

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 8(2): 1-6, 2016; Article no.JABB.27300 ISSN: 2394-1081



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

Effects of Biochar and Rhizobium Inoculation on Selected Soil Chemical Properties, Shoot Nitrogen and Phosphorus of Groundnut Plants (Arachis hypogaea L.) in Sokoto State, Nigeria

S. A. Yusif^{1*}, I. Muhammad¹, N. G. Hayatu¹, M. Haliru¹, M. A. Mohammed², A. M. Hussain³ and A. Y. Fardami⁴

 ¹Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, P.M.B. 2346, Sokoto, Nigeria.
²Department of Geography, Bayero University, Kano P.M.B. 3011, Kano, Nigeria.
³National Board for Technology Incubation, Federal Ministry of Science and Technology, Nigeria.
⁴Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Authors SAY, IM and NGH designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors MAM and AMH managed the analyses of the study. Author AYF managed the literature searches and other correspondences. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JABB/2016/27300 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Ibrahim Farah, Department of Biology, Jackson State University, USA. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Joanna Majkowska-Gadomska, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Poland. (2) Stefan Martyniuk, IUNG-PIB Pulawy, Poland. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/15632</u>

Original Research Article

Received 27th May 2016 Accepted 28th June 2016 Published 2nd August 2016

ABSTRACT

The research was carried out to determine the effects of biochar and rhizobium inoculation on selected soil chemical properties, shoot N and P of groundnut plant. Two factors were used for this experiment; Biochar and rhizobium inoculation. Biochar was applied at the rate of 20 t ha⁻¹, 10 t ha⁻¹ and 0 t ha⁻¹ while rhizobium was inoculated to groundnut seeds (inoculated [+] and un-inoculated [-]). The experiment was laid out in completely randomized design and replicated 3 times. Means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test at 5% level of significance. The results showed that 20 t ha⁻¹ of biochar significantly (p<0.05) produced higher values of soil pH, OC, N, available P

*Corresponding author: E-mail: madny2018@gmail.com;

and Ca, than 10 t ha⁻¹ and 0 t ha⁻¹. No significant differences were observed in shoot P among biochar application rates. Rhizobium inoculation had significantly (p<0.05) increase the number of shoot N, soil N, P, K and Mg when compared with un-inoculated plants. It is recommended that 20 t ha⁻¹ should be used for improvement of soil chemical properties while inoculation with rhizobia may be more effective in the presence of biochar due to the habitat offered by the biochar.

Keywords: Biochar; rhizobium; inoculation; groundnut; soil chemical properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) belongs to the family Leguminosae. It's originated in South America, probably in Brazil, and has been cultivated since ancient times by native Americans [1]. Groundnuts require warm growing season of 120 to 140 days, moderate rainfall and pH 6.0 to 6.5 is more suitable for its cultivation. Groundnut seeds contain 40 to 50 percent oil and 20 to 30 percent protein, and they are an excellent source of B vitamins [2]. High protein content of groundnut can be directly attributed to a legumes ability to supply most of its own nitrogen needs with the help of symbiotic rhizobia bacteria living in their roots [3]. This symbiotic relationship between bacteria and legume allows them both to flourish and produce a high-protein seed or forage crop. Inoculation of legumes with proper strain of rhizobia bacteria can supply up to 90% of their own nitrogen [3]. [4] reported that rhizobium inoculation was found to increase nodule number and nitrogen fixing activity of the crop. Biochar addition to soil leads to an increase microbial activity and soil nutrient in concentrations resulting to an improved plant arowth [5].

