and 24° 57' 30" N latitude and 80° 43' 30" and 80° 54' 15" E longitude. The place has a subtropical climate characterized by a hot and dry summer and a chilly winter. The farmer's fields had sandy loam soil with a shallow depth, very low readily available nitrogen, low phosphorus and but more readily available potassium. The soil reaction was close to neutral. The conventional rice-wheat cropping system has been observed on the ground since the last 15 years. Each front line demonstration was of 0.4 ha area and Improved short duration rice variety seed (MTU 1010), seed treatment fungicide, herbicide, pesticide and fertilizer were managed by farmers as per the recommendation of package of practices as indicated by Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur. The rice seed was sown with tractor operated seed cum fertilizer drill in demonstration field and broadcasting sowing in farmer's practices field for analysis of yield and economic feasibility. Selected farmers received training in the usage of seed cum fertilizer seed drill for sowing, numerous field visits were made to gather the necessary data during the crop growth period, and a field day was conducted before harvest day to raise awareness of the selected technology among the villagers. Farmers were informed to record all quantities of inputs used in demonstration plots as well as farmer's practice plots and used for economic analysis. The necessary steps for the selection of demonstration site and farmers, lay out of demonstration, etc were followed as suggested by Chaudhary [6]. The farmer's practices were maintained in local check. The data were collected from both demonstration practices as well as farmer's practices. To estimate the technology gap, the extension gap and the technology index, the following formula was used as indicated below were used as suggested by Samui et al. [7], Sagar and Chandra [8] and Dayanand and Mehta [9].

Extension Gap =  $D_i$  (Demonstration plot yield) –  $F_i$  (Farmer's practice plot yield)

Technology Gap =  $P_i$  (Potential yield)-  $D_i$  (Demonstrated yield)

Technology Index (%) =  $\frac{\text{Technology gap}}{\text{Potential yield}} \times 100$

**Table 1. Details of front line demonstration and farmer's practice in rice**

S.No.	Particulars	Front line demonstration	Farmer practice	Gap
1.	Crop variety	Short duration variety (MTU 1010)	Long duration variety (Local)	Full gap
2.	Seed rate (kg/ha)	50	80	Higher seed rate
3.	Seed treatment	Carboxin+ thiram @ 2 g/kg seed	No followed	Full gap
4.	Sowing method	Direct Seeded Rice Method	Broadcasting method	Full gap
5.	Fertilizer dose N:P:K:Zn (kg/ha)	80:40:20:20	60:30:0:0	Partial Gap
6.	Weed control	Bisbyribac-sodium @ 25 g/ha a.i. at 20 DAS	No followed	Full gap
7.	Plant protection measures	Need based plant protection measure	Not followed	Full gap

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Grain Yield

Harvesting of crop in all plots were carried out under the supervision of Krishi Vigyan Kendra specialist. Performance of yield from direct seeded rice and farmer's practices have been compared in Table 2. The grain yield of the demonstrated plots was recorded higher 43.03 and 44.14 q/ha, with an average of 43.59 q/ha compared to the farmer's practices 35.83 and 35.92 q/ha with an average of 35.88 q/ha in years Kharif 2020 and 2021. It is also noted that, 20.09% and 22.88% increase in crop yield in the DSR method compared with traditional practices during Kharif 2020 and 2021 respectively. Reducing nitrogen application in the direct seeding method minimizes the impact of pests and diseases that help improve crop yields in demonstration fields. These findings are similar to those of Iqbal et al., [5]. The productivity of rice could be increased in comparison to the yield obtained by farmers using local long duration varieties, unbalanced fertilizer doses, untimely sowing and no pest management. The foregoing findings are consistent with those of Singh et al, [10].

#### 3.2 Extension Gap

An extension gap between direct seeded rice and farmer's practices was calculated and depicted in Table 2. The extension gap 7.20, 8.22 q/ha was observed respectively. On an average of extension gap of two years front-line demonstration programme was 7.71 q/ha. This is because of the adoption of improved technology practices such as appropriate seed rates, use of

seed treatment, weed management, recommended dose of fertilizer, pest management etc. followed in the demonstrated plots which might resulted in higher grain yield than the traditional farmer's practices. Based on the extension gap, farmers were encouraged to adopt the improved production technology to reduce the extension gap and improve their grain yield.

#### 3.3 Technology Gap

The technology gap is the difference between potential yield and yield of demonstration plots which was recorded as 6.97 and 5.86 q/ha during the kharif 2020 and 2021 respectively. The average technology gap was found 6.42 q/ha. The difference in technology gap during two years could be due to more feasibility of recommended technologies like sowing time, seed rate, seed treatment, weed management, nutrient management and plant protection measures. The difference in the two-years technology gap may be due to higher feasibility of recommended technologies such as sowing method (DSR), seed rate, seed treatment, weed control, recommended dose of fertilizer and plant protection measures.

