Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International

18(3): 1-10, 2019; Article no.JAERI.48054
ISSN: 2394-1073

Tree Species Composition and Diversity of *Ipinu-Igede* Sacred Forest in Oju Local Government Area of Benue State, Nigeria

E. T. Ikyaagba[1*], J. I. Amonum[2] and S. Okwoche[2]

[1]Department of Social and Environmental Forestry, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria.
[2]Department of Forrest Production and Products, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAERI/2019/v18i330059
Editor(s):
(1) Dr. Daniele De Wrachien, Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, State University of Milan, Italy.
Reviewers:
(1) Nyong Princely Awazi, University of Dschang, Cameroon.
(2) Shadrack Kinyua Inoti, Egerton University, Kenya.
(3) Diogo José Oliveira Pimentel, Rural Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil.
Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48054

Original Research Article

Received 05 February 2019
Accepted 17 April 2019
Published 11 May 2019

ABSTRACT

The role of sacred forest/sacred groves in the conservation of biodiversity is well recognised and documented. Despite the importance of sacred forests in conservation, data of flora species composition and diversity in many sacred forests still remain scanty. The study was conducted to provide baseline data on tree species composition and diversity of Ipinu-Igede sacred forest with a view to promote the role of sacred forest in flora conservation in the area. Systematic sampling technique was adopted for the study. A base line transect of 2 km long was established and five (5) other transects 2 km long were laid at regular interval of 500 km apart. On each transect, 4 sampling plots of 50 mx50 m were established at a regular interval of 500m apart. Within the 50 m x50 m plots, trees with diameter at breast height (DBH)≥10 cm were identified and enumerated. Species Important Value Index (IVI), species richness, species evenness and species diversity were estimated. A total number of 50 tree species in 19 families were recorded. Cola gigantea was the most important tree species with IVI of 14.56, this was followed by Harungana madagascariensis with 13.14. Caesalpinioideae was the dominant family with 6 species, 48.15% of the families were represented by only one species. The species richness was D=9.436, Species

**Corresponding author: E-mail: ikyaagbater@gmail.com;*

Evenness was E´=0.7668 and species diversity was H=3.646. Thirty percent (30%) of the tree species were in the DBH class of 1-40cm indicating good regeneration status of the sacred forest. Acknowledgement of the traditional practices by scientists and other actors in natural resources conservation will help in promoting forest conservation.

Keywords: Biodiversity; conservation; regeneration; flora; traditional practices.

1. INTRODUCTION

The degradation of forest habitats due to anthropogenic activities are considered to be the major causes of decline in the global biodiversity [1,2]. In Nigeria, forest resources are continuously under pressure due to the increasing demands of people and their associated industries for water, food, fuel, and income [3]. Community and sacred forests are not left out, as pressures due to human activities are gradually creeping into community and sacred forests [4]. This is happening because the awareness about the value of forest is still limited, as people still regard forests as gifts of nature that should only be exploited without replacement, with erroneous belief that such depleted forests could regenerate naturally [5].

According to Chandrakanth et al. [6] and Ormsby [7] sacred forests are disappearing due to cultural change and pressure to use the natural resources that are found in these sacred forests. Despite the pressure, community and sacred forests appear to be the major sources of forest products in many communities because other forests have been completely deforested [8].

Sacred forests, also called sacred grooves, are places that have cultural or spiritual value for the people who live close to them [7]. Many communities around the world have reasons behind their protection of sacred grooves. Some of these reasons are based on religious practices [9,10] burial grounds [11] and watershed conservation [4,12].

In Nigeria, the role of sacred groves in the conservation of biodiversity are well recognized and documented [10,13,14,15,16]. Studies have demonstrated that, sacred groves possess a great heritage of diverse gene pool of many forest species having socio-religious attachment with a lot of medicinal values [11]. Sacred groves are considered to be of ecological and genetically important [4]. They harbour rare, endemic and endangered species of flora and fauna [11].

