



Zinc Biofortification through Biofertilizers, Foliar and Soil Application in Maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Amandeep Singh ^{a*}, Amarinder Singh ^b
and Harmanpreet Kaur Gill ^c

^a Department of Agronomy, Khalsa College Amritsar, Punjab, India.

^b Department of Agriculture, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar-143002, India.

^c Department of Agronomy, Lovely professional University, Phagwara, Punjab, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2024/v46i52363

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113843>

Original Research Article

Received: 03/01/2024

Accepted: 08/03/2024

Published: 13/03/2024

ABSTRACT

Aims: Here is clearly aim of study is to achieve the maximum grain yield and for improve the economic conditions of farmers.

Study Design: The experiment was conducted in a split-plot design.

Place and duration of study: The field experiment was conducted to study the effect of biofertilizers, foliar and soil application of zinc fertilizers in maize (*Zea mays* L.) at the research farm of the Khalsa College, Amritsar, Punjab (India) (31°63' N latitude, 74°83' E longitude and 234 m above sea level) during rainy seasons of 2018 and 2019.

Methodology: The four levels of biofertilizers and foliar application as main plot [recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF: 150 kg nitrogen: 27.3 kg phosphorus: 25 kg K ha⁻¹), RDF + Zn solubilizing bacteria (ZnSB), RDF + ZnSB + vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza (VAM) + 0.5% foliar

*Corresponding author: E-mail: amandeep62@pau.edu;

ZnSO₄.7H₂O) at silking and early milk stage] and four subplot treatment of soil application of ZnSO₄.7H₂O @ 12, 25 and 50 kg/ha at the time of sowing along with no soil Zn control were evaluated in three replications.

Results: Foliar application of zinc in addition to biofertilizers (ZnSB + VAM) produced significantly higher growth, yield and quality over control treatment. Soil application of zinc sulphate (50 kg ha⁻¹) significantly improved growth, grain yield and Zn harvest index, Zn mobilization efficiency index and recovery efficiency over no Zn control treatment. The grain zinc content, zinc uptake and zinc use efficiencies were significantly maximum with the application of VAM + ZnSB along with 0.5% zinc sulphate foliar at silking and early milk stage than ZnSB, VAM and control treatment.

Conclusion: Zn application of soil and foliar improved the growth, yield and quality parameters of maize.

Keywords: Maize; biofortification; biofertilizers; zinc application.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Zinc (Zn) deficiency is a well-recognized problem occurring in both plants and human beings. Imbalanced and indiscriminate application of high analysis fertilizers to different crops with low or no use of organic manures leads to deficiency of micronutrients. Around 50% of Indian soils are deficient in Zn and it is the fourth most important yield-limiting nutrient after nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium” [1]. Now a days, in humans, Zn deficiency has become a serious problem affecting nearly half of the world’s population [2] and causing impairment in brain development, skin lesions, anorexia, immune suppression, loss of taste and weakness in body muscles [3]. “The problem of zinc deficiency is more serious in young children as it causes diarrhoea, pneumonia, childhood dwarfism, morbidity and mortality under-five years of age” [4-5]. “Because of the emergence of Zn as an important nutritional problem, especially in developing countries, the need for biofortification of plants with higher Zn contents in grains” was suggested by Bouis et al. [6]. “Biofortification of crops can be attained by plant breeding and genetic engineering and fertilization” [7]. “Agronomic biofortification (fertilizer approach) appears to be important in keeping an adequate quantity of available Zn in soil solution and increases its transport to the seeds during the reproductive growth stage through foliar application and is the safe and cheaper method” [8].

“Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is a dietary staple food crop that ranks third-largest cereal crop after wheat and rice with a production of 27.80 million metric tonnes during 2018-2019” (Anonymous 2019). “The application of Zn is reported to enhance maize grain yield around the world” [9]. “But Zn biofortification of maize grain has not

received much attention, although maize is an important source of carb-rich diet in developing countries, where a major portion of the population is vegetarian” [10]. “Animal-based foods have a very minute quantity of Zn as compared to vegetarian foods” [4]. “Among the cereals considered, wheat was by far the most responsive to foliar Zn spray about increases in grain Zn followed by rice” [8, 11,12]. “Maize appeared to be less responsive to foliar Zn fertilization” [13]. “Soil Zn is converted into various unavailable forms that depend upon soil type and chemical reactions and unavailable zinc compounds can be reversed to available form through bioaugmentation of Zn solubilizing bacteria” [14]. “Similarly, the application of VAM biofertilizer results in enhanced availability and uptake of Zn by acidifying the rhizosphere and provides the ability for the host plant to utilize the tightly bound Zn by the external mycelium” [15]. “Zn is essential to all forms of life because of its vital role in gene expression, cell development and replication” [16]. Keeping in view the significance of Zn in mitigating malnutrition and increasing the yield and quality of maize, the present investigations were undertaken to study the effect of integrated use of biofertilizers, foliar application and soil application on grain yield and grain Zn of maize.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Location and Soil Characteristics

The field experiment was carried out during the rainy seasons of 2018 and 2019 at the Khalsa College, Amritsar, Punjab, India (31°63' N latitude & 74°83' E longitude and about 234 m above sea level). The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture with normal pH (7.7) and electrical conductivity (0.40 mmhos cm⁻¹). The soil rated medium in organic

carbon (0.46), low in available nitrogen (168 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorus (28.5 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available potassium (330 kg ha⁻¹) and low in available Zinc (0.53 ppm).

2.2 Experimental Treatments and Design

The experiment was conducted in a split-plot design with four main plot treatments as biofertilizers and foliar [recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF: 150 kg nitrogen: 27.3 kg phosphorus: 25 kg K ha⁻¹), RDF + Zn solubilizing bacteria (ZnSB), RDF + ZnSB + Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza (VAM) + 0.5% foliar ZnSO₄.7H₂O) at silking and early milk stage] and four subplot treatment of soil application of ZnSO₄.7H₂O @ 12, 25 and 50 kg/ha at the time of sowing with three replications. The PMH-7 variety and Glomus VAM species used in experiment according to treatments given by different researchers. The sowing was done by dibbling two seeds per hill keeping row to row spacing of 60 cm and plant to plant spacing of 20 cm on a well-prepared seedbed. Nitrogen was applied in the form of urea in three splits: one-third nitrogen was applied at sowing, the other one third at a knee-high stage near the plant base and the remaining one-third nitrogen at the pre-tasselling stage. Phosphorus and potassium fertilizers were applied as basal doses to the crop.

2.3 Data Collection and Analysis

The leaf area index and dry matter accumulation of randomly selected five plants were measured at 70 days after sowing. Randomly selected five plants from each plot at harvest stage to measure various parameters like plant height, cob length, grain weight per cob, 100-grain weight. The Zn concentration in grains and stover was calculated by MPAES-Microwave plasma atomic emission spectroscopy after wet digestion of grains. The grain samples were digested in a di-acid mixture of nitric acid (HNO₃) and perchloric acid (HClO₄) in the ratio of 3:1 for the analysis of Zn. The Zn uptake of maize grains and stover was computed by multiplying Zn concentrations (ppm) with corresponding yield (t ha⁻¹) and was expressed in g ha⁻¹.

The Zn harvest index (ZnHI) and Zn mobilization efficiency index (ZnMEI) were calculated employing expressions as proposed by Shivay and Parsad [17]:

$$\text{ZnHI} = \frac{\text{Zn}_s}{\text{Zn}_t} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{ZnMEI} = [\text{Grain Zn concentration} \div \text{Straw Zn concentration}] \quad (2)$$

Zn_s = Zn uptake by grain at harvest, and Zn_t = Zn uptake by whole crop (grain + stover) at harvest.