#### 3.4 Technology Index

The technology index shows the feasibility of the technology demonstrated in the farmer's field. The technology index varied from 13.94 and 11.72 per cent. In an average technology index found that 12.83 per cent during the two the years of front-line demonstration programme, this shows the effectiveness of efficient technology interventions. This will accelerate the adoption of a proven technical response to increase rice yield performance.

**Table 2. Effect of direct seeded rice and farmer's practices on grain yield and gap analysis**

Year	Yield (q/ha)		Increase (%)	Extension gap (q/ha)	Technology gap (q/ha)	Technology index (%)
	DSR	FP				
2020	43.03	35.83	20.09	7.20	6.97	13.94
2021	44.14	35.92	22.88	8.22	5.86	11.72
Average	43.59	35.88	21.49	7.71	6.42	12.83

**Table 3. Effect of direct seeded rice and farmer's practices on GMR, NMR and B:C ratio**

Year	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)		Gross monetary returns (Rs./ha)		Net monetary return (Rs./ha)		B:C ratio	
	DSR	FP	DSR	FP	DSR	FP	DSR	FP
2020	35721	34612	80380	66930	44659	32318	2.25	1.93
2021	35546	34521	85632	69685	50086	35164	2.41	2.02
Average	35634	34567	83006	68308	47372	33741	2.33	1.98

### 3.5 Economic Returns

The input and output prices for commodities prevailing in the demonstration study were used to calculate the Gross monetary returns, net monetary returns and benefit: cost ratio (Table 3). Rice growing using the direct seeded method gave a higher net monetary returns Rs. 47372 per ha as compared to Rs. 33741 per ha under farmer's practices. The benefit: cost ratio of rice growing by following direct seeded rice sowing method were 2.33 as compared to 1.98 under farmer's practices. This may be due to higher yield achieved under direct seeded rice compared to farmer's practices. This finding is corroborated by the conclusions of Iqbal et al. [5].

### 4. CONCLUSION

Farmers of Satna district under rainfed condition generally grow local seed by broad casting method besides that imbalance use of fertilizers showed low yield. To get better of this problem in the direct seeded rice (DSR) drill method was introduced for rainfed area. The average crop yield of rice using DSR method was 43.59 q/ha and in farmer's practices it was 35.88 q/ha compared with a potential yield of 50 q/ha. By utilising 30% less crop inputs, such as seed and fertilizer, adoption of the DSR approach in rice production increased by up to 21.49 percent while reducing the occurrence of weeds, pests and diseases. Increase in grain yield under DSR extended the B:C ratio up to Rs. 2.33 higher than farmer's practices profit. The study suggests that adopting the DSR approach in rice farming minimizes inputs, weeds, pests and disease incidence.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors sincerely thanks of ICAR-Agricultural Technology Application Research Institute, Zone IX, Jabalpur and Deendayal Research Institute-Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Satna for providing the necessary support.

### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

1. Rice data, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture; 2021. Available: <https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rice-data/>
2. Agricultural statistics at a glance 2021. Department of Agriculture and Cooperation; 2021. Available: <https://eands.dacnet.nic.in/>
3. Department of Farmer Welfare and Agriculture Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh Bhopal; 2021. Available: <http://mpkrishi.mp.gov.in/>
4. Rao AN, Johnson DE, Sivaprasad B, Ladha JK, Mortimer AM. Weed management in direct-seeded rice. *Advance in Agronomy*. 2007;93: 153–255.
5. Iqbal S, Iqbal N, Khalid UB, Saleem MU, Iram A, Rizwan M, Sabar M and Awan TH. Growth, yield and economic analysis of dry-seeded basmati rice. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. 2021;37(1):200-208.
6. Chaudhary, B N. *Krishi Vigyan Kendra- A guide for KVK managers*. Division of Agricultural Extension, ICAR.1999;73-78.

7. Samui SK, Maitra S, Roy DK, Mondal AK, Saha D. Evaluation on front line demonstration on groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L). Journal of Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research 2000;18:180-183.
8. Sagar RL and Chandra G. Evaluation of front line demonstrations on mustard in Sunderban, West Bengal. Indian Journal of Extension Education. 2004;40:96-97.
9. Dayanand VRK and Mehta SM. Boosting mustard production through front line demonstrations. Indian Research Journal of Extension Education. 2012;12(3):121-123.
10. Singh D, Singh AK, Singh A, Patel AK, Baghel MS. Impact assessment of short duration rice variety Birsa Vikas Dhan-109 in Sidhi district of Madhya Pradesh. Journal of Agri Search. 2015;2(1):53-60.

---

© 2022 Chourasiya et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94599>