Despite the established values of sacred forests in biodiversity conservation in Nigeria, information on biodiversity of sacred forests is still scanty. This study was conducted in order to provide preliminary information on the tree species composition and diversity in *Ipinu-Igede* sacred forest with a view of promoting forests biodiversity conservation in the area through the use of traditional institution.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The *Ipinu-Igede* sacred Forest is located in Oju Local Government Area of Benue State within the Southern Guinea Savanna zone covering an area of approximately 3km^2. It lies between Longitude 8°25' 0" and 8°41'67" E, and Latitude 6° 51' 0" and 6°85'0" N. Characterized by two distinct seasons; wet and dry season. The wet season occur between April to October, and dry season between November to March. Mean annual rainfall is between 1200mm and 1500mm. Mean annual temperature is 30°c. Relative humidity is between 60% and 80% wet but decreases in the early months of dry season [17]. *Ipinu-Igede* is an ancestral heritage site for the Igede people of Benue State stretching through three communities; *Oyinyi, Andibilla and Uchenyim*. It is the location where the ancestral fathers of *Igede* land first settled when they migrated to Benue and the sacred forest contains relicts of traditional worship practices.

2.2 Sampling Design

The survey team was made up of a plant taxonomist from the Department of Forest Production and Products, University of Agriculture, Makurdi and two experienced local guides who were knowledgeable in the local identification of tree species.

A base line was established 200 m from the edge of the forest and the five (5)subsequent transects of 2km long were systematically positioned parallel to the first as described by Buckland et al. (1993) using compass and GPS

at regular interval of 500 m apart. This was to cover a larger proportion of the forest. On each of the transect, 4 sample plots of 50m x 50m were systematically laid at intervals of 500m. Within the 50 x 50 m plots, trees with diameter at breast height (DBH) ≥ 10 cm were enumerated [18,19]. Diameters of trees were measured using a diameter tape. Where there were cases of irregular features such as buttresses, diameters were taken above those features [18]. Each of the tree encountered was assigned a class based on DBH. The identification of plants samples was carried out using flora Field guides [20,21,22]. This was in conjunction with the taxonomist that was engaged for the identification of the trees on the field. Some of the trees were identified through their local names with the aid of local guides, after which such names were compared with the names found in Agishi [22] which have the Igede and the scientific names.

2.3 Data Analysis

Tree species classification:

All plant species encountered were classified into families. Floristic composition in the study area was estimated using Importance Value Index (IVI), species richness, species diversity and species evenness.

Importance Value Index (IVI) was calculated for all species by summing relative frequency and relative density values for all the tree species. IVI was used to identify dominant tree species in the study area [23,24].

Frequency:

Frequency = (Number of plots in which species occur / Total number of plots sampled)

Relative frequency:

The degree of dispersion of individual species in an area in relation to the number of all the species occurred.

Relative Frequency = {(Species frequency of individual species/ Total of frequency values for all species) x100}

Density:

Density = (Number of individual species / Area sampled)

Relative density:

Relative density is the study of numerical strength of a species in relation to the total number of individuals of all the species and can be calculated as:

Relative Density = {(Species density of individual species/ Total density for all species) x 100}

Importance Value Index (IVI) = relative frequency+ relative density

Floristic composition in the sacred forest was estimated using diversity indices such as species richness, species evenness and species diversity. Species richness was computed using Margalef (1951) as expressed by Spellerberg [25] and Magurran [26] as follows:

$$D = \frac{(S - 1)}{\ln N}$$

Where, D = species richness index (Margalef index), S = number of species and N = the total number of individuals.

Species diversity was estimated using Shannon-wiener diversity index as expressed by Spellerberg [25] and Magurran [26].

$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i \ln p_i$$

Where H′ = species diversity index, pi = the proportion of individuals or the abundance of the i^{th} species expressed as a proportion of the total abundance. The use of natural log is usual because this gives information in binary digits.

