



Studies on Pearl Millet-Based Intercropping System under Rainfed Condition of Coimbatore District, Tamil Nadu, India

Sowmiya M ^{a*}, Sharmili K ^a, Ramachander S ^b
and Dinesh Kumar P ^c

^a Division of Agronomy, School of Agricultural Science, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore, India.

^b Division of Genetics and Plant Breeding, School of Agricultural Science, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore, India.

^c Division of Agricultural Statistics, School of Agricultural Science, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2024/v14i44165

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116865>

Original Research Article

Received: 25/02/2024

Accepted: 01/05/2024

Published: 08/05/2024

ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of the study is to ascertain the response of pearl millet under intercropping system with pulses and their ability to produce higher yield under intercropping conditions.

Study Design: The study was carried out in Randomized block design (RBD).

Place and Duration of Study: The field experiment was conducted during *rabi* season of 2023-2024 at Karunya Institute of technology and Sciences, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu.

Methodology: The experiment was laid out in randomized block design and replicated thrice. It consisted of eleven treatments comprising of pearl millet as main crop and pulses *viz.*, blackgram,

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sowmiyasalem5@gmail.com;

greengram, redgram, cowpea and bengalgram as intercrops. Pulses were intercropped with pearl millet in replacement series of 4:1 and 6:1 row proportion.

Results: Significantly higher plant height (186.03cm), dry matter accumulation (7386kg/ha), grain yield (2493 kg/ha) and B:C (2.50) ratio was recorded in pearl millet + blackgram (4:1). Further pearl millet equivalent yield (PMGEY) (2711.1 kg/ha) and Relative Crowding Coefficient (RCC) were higher in pearl millet with blackgram 4:1 ratio and Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) were higher in pearl millet + redgram at a ratio of 4:1.

Conclusion: These results confirmed that, pearl millet + blackgram 4:1 intercropping system may be suggested to get greater net return and B: C ratio.

Keywords: Pearl millet; intercropping; PMGEY; LER; RCC and yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pearl millet, known scientifically as *Pennisetum glaucum* L., is an ideal crop to combat water scarcity and ensure food security in regions facing harsh climatic conditions due to its high drought tolerance and resource efficiency [1] It ranks fourth among global food grain crops after rice, wheat, and sorghum [2]. While it holds a prominent position among millets in terms of cultivation area and production, its cultivation is particularly crucial for dryland agriculture due to its ability to thrive in arid and less fertile conditions, making substantial contributions to a nation's food security.

India is the largest producer of pearl millet in the world with an area of 68.41 lakh ha owing to average production of 97.81 lakh tons and productivity of 1430 kg/ha (2021-22). In Tamil Nadu it is cultivated in an area of 0.60 lakh ha with the total production of 1.46 lakh tonne and productivity is of 2437 kg/ha (DA and FW, 2021-2022).

The prevalent practice of cultivating pearl millet as a monocrop in arid and semi-arid regions poses significant challenges. The monoculture approach not only increases the risk of diminished production but also strains the land resources [3]. Implementing the practices that promote crop diversification, soil conservation and efficient resource management can help to alleviate the negative effects of monoculture. These endeavors are crucial for maintaining the long-term resilience of agricultural systems in the face of evolving obstacles [4]. Through innovative strategies, we can pave the way for more robust and productive agricultural sector capable of meeting the needs of both present and future generations.

Intercropping stands out as a promising agricultural practice, aimed at enhancing overall productivity by cultivating multiple crops within

the same field simultaneously. This approach optimizes the utilization of environmental resources, aiming to maximize the output per unit area over a given period [5]. The fundamental principle of intercropping is to grow two or more crops together allowing them to explore the environment more effectively better than one, ultimately leading to increased yields [6]. The combination of millets and legumes in intercropping systems has garnered attention from agronomists, due to both established and theoretical advantages. Legume-intercropping, have the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen with the help of rhizobium bacteria, which enhances the qualitative and quantitative traits of crops. This practice contributes to food security and sustainability by improving soil fertility and reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers [7].

To address this current agricultural challenge, a field experiment has been undertaken to assess the efficacy of pearl millet-based intercropping systems with pulses under rainfed conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out during the *rabi* season of 2023-2024 to study the performance of pearl millet-based intercropping with pulses under rainfed condition at Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore district of Tamil Nadu using randomized block design with eleven treatments. The experiment field is located at 11° N latitude and 77° E longitude, at an altitude of 427 meters above mean sea level and it is situated in the Western agroclimatic zone of Tamil Nadu. The intercropping system treatments are., T₁ - pearl millet sole crop, T₂ - pearl millet + blackgram (4:1), T₃ - pearl millet + blackgram (6:1), T₄ - pearl millet + greengram (4:1), T₅ - pearl millet + greengram (6:1), T₆ - pearl millet + redgram (4:1), T₇ - pearl millet + redgram (6:1), T₈ - pearl millet + cowpea (4:1) T₉ - pearl millet + cowpea (6:1), T₁₀- pearl millet +

bengalgram (4:1), T₁₁- pearl millet + bengalgram (6:1). The treatments were replicated thrice and sown in replacement series. All intercrops viz., blackgram, greengram, redgram, cowpea and bengalgram were raised separately adjacent to the treatment plots and the yields were recorded to work out indices related to biological, competition and economic efficiency of the intercropping system. The varieties tested in this experiment were pearl millet (CO 10), blackgram (TNAU (Bg) VBN 8), greengram (VBN (Gg) 3), cowpea (CO 2), redgram (CO (Rg) 7) and bengalgram (CO 3).

