



Assessment of the Microbiological Safety of Potable Water from Rural Settlements in Owo Local Government Area of Ondo State, Nigeria

O. F. Fasoranti¹, O. I. Afolami^{1*} and T. Bolaniran¹

¹Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author OFF designed the study and managed the analyses of the study. Author OIA performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocols and the first draft of the manuscript while author TB managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI:10.9734/AJRIMPS/2017/36387

Editor(s):

(1) Ashalata Gannepalli, Department of Oral & maxillofacial pathology, Panineeya Institute of Dental sciences & Research centre, Hyderabad, India.

Reviewers:

(1) Dorota Porowska, University of Warsaw, Poland.

(2) Joseph O. Falkinham, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, USA.
Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/20965>

Original Research Article

Received 26th August 2017
Accepted 9th September 2017
Published 13th September 2017

ABSTRACT

Access to quality drinking water is a major problem in rural settlements in Owo Local Government Area (L.G.A.) of Ondo State, Nigeria where surface and ground water sources (streams and wells) used for drinking are located near dump sites with faecal deposits. Therefore, bacteriological analysis were carried out on water samples (wells and streams) that served as major sources of potable water in 10 rural settlements of Owo (L.G.A), Ondo State Nigeria. Water samples were examined for total bacteria, total faecal coliform and total enterococci counts respectively. The isolates *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella spp*, *Klebsiella aerogenes*, *Enterococci faecum*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* were identified by various biochemical tests. Faecal coliforms were present in 70% of water samples (streams and wells) across the rural settlements while faecal enterococcal presence was also detected in 35% of the water samples from the same sample sources analyzed. The bacteriological loads of 65% of the sampled water from the different settlements were also found to be higher than the minimum value set for drinking

*Corresponding author: E-mail: afolamiolufemiifeoluwa@gmail.com;

water by W.H.O. Hence, proper health education and strict monitoring of sanitary practices in these settlements by local health officials is recommended for environmental biosafety and containment of likely outbreaks in the nearest future.

Keywords: Drinking water; faecal coliforms; Owo L.G.A; Nigeria; faecal enterococci; rural.

1. INTRODUCTION

Access to safe drinking water is a basic human right as it is crucial to maintenance of community health status [1,2]. Nations maintain optimal health and rural development of their communities by a continual, steady supply of safe drinking water to their population [1-3]. However, drinking water is also the most important source of gastro-enteric diseases worldwide, mainly due to the fecal contamination of raw water or recontamination of drinking water at source and point of use [2-4]. About two thirds of drinking water consumed worldwide is derived from various surface water sources like lakes, rivers and open wells and it can easily be contaminated microbiologically by sewage or fecal discharges by animals or human [1-4]. As a result, water related diseases continue to be one of the major global health problems [2,3]. It is estimated globally that 80% of all illnesses are linked to use of unsafe and microbiologically poor water quality [5-7].

In developing countries such as Nigeria, most of the rural settlements are poor with lack of access to potable water supplies and hence they rely mainly on rivers, streams, wells and pond water sources for their daily needs [1,3,6-8]. Pathogenic contaminants in these water sources are derived from animal and anthropogenic sources including humans in these settlements and this is mostly encouraged in areas with poor standards of hygiene and sanitation [1,5, 9]. The sanitation crisis heightens when it is accompanied by poor health protection system associated with poor life standards of living common to many rural settlements in Nigeria [6].

The microbiological quality and safety of potable water in rural settlements of Owo L.G.A. of Ondo State Nigeria has been brought into question as most sources of potable water in known rural settlements are located around faecal and refuse dump sites, and there are no functional water storage facilities provided by local government authorities to these settlements for their health and safety. Hence, this study assessed the microbiological safety of drinking water in 10

rural settlements in Owo L.G.A. of Ondo State via bacteriological analysis of drinking water from surface and ground water sources in the study area and to highlight the associated possible public health risk factors.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area Description

Owo Local Government Area (L.G.A) is found in Ondo State, Nigeria with coordinates 7°11N 5°35E/ 7.183°N 5.583°E [10]. It is located at 150 km north of Akure, Ondo State capital with an estimated population of 425,700 [10]. The 10 rural settlements under study focus for this research are: Alupe (A), Ago- Ebira (B), Ijebu (C), Ipele (D), Ipenme (E), Ode Oriya (F), Utelu (G), Ohore (H), Ilale (I) and IsuAda (J) settlements respectively.