Species evenness was estimated using Pielou's evenness (equitability) index (Pielou, 1975) used by Turyahabwe and Tweheyo [18] as follows:

$$J' = \frac{H'(observed)}{H_{max}}$$

J′ = Pielou's evenness index. Where H′ (observed) / H_{max}, where H_{max} is the maximum possible diversity, which would be achieved if all species were equally abundant (=Log S)

3. RESULTS

3.1 Tree Species Composition

A total number of 50 tree species in 27 families were recorded in all (Table 1). The most occurring tree species in *Ipinu-Igede* sacred forest were *Cola gigantea* with Relative Frequency (RF) of 5.67% and Relative Density (RD) of 8.89%, This was followed by *Harungana madagascariensis* with Relative Frequency (RF) of 4.26% and Relative Density (RD) of 8.89%, this was also followed closely by *Rauvolfia vomitoria* with Relative Frequency (RF) of 4.96% and Relative Density (RD) of 5.56%, *Elaeis guinensis* with Relative Frequency (RF) of 4.96%, and Relative Density (RD) of 4.44%. (Table 1).

On Important Value Index which provides knowledge on important species of the plant community; *Cola gigantea* was the most dominant species with IVI value of 14.56, followed by *Harungana madagascariensis*, *Rauvolfia vomitoria* and *Elaeis guinensis* with IVI values of 13.14, 10.52, 9.41 respectively (Table 1).

3.2 Family Composition

A total of 25 families were recorded in the study area. The result shows that Caesalpinioideae was the dominant family with six (6) tree species representing (12%) of the species recorded. This was followed by Apocynaceae, Meliaceae, Mimosaceae, Papilionioceae Sapindaceae with three (3) tree species representing (6%) of the species recorded. Thirteen (13) (48.15%) families recorded in the study area were represented by one (1) tree species. Also 8 (29.63%) of the families were represented by 2 species each, while 6(22.22) families were represented by 3 and above tree species (Fig. 1).

3.3 Species Diversity, Richness and Evenness Indices

A total of 50 species with 180 individual stands were recorded, The species richness for the *Ipinu-Igede* sacred forest was D= 9.436, species evenness J´= 0.7668 and Shannon-weiner's Diversity index stood at H'=3.646 (Table 2).



Fig. 1. Family Composition of Tree species in the Ipinu-Igede Sacred Groove

Table 1. Tree species composition showing the family, species, RF, RD, IVI of Ipinu-Igede Sacred Forest