The economics was calculated based on the prevailing prices of inputs and outputs and expressed as US\$ per ha. The analyses of variances were carried out on pooled data. All the data were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance using split-plot design in CPCS-I software.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Growth, Yield Attributes and Yields of Maize

The application of ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn had a significant effect on plant height, leaf area index and dry matter accumulation at silking stage over the control treatment (Table 1). The tallest plants were recorded in ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn which was similar to VAM and ZnSB but significantly higher than no biofertilizer control. However, ZnSB alone was not able to increase plant height as compared to no biofertilizer control. All the zinc fertilization treatments i.e. Zn₁₂, Zn₂₅ and Zn₅₀ significantly increased the plant height as compared to no Zn control treatment. The dry matter accumulation recorded in ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn (219.8 g/plant) was significantly higher than no biofertilizer control and ZnSB but statistically at par with the VAM treatments. The dry matter accumulated in Zn50 was found to be the highest (221.7 g/plant) which was significantly higher than rest of the Zn treatments and no zinc control plot. The dry matter accumulated in Zn₁₂ and Zn₂₅ was statistically similar to each other but significantly higher than no Zn control treatment. The LAI ranged from 3.78 in no biofertilizer control treatment to 4.41 in RDF + ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn. The highest LAI recorded in RDF + ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn was statistically similar to ZnSB and VAM but significantly higher than no biofertilizer control plot. As recorded in plant height, all zinc fertilization treatments (Zn₁₂, Zn₂₅ and Zn₅₀) recorded similar LAI and significantly higher than no Zn control plot. The response of all the growth

characters in relation to zinc fertilization and biofertilization was not significant.

The yield attributes and grain/straw yield were significantly influenced by main and sub plot treatments (Table 2). The highest cob length was recorded in ZnSB+VAM+Zn Foliar which was statistically at par with VAM and ZnSB but significantly higher than no biofertilizer control. The cob length recorded in all the Zn treatments was significantly higher than recorded in no Zn control treatment. The grain per cob recorded in ZnSB+VAM+Zn was the highest which was significantly higher than all other treatments. The grains per cob recorded in VAM and ZnSB treatments were statistically similar but significantly higher than no Zn control treatment. The Zn₅₀ treatment recorded the highest grains per cob which were significantly higher than recorded in all other Zn treatments and no Zn control. The minimum grains per cob were recorded in no Zn treatment. The 100-grain weight was not significantly influenced by biofertilizers and foliar Zn fertilizer application treatments.

The highest grain yield of maize was recorded in ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn (5.61 t ha⁻¹) which was 7.88% and significantly higher than no biofertilizer control. Applications of ZnSB and VAM were also able to enhance grain yield over the control but the increase was only 5.2 and 7.1% over the control respectively. But the grain yield recorded in ZnSB, VAM + ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn was statistically similar. All Zn fertilization treatments recorded significantly higher grain yield than no Zn fertilizer treatment. The Zn fertilization treatment Zn₅₀ recorded the highest grain yield which was 10.7% higher than no Zn control plot. The grain yield recorded in Zn₂₅ was similar to Zn₅₀ statistically. Although, the grain yield recorded in Zn₁₂ was significantly higher than no Zn fertilizer but the increase was only 5.44%.

The stover yield recorded in all the biofertilizer treatments was similar with each other but significantly higher than recorded in no biofertilizer control plot. The stover yield increase recorded in ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn was 12.3% higher than no biofertilizer control plot. Among Zn fertilization treatments, Zn₅₀ recorded 9.7% higher stover yield than no Zn control plot.

3.2 Zn content in Grain and Uptake

The highest grain Zn, straw Zn and Zn uptake by grain and straw were recorded in ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn treatment.

The grain Zn recorded in ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn (40.8 mg kg⁻¹) was significantly higher than rest of the treatments which was 8.5 mg kg⁻¹ and 26.3% higher than recorded in no biofertilizer control plot. The grain Zn concentration recorded in ZnSB and VAM was 9.6 and 15.25% high grain Zn than recorded in no biofertilizer control. In the soil Zn application treatments, the highest Zn content in grain (39.6 mg kg⁻¹) was recorded in Zn₅₀ which was similar to Zn₂₅ but significantly higher than the rest of the treatments including no Zn control plot. The minimum Zn content in grain (32.1 mg kg⁻¹) was recorded in no Zn control plot.

The Zn content in stover was ranged from 43.0 mg kg⁻¹ in no biofertilizer control plot to 53.1 mg kg⁻¹ in ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn. The treatment ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn recorded significantly higher stover zinc than other treatments. The stover Zn recorded in ZnSB and VAM was similar but both of the treatments recorded significantly higher stover Zn than no biofertilizer control.