2.2 Study and Sampling Design

A descriptive analytic study was used to examine the bacteriological quality of drinking water from ground and surface water sources in the 10 settlements listed above. Water samples from wells and streams that served as major potable water source in these settlements were collected via simple random sampling methods.

2.3 Sample Collection

A total of 20 water samples were collected from both ground water and surface water sources across 10 rural settlements of Owo L.G.A. in December, 2016. Out of these, a total of 10 well water samples and 10 stream water samples were collected from different locations across the rural settlements using a simple random sampling technique. Ethical approval was obtained from the local health management authorities before samples were obtained. The samples were collected aseptically into labeled sterile universal bottles (250 ml) and stored in ice packs before bacteriological analysis. All the samples collected were analyzed in the laboratory within 6hr of sample collection.

2.4 Sample Preparation and Standardization of Inoculum

[1,11] was adopted for water sample preparation and Inoculum standardization in which sterile distilled water was used as diluents and a 1ml of each stock was taken using a sterile syringe into 9ml of sterile distilled water for serial dilution procedure in sterile test tubes under aseptic conditions until four different dilutions were obtained. Thereafter, a 1 ml of each dilution factor was used for inoculating already prepared Nutrient Agar (for total bacterial counts), MacConkey Agar (for total faecal coliforms) and Bile Esculin Agar (for total faecal enterococci counts), incubated for bacterial isolation at 37°C for 24 hours [1,12-16]. After the incubation time, the culture plates were observed for determination of colony forming units and thereafter, the fourth dilution factor was established as the standard for the isolation of the microbes due to easy numerical estimation of the colony forming units on the agar plate of the last dilution factor [1,17].

2.5 Biochemical Characterization and Identification of Isolates

The methods described by [1,17-19] were adopted by subjecting the various obtained sub cultured distinct colonies to wide arrays of biochemical tests for characterization and identification. Gram staining technique, Catalase test, Motility test, Sugar fermentation (glucose, sucrose, lactose, mannitol and triple salt iron) tests, Methyl Red/Voges Proskauer test, Oxidase test, Coagulase test and Catalase tests were carried out on the distinct isolates obtained after sub culturing [17,19]. The distinct biochemically characterized colonies were then further sub cultured on MacConkey Agar and Bile Esculin Agar respectively; incubated at 37°C for 24 h [12,17,19]. Thereafter which the identity of the

bacteria isolates was determined after their growth on these selective media.

2.6 Preservation of Isolates

The identified pure isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella aerogenes* and *Salmonella spp* were preserved on Nutrient Agar Slants and stored at 4°C as described by [13,17,19].

2.7 Data Analysis

Analyzed sample treatments were replicated thrice; data means obtained were subjected to a 2-way analysis of variance and treatment means were separated using Duncan's New Multiple Range test at P≤ 0.05 level of significance [1,5].

3. RESULTS

The means of the total bacterial count, total faecal coliforms count and total faecal enterococci counts of the samples analyzed from different colony forming units after incubation were subjected to statistical analysis using Duncan's New Multiple Range test at P≤ 0.05 level of significance as represented in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3. Bacterial isolates from the sample sources analyzed were identified by various biochemical tests as represented in Table 4 while a total of 90 isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* (31), *Escherichia coli* (16), *Enterococcus faecium* (10), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (12), *Klebsiella aerogenes* (8), and *Salmonella spp* (13) were screened out from the water samples collected (Table 5). Generally, it was observed that the total bacterial, faecal coliforms and enterococci loads of the samples from the surface water (stream) were higher than those of the ground water (well) across the rural settlements.