S/N	Species	Family	RF	RD	IVI
1	*Afzelia africana* Pers.	Caesalpinioideae	2.84	2.78	5.61
2	*Albezia zygia* (DC) J.F. Macbr.	Mimosaceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
3	*Alchornea cordifolia* (Schmach & Thonn.) Mull.Arg	Euphorbiaceae	2.13	1.68	3.79
4	*Allophylus africanus* P.Beauv.	Sapindaceae	1.42	1.67	3.09
5	*Alstonia boonei* De Wild	Apocynaceae	3.55	2.78	6.32
6	*Anogeissus leiocarpus(DC) Guill. & Perr.*	Combretaceae	2.13	1.67	3.8
7	*Anthoclesta djalonesis* A.Chev.	Gentianaceae	0.71	1.11	1.82
8	*Antiaris toxicaria* (Rumph ex Pers.)	Moraceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
9	*Aubrevillea kerstingii (*Harms) Pellegr	Mimosaceae	1.42	1.67	3.09
10	*Baphia nitida Lodd*	Papilionoideae	2.13	2.22	4.35
11	*Barteria fistulosa* Mast.	Passifloraceae	2.13	2.22	4.35
12	*Berlinia grandiflora*(Vahl) Hutch. & Dalziel	Caesalpinioideae	1.42	1.11	2.53
13	*Bombax costatum* Pellegr. & Vuille	Bombaceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
14	*Canarium schweinfurthii* Engl.	Burseraceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
15	*Ceiba pentandra* (L) Gaertn	Bombacaceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
16	*Celtis Zenkeri* Engl.	Ulmaceae	0.71	1.11	1.82
17	*Chrysophyllum albidum G. Don*	Sapotaceae	2.84	2.22	5.06
18	*Cola argentea* Mast	Sterculiaceae	5.67	8.89	14.56
19	*Daniellia oliveri* (Rolfe) Hutch. & Dalziel	Caesalpinioideae	1.42	1.67	3.09
20	*Dialium guineense* Willd.	Caesalpinioideae	0.71	0.56	1.26
21	*Diospyros mespiliformis* Hochst ex D. AC	Ebanaceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
22	*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.	Arecaceae	4.96	4.44	9.41
23	*Erythrophelum suaveolens* (Gull.& Perr.) Brenan	Caesalpinioideae	1.42	1.11	2.53
24	*Ficus exasperata* Vahl.	Moraceae	3.55	2.78	6.32
25	*Garcinia livingstonei* T. Anders	Guttiferae	2.13	1.67	3.79
26	*Harungana madagascariensis* Lam. er Poir	Guttiferae	4.26	8.89	13.14
27	*Holarrhena floribunda* (G.Don) T. Durand & Schinz.	Apocynaceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
28	*Irvingia gabonensis* (Aubry-Lecomte) Baill	Irvingiaceae	2.13	2.22	4.35
29	*Isoberlinia doka* Craib & Stapf.	Caesalpinioideae	0.71	1.11	1.82
30	*Khaya grandifoliola* C.DC	Meliaceae	3.55	3.33	6.89
31	*Khaya senegalensis* (Desr.) A. Juss.	Meliaceae	2.84	3.33	6.17
32	*Kigelia africana* (Lam) Benth	Bignoniaceae	0.71	0.56	1.26
33	*Lonchocarpus laxiflorus* Guill. & Perr	Leguminosae	1.42	1.67	3.09
34	*Mangifera indica* Linn.	Anacardiaceae	0.71	0.56	1.26
35	*Milicia excelsa* (Welw.) C.C. Berg	Moraceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
36	*Morinda lucida* Benth	Rubiaceae	2.84	2.76	5.61
37	*Mussanga cecropioides* F. Br.	Cecropiaceae	0.72	0.56	1.26
38	*Napoleona Vogelii* Hook. & Planch	Lecythidaceae	0.71	0.56	1.26
39	*Newbouldia laevis* (P. Beauv.) Seemann exBureau	Bignoniaceae	0.71	0.56	1.26
40	*Pachystela pobeguiniana* Pierre ex Lecomte	Sapotaceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
41	*Parkia bicolor* A. Chev	Mimosaceae	0.71	1.11	1.82
42	*Pterocarpus erinaceus* Lam	Papilionoideae	2.84	1.67	4.51
43	*Pterocarpus santalinoides* DC	Papilionioceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
44	*Rauvolfia vomitoria* Afzel.	Apocynaceae	4.96	5.56	10.52
45	*Rothmannia hispida* (K. Schum) Fagerlind	Rubiaceae	0.71	1.11	1.82
46	*Spondias mombin* Linn.	Anacardiaceae	2.84	2.78	5.61
47	*Syzygium guineense* (Willd.) DC	Myrtaceae	2.13	1.67	3.79
48	*Terminalia superba* Engl.&Diels	Combretaceae	1.42	1.11	2.53
49	*Uapaca togoensis* Pax	Euphorbiaceae	1.42	1.67	3.09
50	*Vitex doniana* Sweet	Verbanaceae	0.71	0.56	1.26

RF= Relative Frequency, RD= Relative Density, IVI= Important Value Index

Table 2. Species diversity, richness and evenness indices

Variables	Indices
Number of tree Species	50
Individuals	180
Shannon-weiner's index_H	3.646
Species Evenness (J´)	0.7668
Species Richness (D)	9.436