The highest Zn uptake by grains was recorded in ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn (229.6 kg/ha) which was significantly higher than other treatments. The Zn uptake by grain in VAM was significantly higher than ZnSB. Similarly, grains Zn uptake was significantly higher in ZnSB than no biofertilizer control plot. The Zn uptake recorded in Zn₅₀ was the highest which was statistically at par with Zn₂₅ but significantly higher than no Zn control plot. The grain Zn uptake recorded in Zn₂₅ and Zn₁₂ was statistically similar to each other.

The Zn uptake in stover was the highest in ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn (444.5 g ha⁻¹) which was significantly higher than all other treatments. The stover Zn uptake recorded in VAM and ZnSB was similar statistically but significantly higher than no biofertilizer treatment. Among Zn fertilization treatments, all higher Zn doses treatments recorded significantly higher stover Zn uptake than the lower dose of Zn treatment with the highest of 719.6 g ha⁻¹ in Zn₅₀.

Table 1. Effect of Zn biofertilizers, foliar and soil application on growth parameters of maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Leaf area Index	Dry matter production (g/plant)
Biofertilizers and foliar			
Control (Recommended dose of NPK)	195.50	3.78	207.01
Zinc solubilising bacteria (ZSB) at sowing	199.74	4.21	214.81
Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) at sowing	200.72	4.20	216.85
ZSB+VAM at sowing with 0.5% zinc sulphate foliar application at 45 and 60 DAS	202.07	4.41	219.75
CD (p=0.05)	4.17	0.21	5.25
Soil application			
Control(Recommended dose of NPK)	195.3	3.76	208.21
Zinc sulphate @12 kg ha ⁻¹ at sowing	199.12	4.23	212.51
Zinc sulphate @25 kg ha ⁻¹ at sowing	201.44	4.29	215.99
Zinc sulphate @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹ at sowing	202.18	4.34	221.71
CD (p=0.05)	3.73	0.37	4.09
Interaction	NS	NS	NS

Table 2. Effect of Zn biofertilizers, foliar and soil application on yield and yield attributes of maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Treatments	Cob length (cm)	No. of grains per cob	Test weight (100 grains weight)	Grains yield (qha ⁻¹)	Stover yield (qha ⁻¹)
Biofertilizers and foliar					
Control (Recommended dose of NPK)	16.95	298.41	20.0	51.95	74.95
Zinc solubilising bacteria (ZSB) at sowing	18.62	315.91	20.80	54.68	80.01
Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) at sowing	19.24	316.25	20.97	55.65	80.66
ZSB+VAM at sowing with 0.5% zinc sulphate foliar application at 45 and 60 DAS	19.82	338.00	21.07	56.10	84.18
CD (p=0.05)	1.39	12.54	NS	2.62	4.64
Soil application					
Control (Recommended dose of NPK)	17.48	299.50	20.55	51.41	75.35
Zinc sulphate @12 kg ha ⁻¹ at sowing	18.59	317.08	21.43	54.17	80.80
Zinc sulphate @25 kg ha ⁻¹ at sowing	19.14	323.67	20.49	55.94	81.07
Zinc sulphate @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹ at sowing	19.47	328.33	21.40	56.85	82.59
CD (p=0.05)	1.10	13.77	NS	2.76	5.14
Interaction	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 3. Effect of Zn biofertilizers, foliar and soil application on quality attributes of maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Treatments	Zinc content in grains (mg kg ⁻¹)	Zn uptake by grains (g ha ⁻¹)	Zinc content in stover (mg kg ⁻¹)	Zn uptake by stover (g ha ⁻¹)
Biofertilizers and foliar				
Control (Recommended dose of NPK)	32.28	168.27	42.94	321.89
Zinc solubilising bacteria (ZSB) at sowing	35.35	193.31	47.70	379.79
Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) at sowing	37.15	205.15	49.15	393.86
ZSB+VAM at sowing with 0.5% zinc sulphate foliar application at 45 and 60 DAS	40.78	229.63	53.07	444.45
CD (p=0.05)	2.92	11.87	2.77	16.14
Soil application				
Control (Recommended dose of NPK)	32.14	165.97	44.30	333.09
Zinc sulphate @ 12 kg ha ⁻¹ at sowing	36.49	198.30	48.02	387.09
Zinc sulphate @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ at sowing	37.35	208.46	49.71	400.17
Zinc sulphate@ 50 kg ha ⁻¹ at sowing	39.58	216.31	50.84	407.15
CD(p=0.05)	3.10	18.96	3.13	20.13
Interaction	NS	NS	NS	NS