Table 1. Total bacterial counts of water samples from streams and wells across 10 rural settlements

T	Total bacterial count of water samples across rural settlements (cfu/ml)									
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
S	21.30± 1.00 ^c	12.10± 1.43 ^b	5.70± 1.30 ^a	3.60± 1.00 ^a	22.11± 1.48 ^c	18.10± 2.00 ^c	3.10± 1.33 ^a	8.20± 2.10 ^b	21.90± 1.22 ^c	11.90± 1.20 ^b
W	9.80± 1.30 ^c	9.80± 2.00 ^c	3.50± 1.00 ^b	2.00± 1.00 ^a	11.80± 1.21 ^d	1.90± 1.10 ^a	2.60± 1.00 ^b	2.10± 1.20 ^b	9.80± 1.50 ^c	6.50± 1.00 ^b

Keys: T- sample types, W- well, S- stream, A- Alupe, B- Ago-Ebira, C- Ijebu, D- Ipele, E- Ipenme, F- Ode Oriya, G- Utelu, H- Ohore, I- Ilale and J- Isu- Ada values with the same letter as superscript have no significant difference at p≤0.05 level of significance

Table 2. Total faecal coliforms counts from water streams and wells across 10 settlements

T	Total faecal coliform count of samples across rural settlements (cfu/ml)									
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
S	10.90± 2.00 ^c	1.50± 1.00 ^a	2.60± 1.00 ^a	1.60± 1.00 ^a	11.20± 1.28 ^d	1.10± 1.00 ^a	0.60± 0.28 ^a	2.80± 1.10 ^b	0.80± 0.20 ^a	6.10± 1.31 ^b
W	3.40± 1.21 ^c	0.60± 0.20 ^b	0.00± 0.00 ^a	1.00± 0.40 ^b	5.80± 1.51 ^c	0.90± 0.10 ^b	0.00± 0.00 ^a	1.30± 1.00 ^b	0.00± 0.00 ^a	3.10± 1.00 ^c

Keys: T- sample types, W- well, S- stream, A- Alupe, B- Ago-Ebira, C- Ijebu, D- Ipele, E- Ipenme, F- Ode Oriya, G- Uteju, H- Ohore, I- Ilale and J- Isu- Ada values with the same letter as superscript have no significant difference at p≤0.05 level of significance

Table 3. Total faecal enterococci counts from water streams and wells across 10 settlements

T	Total faecal enterococci count of samples across rural settlements (cfu/ml)									
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
S	9.50± 2.00 ^d	0.00± 0.00 ^a	1.60± 1.00 ^b	0.00± 0.00 ^a	0.00± 0.00 ^a	3.80± 1.00 ^c	0.00± 0.00 ^a	1.80± 1.10 ^b	0.00± 0.00 ^a	5.40± 1.71 ^c
W	1.40± 1.21 ^b	0.00± 0.00 ^a	0.00± 0.00 ^a	0.00± 0.00 ^a	0.00± 0.00 ^a	0.00± 0.00 ^a	0.00± 0.00 ^a	0.00± 0.00 ^a	0.00± 0.00 ^a	1.33± 1.00 ^b

Keys: T- sample types, W- well, S- stream, A- Alupe, B- Ago-Ebira, C- Ijebu, D- Ipele, E- Ipenme, F- Ode Oriya, G- Uteju, H- Ohore, I- Ilale and J- Isu- Ada values with the same letter as superscript have no significant difference at p≤0.05 level of significance

Table 4. Biochemical characteristics of isolates from the water samples across the rural settlements

I.	Gram stain	Sugar fermentation					O/C	COT	MR/VP	Growth on media			N. I.
		Lac	Glu	Suc	Mann.	TSI				NA	Mac. A	BEA	
S.A.	+ve (cluster cocci)	-ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve/ +ve	+ve	-ve/ ve	Cream/ raised	-ve	-ve	31
E.C.	-ve (bacilli rods)	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	A/G	+ve/ +ve	-ve	+ve/ ve	Cream/ raised	+ve (pink)	-ve	16
E.F.	+ve (cocci chains)	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve/ -ve	-ve	-ve/ ve	Milky/ lobate	-ve	+ve (pink)	10
P.A.	-ve (bacilli rods)	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	K/NF	+ve/ +ve	-ve	-ve/ ve	Cream/ raised	+ve (pink)	-ve	12
K.A.	-ve (bacilli rods)	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	A/G	+ve/ +ve	-ve	+ve/ ve	Cream/ raised	+ve (pink)	-ve	8
S.S.	-ve (bacilli rods)	-ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	K/H ₂ S	-ve/ +ve	-ve	-ve/ ve	Cream/ rasied	+ve (pale)	-ve	13