Fig. 2. DBH Class distribution in Ipinu- Igede

3.4 Diameter at Breast Height Class of the Species

The Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) class distribution indicated that 30% of the tree species were in DBH class of 1-40cm, 23% of the tree species were in the DBH class of 41-80cm. while 10 % of trees species in the study area were in DBH class of 161cm and above (Fig. 2).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Tree Species Composition

The number of tree species recorded in the *Ipinu-Igede* Sacred Forest was a demonstration of the value of sacred forest in forest biodiversity conservation. It also confirmed the diverse nature of sacred forest and it is an important conservation site [4,12]. The number of tree species recorded in this study was within the range of tree species composition recorded in Osun-Osogbo sacred grove with 61 tree species [10]. It was similar to 52 tree species recorded in Igbara-Oke sacred grove in Nigeria by Oyelowo et al. [12]. The number was higher than what was obtainable in *Ayan Nsit* sacred forest in Nigeria [27]. It was also higher than the number recorded by Daniel et al. (13) in some selected sacred forests in Nigeria in which the highest number of tree species recorded was 38 species. At international level it was higher than 38 tree species recorded in Ilangudipatti Ayyanar sacred grove in India [28].

This result when compared to other studies implies that species composition in *Ipinu-Igede* Sacred Forest is diverse in tree species, considering the location of the study area which is located in the savanna. Also coupled with the

fact that it has an inherent link with the host community who depend highly on the forest for timber, fuel wood, and other wood products for their livelihood which can easily result in the depletion of the tree species. Most of the tree species recorded in the study area were also recorded in other sacred forests in Nigeria [10,13,27]. A good number of them are of high economic value, such species included; *Ceiba pentandra, Elaeis guinensis, Irvingia gabonensis, Khaya grandifoliola, Milicia excelsa, Terminalia superba, Pterocarpus spp,* and many others. The high number of tree species recorded in this study agreed with the other previous studies which concluded that sacred forest of West Africa act as vital refuge for forest biodiversity [10,27,29,30, 31].

4.2 Family Composition

The domination of *Caesalpinioideae,* agreed with the records of Richard [32] and Schmitt [33 that Caesalpinioideae is the most dominant tree family in West Africa with 115 tree species. Study by Jimoh et al. [17] recorded *Caesalpinioideae* as the most abundant family. Other families with fair representation in the study area were *Apocynaceae, Meliaceae, Mimosaceae, Papilioniocеae and Sapindaceae.* Similar experience was recorded by Oyelowo et al., [12], Onyekwelu et al., [10], Daniel et al. [13] The representation of good number of the families by only one or two tree species is similar to other studies in the Savanna area of West Africa [34, 35,36]. However, this is an indication of the fragile nature of the savanna ecosystem, which requires attention to avoid extinction of some of these families.

4.3 Diversity Indices

Diversity index is the measure of variety of species in an area. According to Sax [37] and Daniel et al. [13] an area with diversity index > 1 is considered to be rich in species, while an area with diversity index < 1 is considered to be less diverse. The result shows good species richness 9.436 and good species diversity 3.646; this is an indication that *Ipinu-Igede* Sacred Forest was rich in tree species. This result is higher than 2.05 and 1.11 recorded by Udofia et al. [27] in Ayan Nsit, its species diversity value was also higher than 3. 54 and 2.35 recorded by Onyekwelu et al. [10] in Osun Osogbo and Igbo-Olodumare sacred groves. The Evenness index of this study was higher than the values of 0.66 and 0.44 recorded by Onyekwelu et al. [10] in Osun Osogbo and Igbo-Olodumare sacred groves. This was indication of fair representation of individual stand across species.

In Tanzania, species richness in sacred groves was greater than in state forest reserves [9]. In Benin, Alohou et al. [38] also recorded higher Species richness in Sacred forest compared to a forest reserve. This was an indication that some sacred forests are better than natural forests in terms of species richness, species diversity index and seedling regeneration potential. The evidence that sacred groves contain high species diversity and richness may support the consideration of conservationists for promoting sacred groves for in-situ biodiversity conservation.