Biochar is a product of thermal decomposition of biomass feedstock such as plant wastes, forestry and vard wastes and animal manures in the partial or total absence of oxygen [6,7]. The feedstock undergoes a process called pyrolysis or gasification which results in a rearrangement of the biomasses molecules, yielding black biochar and other products [6]. The effect of biochar on soil quality and crop productivity is generally observed to be positive. The chemical composition, surface chemistry, particle and pore size distribution, physical and chemical stabilization mechanisms of biochar in soils, determine the effects of biochar on soil functions [8-10]. Addition of biochar to soil changes important soil chemical qualities such as soil pH, N, available P, exchangeable bases, CEC, organic matter, Mn and decreases Al⁺, S and Zn toxicity. Soil pH is mostly neutral to basic [11]; typically increased soil CEC [12]; increasing trend of bio-available P and base cations in

biochar applied soils has been reported by [13]. According to [14] application of biochar in the acidic coastal soil increase soil pH, soil organic matter, Mn, Ca and decrease Zn and S toxicity. Despite the potential usefulness of biochar for soil management applications, our knowledge of how these material influence soil chemical and biological properties is limited compared to other soil amendments [15]. This study aims to evaluate the effects of biochar and rhizobium inoculation on selected soil chemical properties, shoot N and P of groundnut plants.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site and Design

The experiment was conducted during the 2015 rainy season in the screenhouse at Botanical Garden, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto. Sokoto lies between Latitude 4°- 6°40′ N and Longitude 11°30′ - 13° 50′ E and of altitude of about 350 m above the sea level. Sokoto lies in Sudan Savanna Zone of Nigeria characterized with erratic and scanty rainfall ranging from 500 mm to 1300 mm. Minimum and maximum temperatures ranges between 23°C and 38°C respectively [16]. The soil is predominantly sandy in nature and classified as Entisols [17].

The experiment was laid out in a 2 x 3 factorial arrangement in completely randomized design and replicated 3 times. The experiment consists of two factors; rhizobium inoculation (inoculated [+] and uninoculated [-]) and biochar application rates (0 t ha⁻¹, 10 t ha⁻¹ and 20 t ha⁻¹). Hence a total of 18 plastic buckets were used for this experiment. Seeds were planted by dibbling method. A hole of 3 - 5 cm depth in each bucket was dug and one seed per hole was sown. 10 kg N, 54 kg P₂O₅ and 25 kg K₂O was applied to both control and treated buckets as starter dose. Similarly, weeds were controlled manually by hand pulling.

2.2 Biochar Production and Incorporation

The biochar was produced using pyrolysis method at a temperature between 300 °C to

500 °C under partial exclusion of oxygen as reported by [18]. The chemical compositions of biochar ware determined such as organic carbon, N, P, K, Mg, Ca, Na, CEC, and pH. The biochar produced was ground and sieved with 2 mm mesh diameter and then mixed thoroughly in a rubber buckets containing 10 kg of top soil collected from the field 2 weeks before planting to allow mineralization of biochar. Five grams (5 g) of rhizobium inoculants (HISTICK) was applied to 1 kg seeds of groundnut (SAMNUT-24). The presence of black speaks on the seeds indicates the inoculums and was done under aseptic condition. Both the rhizobium inoculants and groundnut variety were sourced from Institute for Agricultural Research (IAR) Samaru, Zaria.

2.3 Soil and Plant Tissue Analyses

At 8 weeks after sowing (WAS), the dried shoot biomass was ground using blending machine to determine shoot N and P. Shoot N was determined using Micro-Kjeldahl method while P was determined using Vanado-phosphate molybdate methods. Soil samples were collected at 12 WAS from four different points in the buckets, at a depth of about 15 - 20 cm using hand trowel. Nitrogen was determined using method Micro-Kjeldahl [19]. available phosphorus by Bray No.1 method [20], CEC by ammonium acetate saturation method buffered at pH 7 [21], organic carbon by Walkley and Black wet oxidation method [22]. Exchangeable Bases; Ca, Mg, K and Na were determined by EDTA titration method. Soil pH was determined in 1:1 soil to water ratio [23] using electrometric pH meter.