4. DISCUSSION

In India, maize is cultivated on a wide range of environmental conditions, spreading from extreme semi-arid to sub-humid and humid regions. It is a chief source of calories and minerals for most countryside people, farm animals and poultry birds. But unfortunately, Zn concentration in the grain is innately very low, mostly when cultivated on Zn-deficient soils. Continuous use of inorganic zinc fertilizer partially provides the plant need as 96–99% of applied Zn is transformed into different insoluble forms depending upon the soil kinds and physicochemical reactions.

4.1 Biofertilizer and Foliar Zn Application

The application of ZnSB or VAM and together ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn fertilizer application gave better plant height and leaf area index (LAI) than no biofertilizer control plot. The better growth was due to more availability of Zn in ZnSB and VAM and foliar fertilization in 0.5% Zn foliar. The availability of Zn increases with the production of organic acids in the soil. Ramesh et al. [18] stated that the formation of acidic conditions in liquid media and solubilization of zinc by rhizobacteria are due to the creation of different organic acids. Production of several organic acids by microorganisms has been reported to be the main cause of zinc solubilization [19] Fasim et al. [20] and Hussain et al. [14]. Improved growth with ZnSB inoculation might be due to the increase in the availability of nutrients [21]. Saboor et al. [22] observed that Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculation helped to improve photosynthesis, transpiration, and stomatal conductance which helped to improve the growth characters.

The higher yield attributes liker grains per ear head recorded in ZnSB or VAM or ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% foliar Zn fertilizer application. ZnSB and VAM facilitated the acidification of rhizosphere, solubilization of precipitated Zn compounds resulting in the improvement in the soil available Zn status leading to a higher amount of nutrient uptake by the crop, translocated from source to sink which had reflected in the grain yield. The combined application of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and zinc solubilizing bacteria had a significant influence over untreated control on maize growth and yield.

The biofertilizers and foliar Zn application improved leaf area index might be due to rapid absorption of zinc nutrient through leaf, roots and

this culminates increase in cell division and elongation, chlorophyll content and photosynthesis. Zn application to foliage is easily absorbed through foliage and transported within the plants when they are dissolved in water and sprayed on them resulting in higher dry matter production [8]. The grain Zn and stover Zn content were significantly higher with the application of ZnSB and VAM than recommended fertilizers. The timely availability of the Zn nutrient to the plant at the critical growth stages through foliar application and ZnSB + VAM inoculation enhances Zn supply to the maize plant by improving the available Zn enabling the plant to maintain higher nutritional status. The maximum increase was recorded with ZnSB + VAM + 0.5% Zn foliar application due to direct application of Zn on the crop foliage and translocation is quick. The increase in Zn content in grain was comparatively less as compared to wheat and rice. Ram et al. [8] reported that the application of 0.5% Zn enhanced the grain Zn in rice and wheat by 35 and 100% over no Zn foliar control. Suganya and Saravanan (2016) also stated that Zn uptake by maize grain was increased to 44.1% by the application of VAM + ZSB. The same trend was also observed for the uptake of Zn by maize stover. These results are supported by the findings of Kanimozhi [23] who reported the increased nutrient uptake due to the application of microbial inoculation to the crop. Better Zn mobilization in 0.5% Zn foliar application was due to better translocation from leaves to grains and its accumulation in maize grains. Kumar et al (2021) also reported that that foliar zinc application improves the leaf zinc and growth of leaves in fodder maize. Guletie et al. (2013) reported from short term pot culture experiment with maize that seed bacterization with P29 @ $10\text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ significantly enhanced total dry mass and uptake of N, K, Mn and Zn.