The horizontal and of the forest as revealed by the diameter and height distribution shows a forest whose population structure is expanding, ensuring its stability. The high number of tree species within the DBH class of 1-40cm could be an indication of good regeneration status of *Ipinu-Igede* sacred forest. Similar experience was recorded by Oyelowo et al. [14] in Igbara-Oke in Nigeria, Onyekwelu et al. [10] also reported a similar experience in Odun-Osogbo sacred grove. Another reason for most of the species in the lower DBH class could be that there is an increase in the disturbance of the forest from human activities, despite restrictions. As suggested by some authors Colding and Folke [39],Kobina and Kofi [40] Jimoh et al., [41] the success of traditional systems of resource conservation relies heavily on the presence of a homogenous ethnic or cultural community sharing similar values and experiences. This is usually based on a strong shared belief in the spiritual world and its pervasive influence on people's lives. The presence of other tribes in the area could be another reason for the disturbance of *Ipinu- Igede* sacred forest. Similar experience was reported by Jimoh et al., [41] among Ejagham tribe in Cross River state of Nigeria. In some instances members of the community may consider traditional practices as being evil due to influence of new religion and westernization [10, 40,42]. In Ghana Saj et al. [43] reported a case where a Church encouraged her members to hunt monkey which is regarded as a taboo among the people. In Nigeria, Anoliefo et al. [44] and Akindele [45] reported that, many local people in Nigeria have embraced Christianity and hence shun traditional religion and its taboos. Some of these reasons stated above are responsible for degradation of sacred forest in

parts of the world, [6,46]. This calls for strong enforcement of laws guiding this sacred forest by the communities where they are located.

5. CONCLUSION

Sacred Forests are generally established to meet traditional needs of the people. Sacred forest usually promote conservation of biodiversity. The result of this study has contributed to the body of studies which demonstrates that sacred forest can contribute immensely to the conservation of forest biodiversity. The study revealed that *Ipinu-Igede sacred forest* still harbour many flora and fauna species. It is believed that the community maintained the Sacred forest in order to preserve their culture and tradition. Acknowledgement of the traditional practices by scientists and other actors in natural resources conservation will help in promoting forest conservation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. FAO (2000). Assessing Forest Integrity and Naturalness in Relation to Biodiversity. Forest Resources Assessment - WP 54. On behalf of FAO as part of the Global Forest Resources Assessment; 2000.
2. Morris RJ. Anthropogenic impacts on tropical forest biodiversity: A network structure and ecosystem functioning perspective. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. 2010; 365:3709–3718. DOI:10.1098/rstb.2010.0273
3. Oribhabor BJ. Impact of human activities on biodiversity in nigerian aquatic ecosystems. Science International. 2016; 4:12-20.
4. Agarwal M. Conserving water and biodiversity: traditions of sacred groves in India. European Journal of Sustainable Development. 2016;5(4):29-140.
5. Udofia SI. Status of Homegardens in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Ph.D Dissertation. Michael Okpara Univerrsity of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia Sate, Nigeria. 2007;35-04.
6. Chandrakanth MG, Bhat MG, Accavva MS. Socioeconomic changes and sacred groves in South India: Protecting a community-based resource management institution. Natural Resources Forum. 2004;28:102–111.
7. Ormsby A. Analysis of Local Attitudes Toward the sacred Groves of Meghalaya and Kamataka, India. Conservation Society. 2013;11:187-197.
8. Daye DD, Healey JR. Impacts of land-use change on sacred forests at the landscape scale. Global Ecology and Conservation. 2015;3:349–358.
9. Mgumia FH, Oba G. Potential role of sacred groves in biodiversity conservation in Tanzania. Environment and Conservation. 2003;30:259–265.
10. Onyekwelu JC, Olusola JA. Role of Sacred Grove in In-situ Biodiversity Conservation in Rainforest Zone of South-western Nigeria. Journal of Tropical Forest Science. 2014;26(1):5–15.
11. Okali DUU, Amubode FO. Resources conservation in Oboto, Nigeria. In: Towards Common Ground – Gender and Natural Resources Management in Africa. 1995;27-47.
12. Asokan A, Chouhan S, Singh V. Sacred Grove-A Nature's Gift-as a Remedy for Human Ailments, a Biodiversity Reservoir for Restoring Indigenous Traits for Endangered Listed Plants-A Review. Open Access Library Journal. 2015;2:e1517. Available:http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/oalib.11 0151721.Arbonnier M. Trees, Shrubs and Lianas of West African dry zones. Paris, CIRAD, 2004;1-573.
13. Okali DUU. Environmental and resources development: Towards sustainable forestry development in Nigeria. in Oduwaiye et al. (eds) Environment and Resource Development. Proceedings of the 1997 Annual Conference of the Forestry Association of Nigeria. Ibadan. 1997;1–12.
14. Oyelowo OJ, Aduradola AM, Ekpo EN, Ine IE. Floristic Composition of a Sacred Grove in Igbara-oke, Ondo State, Nigeria. Journal of forestry research and management. 2012;9:83-92.
15. Udoakpan UI, Nelson IU, Jacob DE. Ecological Survey of Plant Species Producing Valuable Forest Products in Two Sacred Forest in South Eastern Nigeria. ARPN Journal of Science and Technology. 2013;3(4):415-421.
16. Daniel KS, Jacob DE, Udeagha AU. Tree species composition in selected sacred forests in Nigeria, International Journal of Mol. Ecol. and Conserv. 2015;5(7):1-10.
17. Jimoh SO, Adebisi LA, Ikyaagba ET. Biodiversity and ethnobotanical potentials of plant species of University of Agriculture