2.4 Data Analysis

The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) techniques using [24] computer package. The treatment means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5% level of significance.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Physical and Chemical Properties of Soil and Chemical Composition of Biochar Used for the Experiment

The results of soil before planting showed that soil used for the experiment before addition of biochar was slightly acidic (6.86) with soil texture of 778 g kg⁻¹, 134 g kg⁻¹ and 88 g kg⁻¹ for sand,

clay and silt respectively (Table 1). Organic carbon, total nitrogen and available phosphorus were found to be 3.01 g kg⁻¹, 0.32 g kg⁻¹ and 0.49 mg kg⁻¹ respectively. The exchangeable bases were found to be 0.35 cmol kg⁻¹, 0.20 cmol kg⁻¹ and 0.33 cmol kg⁻¹ for Ca, Mg and K respectively. This shows that the soil is poorly fertile. The biochar was found to have strongly alkaline pH, high OC, very low phosphorus level, N, Ca, Mg and K.

Table 1. Initial soil analysis and biochar composition used in this experiment

Properties	Field soil	Biochar
рН _{Н2} 0 (1:1)	6.86	10.41
Sand g kg⁻¹	778	-
Clay g kg⁻¹	134	-
Silt g kg⁻¹	88	-
Textural class	Sandy loam	
O C g kg⁻¹	3.01	400.72
N g kg⁻¹	0.32	0.54
Available P	0.49	1.42
(mg kg⁻¹)		
Exchangeable		
Bases (cmol kg ⁻¹)		
Ca	0.35	0.67
Mg	0.20	0.41
К	0.33	3.74
CEC (cmol kg ⁻¹)	3.28	10.01

3.2 Shoot N and P of Groundnut Plant

There was significant difference (p<0.05) in shoot nitrogen (N) among biochar rates with 10 t ha⁻¹ and 20 t ha⁻¹ of biochar producing higher values when compared with control (Table 2). This increase could be as a result of biochar addition which may subsequently increase plant N uptake. [25] and [26] reported higher contents of shoot N as a result of biochar addition. No significant difference was observed in shoot P among biochar application rates.

The rhizobium inoculation also showed significant effect on the shoot N (Table 2). Shoot N was significantly higher (p<0.05) with inoculated plants than un-inoculated ones. This is in line with findings of [1] who reported an increase in shoot N of plants inoculated with rhizobia than un-inoculated pants due to increase in the number of bacteria and henceforth more nodules per plant were produced and this entails higher nitrogen fixing activity of the crop. Also, no

significant difference was observed in shoot P between inoculated plants and uninoculated ones. However, biochar and rhizobium interactions in shoot N and P were not significant.

3.3 Effects of Biochar and Rhizobium Inoculation on Some Soil Parameters

There were significant differences (p<0.05) in soil pH, organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and Ca among biochar rates with 20 t ha⁻¹ of biochar producing higher value when compared with 10 t ha¹ and 0 t ha¹ (control) in most cases. Higher nutrient availability for plants is the result of both the direct nutrient additions by the biochar and areater nutrient retention [27]. Previous researches have shown that, additions of biochar to soil have shown definite increases in the availability of major cations and phosphorus as well as in total nitrogen concentrations [12,27]. Similarly, [28] reported an increase in soil phosphorus (P), soil potassium (K), total soil nitrogen (N), and total soil carbon (C) compared with control conditions. Soil pH also tended to increase, becoming less acidic, following the addition of biochar.

The rhizobium inoculation had showed significant effect on soil pH and OC (Table 3). Soil pH and OC were significantly higher (p<0.05) with uninoculated plants than inoculated ones. [3] related the lower soil pH with inoculated plants to ability of nodulated legumes to acquire their N from the air as diatomic N rather than from the soil as nitrate, their net effect is to lower the pH of the soil. In greenhouse studies, alfalfa and soybeans lowered the pH in a clay loam soil by one whole pH unit. Similarly, the decrease in soil OC may be attributed to increase in the consumption of carbon by the increased bacterial populace which may subsequently decrease the quantity of organic matter in the soil. Significant differences were observed in N, P, K and Mg with inoculated plots producing higher values than uninoculated ones. Biochar and rhizobium interactions were significant in soil organic carbon and N, highly significant in soil pH, P, K and Mg and not significant with Ca.