4.2 Soil Zn application

The zinc sulphate application in soil increased the growth and yield attributes of the maize with the highest in Zn₅₀. The higher growth and yield attributes in higher Zn dose were due to the supply of Zn nutrients to the plants which improved yield characters. As the result of yield attributes, the grain yield was also higher in Zn50 which was similar to Zn₂₅ and Zn₁₂. Improvement in yield and yield attributes by soil application might be attributed to the channelization of photosynthates during the reproductive stage that has been influenced by zinc by way of its

involvement in electron transport and activation of various enzymes [24]. The soil Zn application also enhanced the carbohydrates supply to kernels, increasing yield components like cob length, the number of grains cob⁻¹ and test weight, which has a direct influence on the grain yield. Ruffo, Olson and Daveredec [25] reported better yield attributes and yield with the application of 11.21 kg Zn ha⁻¹ in maize. Zn content and uptake were higher in maize plants might be due to the presence of zinc micronutrients in huge amounts in soil and the slow release of these micronutrients into the soil solution which facilitated more Zn uptake [10] Coccina et al. [26-27] described that improved growth due to Zn application is associated with increased Zn uptake by the plant.

5. CONCLUSION

Zinc solubilizing bacteria and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza application at sowing along with a foliar application of zinc sulphate (0.5%) at silking and early milk stage attained higher growth, grain yield, Zn content in grains and better returns. The application of zinc sulphate (12 kg/ha) in soil could be helpful for the improvement of growth, grain yield, quality parameters. Thus, foliar zinc application in addition to biofertilizers and soil application enhance the grain yield and grain Zn which may contribute to human nutrition under low zinc soils.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Das S, Green A. Importance of zinc in crops and human health. *Jou of SAT Agri Res.* 2013;11:1–7.
2. Cakmak I. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: agronomic or genetic biofortification. *Pla and Soil.* 2008;302:1–17.
3. Black RE, Lindsay HA, Bhutta ZA, Caulfield LE, Onnis MD, Ezzati M, Mathers C, and Rivera J. Maternal and child under nutrition: global and regional exposures and health consequences. *The Lancet.* 2009;371: 243-60.
4. Cakmak I, and Kutman UB. Agronomic biofortification of cereals with zinc: A review. *Eur Jou of Soil Sci.* 2018;69:172–80.
5. Graham RD, Welch RM, Saunders DA, Ortiz-Monasterio I, Bouis HE, and Bonierbale M. Nutritious subsistence food systems. *Adv in Agro.* 2007; 92:1–74.
6. Bouis HE, Hotz C, Mc-Clafferty B, Meenakshi JV, Pfeiffer WH. Biofortification: a new tool to reduce micronutrient malnutrition. *Food and Nut Bul.* 2011;32: 31-40.
7. Sadeghzadeh B. A review of zinc nutrition and plant breeding. *Jour of Soil Sci and Plant Nut.* 2013;13 (4):905-27.
8. Ram H, Sohu VS, Cakmak I, Singh K, Buttar GS, Sodhi GPS, Gill HS, Bhagat I, Singh P, Dhaliwal SS, and Mavi GS. Agronomic fortification of rice and wheat grains with zinc for nutritional security. *Current Sci.* 2015;109(60):1171–76.
9. Harris D, Rashid A, Mira G, Arif M, and Shah H. 'On farm' Seed priming with zinc sulphate solution- a cost-effective way to increase the maize yields of resource-poor farmers. *Field Cro Res.* 2007;102:119-27.
10. Prasad R. Zinc biofortification of food grains in relation to food security and alleviation of zinc malnutrition. *Current sci.* 2010;98 (10):1300-04
11. Zhou CQ, Zhang YQ, Rashid A, Ram H, Savasli E, Arisoy RZ, Ortiz-Monasterio I, Simunji S, Wang ZH, Sohu VS, Hassan M, Kaya Y, Onder O, Lungu O, Mujahid MJ, Joshi AK, Zelenskiy Y, Zhang FS, and Cakmak I. Biofortification of wheat with zinc through zinc fertilization in seven countries. *Plant and Soil.* 2012;361:119–130.
DOI: 10.1007/s11104-012-1369-2
12. Phattarakul NB, Rerkasem LJ, Li L, Wu H, Zou CH, Ram H, Sohu VS, Kang BS, Surek H, Kalayci M, Yazici A, Zhang FS, and Cakmak I. Biofortification of rice grain with zinc through zinc fertilization in different countries. *Plan and Soil.* 2012;361:131–141.
13. Mabesa RL, Impa SM, Grewal D, and Johnson-Beebout SE. Contrasting grain-Zn response of biofortification rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) breeding lines to foliar Zn application. *Field Cro Res.* 2013;149:223–33.
14. Hussain A, Arshad M, Zahir ZA, and Asghar M. Prospectus of zinc solubilizing bacteria for improving growth and physiology of maize. *Pak Jou of Agri Sci.* 2015;52(4):915-22.