Makurdi Wildlife Park and Ikwe Games Reserve, Benue State, Nigeria. Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci. 2009;3(6):1375-1385.
18. Turyahabwe N, Tweheyo M. Does Forest tenure influence forest vegetation characteristic? A comparative analysis of private, local and central government forest reserves in Central Uganda: The International Forestry Review. 2010;12(4): 320 – 338.
19. Ikyaagba ET, Jimoh SO, Amonum JI. Effects of land use changes on Flora Diversity in Oban Division of the Cross River National Park, Nigeria. Ghana Journal of Forestry. 2016;31:62-77.
20. Keay RWJ. Trees of Nigeria. Clarendon Press, Oxford Walnut Street, Oxford OX2; 1989.
21. Arbonnier M. Trees, Shrubs and Lianas of West African dry zones. Paris, CIRAD. 2004;1-573.
22. Agishi EC. Tiv, Idoma, Etulo, Igede, Akwaya, Hausa, English and Scientific names of plants, 2nd Edition, Agitab publishers Ltd Makurdi; 2010.
23. Maingi JK, Marsh SE. Composition, Structure, and regeneration patterns in a gallery forest along the Tana River near Bura, Kenya. Forest Ecology and Management. 2006;236:211-228.
24. Adam JH, Mahmud AM, Muslim NE. Cluster analysis on floristic and forest structure of hilly lowland forest in Lak Kawi, Sabah of Malasia. International Journal Botany. 2007;3(4):351-358.
25. Spellerberg IF. Monitoring Ecological Change. New York USA, Cambridge University. 1991;112-140.
26. Magurran AE. Measuring Biological Diversity Blackwell Science, Oxford; 2004.
27. Udofia SI, Owoh PW, Attah VI, Thomas A D. Assessment of Plant Species Composition in Ayan Nsit Sacred Forest of Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment. 2014;10(2):34-37.
28. Thandavamoorthy M. Floristic Diversity of Ilangudipatti Ayyanar Sacred Grove at Pudukottai District of Tamil Nadu, India. World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2017;6(8): 1056-1063
29. Bosart JL, Opuni-Frimpong E, Kuudaar S, Nkrumah E. Richness, abundance, and complementarity of fruitfeeding butterfly species in relict sacred forests and forest reserves of Ghana. Biodiversity and Conservation. 2006;15:333–359.
30. Kokou K, Adjossou K, Kokutse AD. Considering sacred and riverside forests in criteria and indicators of forest management in low wood producing countries: The case of Togo. Ecological Indicators. 2008;8:158–169.
31. Lynch L, Kokou K, Todd S. Comparison of the Ecological Value of Sacred and Non sacred Community Forests in Kaboli, Togo. Tropical Conservation Science. 2018;11:1–11.
32. Richards PW. The Tropical Rainforest. Cambridge: Cambridge University;1996.
33. Schmit K. Botanical survey in the Oban Division of CRNP –Technical Report on Oban Hill progamm Calabar. 1996;1-55.
34. Attua EM, Pabi O. Tree Composition Richness and Diversity in Forest -Savanna Ecotone of Ghana. Journal. Applied. Bioscience. 2013;69:5437– 5448.
35. Ikyaagba TE, Tee TN, Dagba BI, Ancha UP, Ngibo KD, Tume C. Tree composition and distribution in Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi, Nigeria. Journal of Research in Forestry, Wildlife and Environment. 2015;7(2):147 – 157.
36. Wakawa L, Suleiman A, Ibrahim Y, Adam L. Tree Species Biodiversity of a Sahelien Ecosystem in North-East Nigeria. Journal of Bartin Faculty of Forestry. 2017;19(2): 166-173.
37. Sax DF. Equal diversity in disparate species assemblages: A comparison of native and exotic woodlands in California, Global Ecology and Biogeography. 2002; 11:49 -57.
38. Alohou EC, Gbemavo DSJC, Mensah S, Ouinsav C. Fragmentation of Forest Ecosystems and Connectivity Between Sacred Groves and Forest Reserves in Southeastern Benin, West Africa. Tropical Conservation Science. 2017;10:1–11.
39. Colding J, Folke C. Social taboos: “Invisible” systems of local resource management and biological conservation. Ecol Appl. 2001;11:584-600.
40. Kobina ED, Kofi AA. Change and Continuity: Using Indigenous Knowledge to Achieve Environmental Sustainability in Ghana. Paper presented at the 7th International Science Conference on the Human Dimensions of Global Environmental Change held in Germany, Bonn, on 26th -30th April, 2009 on the