Table 2. Effects of biochar and rhizobium inoculation on shoot N and P of groundnut plant at 8 WAS

Treatment/Parameters	N a ka ⁻¹	Daka ⁻¹					
	Ng kg⁻¹	P g kg⁻¹					
Biochar rate (t ha ⁻¹)							
0	0.021 [⊳]	0.00005					
10	0.061 ^a	0.0001					
20	0.068 ^a	0.0003					
SE±	0.007	0.0001					
Rhizobium inoculation							
+	0.064 ^a	0.0001					
-	0.036 ^b	0.0002					
SE±	0.006	0.0001					
Interaction							
BxR	ns	ns					
Means within the same column with the same letters							
are not significantly different							
according to Duncan's New Multiple Range Test at							
(P<0.05). ns, not significant; [+], inoculated; [-],							
uninoculated: MAS wooks after sowing: B biochar							

uninoculated; WAS, weeks after sowing; B, biochar rate; R, rhizobium inoculation

Table 3. Effects of biochar and rhizobium inoculation on selected soil parameters

Treatment/	рН	OC	Ν	Р	K cmol	Ca cmol	Mg cmol
Parameters	•	g kg ⁻¹	g kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹	kg⁻¹	kg⁻¹	kg ⁻¹
Biochar rate (t ha ⁻¹)							
0	5.95 [°]	5.03 ^c	0.34 ^c	0.76 ^b	0.71 ^ª	0.38 ^c	0.52 ^a
10	7.16 ^b	7.10 ^b	0.48 ^b	0.75 ^c	0.70 ^b	0.50 ^b	0.28 ^b
20	7.27 ^a	9.27 ^a	0.70 ^a	0.78 ^a	0.74 ^a	0.60 ^a	0.48 ^a
SE±	0.023	0.54	0.014	0.002	0.010	0.020	0.013
Rhizobium inoculation							
+	6.52 ^b	5.51 ^b	0.53 ^ª	0.78 ^a	0.75 ^ª	0.50	0.46 ^a
-	7.06 ^a	8.76 ^ª	0.48 ^b	0.74 ^b	0.69 ^b	0.48	0.40 ^b
SE±	0.02	0.44	0.012	0.001	0.008	0.017	0.01
Interaction							
BxR	**	*	*	**	**	ns	**

Means within the same column with the same letters are not significantly different

according to Duncan's New Multiple Range Test at (P<0.05). ns, not significant; [+], inoculated; [-], uninoculated; B, biochar; R, rhizobium inoculation; **, highly significant; *, significant

4. CONCLUSION

From the result obtained, it was observed that biochar improved shoot N, soil OC, pH, N, P, and Ca. while inoculation with rhizobia may be more effective in the presence of biochar due to the habitat offered by the biochar. Biochar rate of 20 t ha^{-1} could be applied in soil to improve soil chemical properties.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Sajid M, Rab A, Wahid F, Shah SNM, Jan I, Khan MA, Hussain SA, Khan MA, Iqbal Z. Influence of rhizobium inoculation on growth and yield of groundnut cultivars. Sarhad J. Agric. 2010;27(4):573-576.
- 2. Pardee WD. Groundnut. Encarta encyclopedia. Microsoft Corp. USA; 2002.
- 3. USDA. Legumes and soil quality. Soil Quality Agronomy Technical Note. 1998;6: 1-3.
- 4. Voravit R, Somabhi M. Study on nitrogen fixation by rhizobium in groundnut. Thai J. Soils and Fert. 1989;10:368-379.
- Ishii T, Kadoya K. Effects of charcoal as a soil conditioner on citrus growth and vesicular –arbuscular mycorrhizal development. Journal of the Japaneese Society for Horticultural Science. 1994;63: 529-535.
- Brown RC. Biochar production technology. In: Biochar for environmental management: Science and technology (eds Lehmann J, Joseph S). Earthscan Ltd, London. 2009;127–146.
- Lehmann J, Gaunt J, Rondon M. Biochar sequestration in terrestrial ecosystems - A review. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change. 2006;11(2): 403-427.
- Blackwell P, Riethmuller G, Collins M. Biochar application to soil (Chapter 12), In: Lehmann J, Joseph S, (Eds.). Biochar for environmental management: Science and Technology, Earthscan, London, UK. 2009;207.
- Lehmann J, Rondon M. Biochar soil management on highly weathered soils in the humid tropics. In: Uphoff NT, et al. (Eds.). Biological Approaches to

Sustainable Soil Systems. CRC/Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton FL. 2006;517.