15. Subramanian KS, Tenshia V, Jayalakshmi K, Ramachandran V. Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus in zinc nutrition of maize. *Jour of Agri Biotech and Sus Development*. 2009;1:29-38.
16. Hambridge KM. Human zinc deficiency. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2000;130:1344-49.
17. Shivay YS, and Prasad R. Effect of source and methods of zinc application on corn productivity, nitrogen and zinc concentrations and uptake by high quality protein corn (*Zea mays*). *Egypt Journal of Bio*. 2014;16:72-78.
18. Ramesh AS, Sharma K, Sharma MP, Yadav N, and Joshi OP. Inoculation of zinc solubilizing *Bacillus aryabhatai* strains for improved growth, mobilization and biofortification of zinc in soybean and wheat cultivated in Vertisols of central India. *Applied Soil Eco*. 2014;73:87-96.
19. Seshadre SR, Muthukumarasamy, Lakshminarasimhan C, Ignaacimuthu S. Solubilization of inorganic phosphates by *Azospirillum halopraeferans*. *Current Sci*. 2002;79:565- 67.
20. Fasim FN, Ahmed R, Parsons, and Gadd GM. Solubilization of zinc salts by a bacterium isolated from the air environment of a tannery. *FEMS Micro Letters*. 2002;213:1-6.
21. Burd GI, Dixon DG, Glick BR. Plant growth promoting bacteria that decrease heavy metal toxicity in plants. *Can Jou of Micro*. 2000;46 (3):237-45.
22. Saboor A, Ali MA, Ahmed, Skalicky N, Danish M, Fahad S, Hassan S, Hassan F, Brestic MM, Sabagh EL. Biofertilizer-Based Zinc Application Enhances Maize Growth, Gas Exchange Attributes, and Yield in Zinc-Deficient Soil. *Agri*. 2021;11: 310. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11040310>
23. Kanimozhi AP, Santhy, Chandrasekaran N, and Subramanian KS. Response of maize plants to mycorrhizal colonization at varying level of phosphorus fertilization. *Proceedings of the Nat Semi on Soil Res, Madurai, India*. 2015;146-47.
24. Paramasivan MK, Kumaresan R, Malarvizhi P, Mahimairaja S, and Velayudham K. Effect of different levels of NPK and Zn on yield and nutrient uptake of hybrid maize (COHM5) (*Zea mays* L.) in Madhukkur series of soils of Tamil Nadu. *Asi Jour of Soil Sc*. 2011;5(2):236-40.
25. Ruffoa MR, Olsonb, and Daveredec I. Maize yield response to zinc sources and effectiveness of diagnostic indicators. *Communications in Soil Sci and Plant Anal*. 2016;47:137–41
26. Coccina A, Cavagnaro TR, Pellegrino E, Ercoli L, McLaughlin MJ, Watts-Williams SJ. The mycorrhizal pathway of zinc uptake contributes to zinc accumulation in barley and wheat grain. *BMC Plant Biol*. 2019;19:133.
27. Shivay YS, Prasad R, and Rahal A. Genotype variation for productivity, zinc utilization efficiencies and kernel quality in aromatic rice under low available zinc conditions. *Jour of Plant Nutr*. 2019;33: 1835–48.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113843>