Keys: I.- Isolates, S.A.- *Staphylococcus aureus*, E.C.- *Escherichia coli*, E.F.- *Enterococcus faecium*, P.A.- *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, K.A.- *Klebsiella aerogenes*, S.S.- *Salmonella spp.*, Lac.- Lactose, Glu.- Glucose, Suc.- Sucrose, Mann.- Mannitol, TSI- Triple Salt Iron, O/C- Oxidase/ Catalase test, COT- Coagulase test, MR/VP- Methyl red/ Voges Proskauer, NA- Nutrient Agar, Mac. A.- MacConkey Agar, BEA- Bile Esculin Agar, N.I.- Number of isolates, -ve- negative, +ve- positive, A/G- Acid/ Gas, K/NF- Alkaline slant/ No fermentation, K/H₂S- Alkaline slant/ Hydrogen Sulphide produced

Furthermore, the total bacterial count (TBC) of 80% (8 out of 10) of surface water samples (streams) across the rural settlements were above the specified standard of 5 CFU/mL (colony forming unit per ml) [14-16]; while the TBC of 50% (5 of 10) of ground water samples (well) were higher than the WHO specified

standard (Table 1). The faecal coliforms load of 40% (4 out of 10) of surface water samples (streams) from the rural settlements were above the specified WHO standard (≤ 3 CFU/mL) [14-16] while in ground water samples (wells) only 30% (3 out of 10) samples from the settlements were higher than the specified standard (Table

2). However, 50% (5 of 10) stream water samples had a total faecal enterococci load higher than the specified standard (≤ 0 CFU/mL) [14-16] while 20% (2 of 10) ground water samples had faecal enterococci growth higher than the specified standard (Table 3).

Table 5. Distribution of identified isolates across the 10 rural settlements

I.	Rural settlements									
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
S.A.	6	1	1	2	1	5	5	4	3	3
E.C.	4	1	1	1	1	3	2	1	1	1
E.F.	3	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	1	1
P.A.	3	1	1	2	-	-	1	2	2	-
K.A.	2	1	1	1	-	-	1	1	1	-
S.S.	4	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	2	1

Keys: I.- Isolates, S.A.- *Staphylococcus aureus*, E.C.- *Escherichia coli*, E.F.- *Enterococcus faecium*, P.A.- *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, K.A.- *Klebsiella aerogenes*, S.S.- *Salmonella spp.*, A- Alupe, B- Ago-Ebira, C- Ijebu, D- Ipele, E- Ipenme, F- Ode Oriya, G- Utelu, H- Ohore, I- Ilale and J- Isu- Ada

4. DISCUSSION

The sample number (20) were determined by the water sources present in the study area (10 rural settlements), only one surface water source and one ground water source served each settlement of which samples were obtained. Since sample sources across the settlements are low (20), therefore, random sampling technique was adopted for different location points in the flowing stream water sources to eliminate bias and get a representative sample of the target population. It was observed from this study that, the drinking water samples across all the 10 settlements are faecally contaminated by either faecal coliforms or faecal enterococci or even both. This is mainly due to sanitary practices across these settlements as sources of potable water serves other purposes such as bathing, waste disposal, faecal dumps and so-on besides drinking, this agreeing with other recent finding done by [5,11-13]. The total bacteria counts of all the samples were generally higher than the specified WHO standards as reflected in the results [14-16] but more importantly, the total bacteria counts of samples from surface water (streams) were generally higher than the total bacteria counts of ground water sample (wells) and this was also noticed in the case of total faecal coliforms counts and total faecal enterococci counts respectively as also indicated in the findings of [9,11-13].

Subsequently, the oral interviews conducted by authors with the inhabitants of these settlements

revealed that the settlements lacked access to potable water or water storage facilities and are unwilling to see any potential harm in using stream water for their drinking and other domestic purposes; although bioethical concerns exist in their cultural belief that flowing water sources (streams) cannot be contaminated; the authors however, didn't press further to investigate this belief as it was beyond the scope of this research aim, similar bioethical concerns were also encountered in the reports of [1,5,12-14]. Local health demography of these rural settlements obtained from local health authorities suggests frequent relapse of gastro-intestinal infections and this research study accurately justifies why it is so, this agrees also with the findings of [1,9,11].

Since the standard of living in these settlements are generally low with high poverty rates, it was obvious that adequate health care facilities and basic social amenities were not in place, hence, the use of water bodies as vehicles for waste disposal had become a norm and such is the case of many rural settlements across developing African countries [6-7,14-16,18].