- Van Zwieten L, Kimber S, Morris S, Downie A, Berger E, Rust J, Scheer C. Influence of biochars on flux of N₂O and CO₂ from ferrosol. Australian Journal of Soil Research. 2010;48:555-568. DOI: 10.1071/sr10004
- 11. Lucas RE, Davis JF. Relationships between pH values of organic soils and availabilities of 12 plant nutrients. Soil Science. 1961;92:177–182.
- Liang B, Lehmann J, Solomon D, Kinyangi J, Grossman J, O'Nell B, Skjemstad JO, Thies J, Luizao FJ, Petersen J, Neves EG. Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 2006;70:1719-1730.
- 13. Glaser B, Lehmann J, Zech W. Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly-weathered soils in tropics with charcoal—a review. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2002;35:219–230.
- 14. Novak JM, Busscher WJ, Laird DL, Ahmedna M, Watts DW, Niandou MAS. Impact of biochar amendment on fertility of a southeastern Coastal Plain soil. Soil Science. 2009;174(2):105-112.
- 15. Lehmann J. A handful of carbon: Commentary. Nature. 2007;447:143-144. DOI: 10.1038/447143a
- 16. Sokoto State's Guide to Economic Potential (SSGEP). Yearly Publication by the Ministry of Commerce, and Industry and Tourism, Sokoto State. 2008;1-3.
- 17. Noma SS, Yakubu M. Properties and classification of soils in the main campus of Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria. Journal of Agriculture and Environment. 2002;3(1):155-166.
- Antal MJ, Gronli M. The art, science and technology of charcoal production. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research. 2003;42(8):1619-1640.
- 19. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. IITA Manual Series. 1962;1:70.
- 20. Bray R, Kurtz LT. Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soil. Soil Science. 1966;59:39–45.
- Chapman HD. Cation exchange capacity. In: Page AL, Miller RA, Keeney DR, (eds). Methods of soil analysis. Part II, Agronomy. Madison, Wisconsin. 1965; 891-901.

Yusif et al.; JABB, 8(2): 1-6, 2016; Article no.JABB.27300

- 22. Black CA. Methods of soil and plant analyses. Agron. No. 9, Part 2 Amer. Soc. Madison; 1965.
- 23. Bates RC. Electrometric pH Determination of John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York. 1954;35-38.
- 24. SAS Institute. Statistical Analysis Software (SAS). User's guide. SAS Institute Cary, NC. 2001;85.
- 25. Ma L, Hou Z, Lv N, Ye J, Su S, et al. Effects of biochar application on wheat growth and nitrogen balance. Xinjiang Agricultural Sciences. 2012;49:589–594.
- 26. Vaccari FP, Baronti S, Lugato E, Genesio L, Castaldi S, et al. Biochar as a strategy

to sequester carbon and increase yield in durum wheat. European Journal of Agronomy. 2011;34:231-238.

- 27. Lehmann J, Da Silva Jr. JP, Sleiner C, Nehls T, Zech W, Glaser B. Nutrient available and leaching in an archaeological anthrosol and a ferrasol of the central Amazon basin, fertiliser, manure and charcoal amendments. Plant and Soil. 2003;249:343-357.
- Biederman LA, Harpole WS. Biochar and its effects on plant productivity and nutrient cycling: A meta analysis. GCB Bioenergy. 2013;5:202-214. DOI: 10.1111/gcbb.12037

© 2016 Yusif et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/15632