Theme. The Social Challenges of Global Change; 2009.
Available:http://e08. cgpublisher. com/session descriptions.html
(Retrieved January5, 2011)

41. Jimoh SO, Ikyaagba ET, Aralape AA, Obioha EE, Adeyemi AA. The role of traditional laws and taboos in wildlife conservation in the oban hill sector of cross river national park (CRNP), Nigeria J Hum Ecol. 2012;39(3):209-219.
42. Amonum JI, Ikyaagba ET, Maa SF. The Role of Customs and Taboos in the Conservation of Agogo Pond in Chito Stream in Ukum Local Government Area of Benue state. Journal of Applied Tropical Agriculture. 2017;22(2):166-172.
43. Saj TL, Mather C, Sicotte P. Traditional taboos in biological conservation: The case of Colobus vellerosus at the Boabeng-Fiema Monkey Sanctuary, Central Ghana. Soc Sci Inform. 2006;45:285-310.
44. Anoliefo GO, Isikhuemhen OS, Ochije NR. Environmental implications of the erosion of cultural taboo practices in awka-south local government area of anambra state, Nigeria: 1. Forests, trees, and water resource preservation. Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics. 2003;16:281-296.
45. Akindele SO. Forest Restoration through Traditional Institutions in Nigeria: Challenges and Prospects; 2010
Available:http://www.cfc2010.org/papers/session13/Akindele-s13.pdf
(Retrieved August 27, 2011).
46. Ormsby AA, Bhagwat SA. Sacred forests of India: A strong tradition of community based natural resource management. Environmental Conservation. 2010;37(3): 320–326.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48054