5. CONCLUSION

Urgent government aid and intervention in form of improved access to potable water across these rural settlements, construction of toilet facilities and provision of proper waste disposal facilities by Local Government Authorities is strongly recommended for these settlements. Proper health education and strict monitoring of sanitary practices in these settlements by local health officials is also encouraged for environmental biosafety and containment of likely outbreaks of infection in the nearest future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors will like to acknowledge the technical assistance provided by Mr. Alejo and Mr. Adewunmi of the department of science laboratory technology, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, Owo, L.G.A. Ondo state, Nigeria where this research work was conducted. The authors also acknowledge the assistance granted by the local health officials of the rural settlements used as case study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Negera E, Geritu N, Mulugeta K. Microbiological assessment of drinking water with reference to diarrheagenic bacterial pathogens in Shashemane Rural District, Ethiopia. African Journal of Microbiological Research. 2017;11(6):254-263. DOI: 10.5897/AJMR2016.8362.
2. JMP (Joint Monitoring Programme). Joint Monitoring Programme by WHO and UNICEF for Water Supply report, Geneva Switzerland; 2008.
3. Roy S, Scallan E, Beach M. The rate of acute gastrointestinal illness in developed countries. J. Water Health. 2006;4(2):31-70.
4. Wright J, Gundry S, Conroy R. Household drinking water in developing countries: A systematic review of microbiological contamination between source and point-of-use. Trop. Med. Int. Health. 2004;9(1): 106-117.
5. Johnson R, Boni G, Amoukpo H, Barogui Y, Diez G, Agossadou D. Microbiological quality assessment of drinking water in lalo commune, Benin (West Africa). J. Water Resour. Protect. 2016;8:816-822.
6. MoH (Ministry of Health). Need assessment to achieve universal access to improved sanitation and hygiene by 2012. Working Document, Abuja, Nigeria; 2007.
7. MoWR (Ministry of Water Resources). Ethiopian water resources management policy, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2007.
8. Pironcheva V. Water management practices in rural and urban homes: A case of Bangladesh on ingestion of polluted water. J. Public Health. 2004;112:317-321.
9. Zvidzai C, Mukutirwa T, Mundembe R, Sithole-Niang I. Microbial community analysis of drinking water sources from rural areas of Zimbabwe. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res. 2007;1(6):100-103.
10. National Bureau of Statistics (N.B.S.). Projected population of prominent Nigerian towns. 2011;337-341. www.wikipedia.com/.
11. Mpenyana-Monyatsi L, Onyango M, Momba M. Groundwater quality in South African Rural Community: A Possible Threat to Public Health. Pol. J. Environ. Stud. 2012;21(5):1349-1358.
12. Miner C, Dakhin A, Zoakah A, Zaman M, Bimba J. Physical and microbiological quality of drinking water sources in Gwafan community, Plateau State, Nigeria. Pyrex J. Res. Environ. Stud. 2016;3(1):001-006.
13. Niemi R, Mentu J, Siitonen A, Niemela S. Confirmation of *Escherichia coli* and its destination from *Klebsiella* spp. by gas and indole formation at 44 and 44.50C. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2007;95:1242-1249.
14. WHO (World Health Organization). Guidelines for drinking water quality: Health criteria and other supporting information, Geneva Switzerland, 1st edition. 1996;133-142.
15. WHO (World Health Organization). Reducing risks, promoting Healthy life, Geneva, Switzerland. 2nd edition. 2002;21-24.
16. WHO (World Health Organization). Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, Geneva, Switzerland, 3rd edition. 2003;81-87.
17. Cheesebrough M. District laboratory practice in tropical countries, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2ed, 2010;157-164.
18. Hamdan RH, Musa N, Musa N, Seong Wei L, Sarman A. Isolation and enumeration of coliform bacteria and *Salmonella* spp. from short necked clam *Orbicularia orbiculata* at East Coast, Malaysia. Internet Journal of Food Safety. 2008;10:58-64.
19. Olutiola A, Musa B, Udoma H. Conventional and alternative methods for isolation and identification of bacteria from different sample sources – an overview. Handbook of Microbiological Laboratory Practice. 2001;2:11-12.

© 2017 Fasoranti et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/20965>