Economic benefits like net returns and B:C ratio was calculated according to market price of each crop. The effectiveness and sustainability of the systems are evaluated using a different index. These indexes are often calculated using the information gathered using conventional survey techniques.

Grain equivalent yield is used to convert the yield of different crops to one unit Lal and Ray [8]. proposed economic of crop by converting grain in terms of gross return for valid comparison as GEY. The pearl millet equivalent yield of the intercropping system was calculated by the following formula and expressed in kg ha⁻¹.

$$\text{GEY (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Yield of intercrop (y}_i\text{)} \times \text{Price of intercrop (p}_i\text{)}}{\text{Price of base crop (P}_p\text{)}}$$

LER (Land Equivalent Ratio) was worked out by using the formula suggested by Willey [9]. It is actually the proportionate land area required under pure stand of crop species to yield the same produce as obtained under an intercropping at the same management level.

$$\text{LER} = \frac{Y_{ab}}{Y_{aa}} + \frac{Y_{ba}}{Y_{bb}}$$

Where, Y_{ab} is the yield of “a” crop grown in association with “b” crop and Y_{ba} is the yield of “b” crop grown in association with “a” crop. Y_{aa} and Y_{bb} represent the yields of “a” and “b” crops grown in pure stand respectively. The value of LER greater than one (1.0) indicates the advantages of the intercropping system.

Relative crowding coefficient (RCC) indicates whether a crop, when grown in mixed population, has produced more or less yield than expected in pure stand [10]. The value of RCC greater than one indicates the advantages of the intercropping system.

$$\text{RCC} = \frac{Y_{ab} \times Z_{ab}}{(Y_{aa} - Y_{ab}) \times Z_{ab}}$$

Where, Z_{ab} and Z_{ba} are the sown proportion of crop “a” and “b” in intercropping condition.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth and Yield of Pearl Millet Based Intercropping System

3.1.1 Growth attributes

Intercropping had a significant impact on growth factors such as plant height and dry matter production. Pearl millet + blackgram at 4:1 ratio, the recorded the maximum plant height which was followed by pearl millet intercropped with greengram in the same ratio (Table 1). This was because blackgram, being a short-duration and early maturing crop, competed less for resources like sunlight, water, and nutrients compared to crops like cowpea. This reduced competition allowed the pearl millet plants to grow better and yield more [11]. Similar results were also reported by Somu *et al.*, [11].

The dry matter production was significantly higher in pearl millet sole crop (T₁) followed by pearl millet + blackgram at 4:1 row ratio (Table 1). Sole pearl millet exhibits greater dry matter production per plant when compared to intercropping system. This might due to reduced competition for space, solar radiation and nutrients. Which resulted in enhanced vegetative growth and overall higher dry matter accumulation per plant [12] These results were similar to the findings of Shiyal *et al.*, [12].

3.1.2 Grain yield

Pearl millet sole crop registered the maximum grain yield and stover yield (2583 kg/ha and 5126 kg/ha) followed, by pearl millet + blackgram at 4:1 ratio (2493 kg/ha and 4815 kg/ha of stover yield) (Table 1). It could be due to the presence of a greater number of plant population than the intercropping system [13]. The results are similar with the findings of Suman *et al.*, [13].

3.2 Competitive Indices

3.2.1 Grain equivalent yield

The pearl millet grain equivalent yield (GEY) was estimated to compare various intercropping

arrangements (Table 2). Among the various intercropping system pearl millet + blackgram at a ratio 4:1 produced the higher pearl millet grain equivalent yield (2711.1 kg/ha) and was closely followed by pearl millet + greengram (4:1) and pearl millet + redgram (4:1) row ratio. This might

be due to better utilization of resources and complimentary interactions between component crops as well as extra yield and high market price of blackgram and greengram [14]. These findings are in similar with the results of Kaushik and Sharma, [14].

Table 1. Effect of intercropping on growth, yield and economics

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Dry matter production (kg/ha)	Pearl millet (kg ha ⁻¹)		Yield of intercrops (kg/ha)	Net income (Rs./ha)	B: C ratio
			Grain	Stover			
T ₁ Pearl millet sole crop	181.82	7935	2583	5126	-	78625	2.41
T ₂ Pearl millet + Blackgram (4:1)	186.03	7386	2493	4815	156.0	84204	2.50
T ₃ Pearl millet + Blackgram (6:1)	171.63	7231	2197	4987	69.4	63551	2.13
T ₄ Pearl millet + Greengram (4:1)	185.18	7207	2469	4730	134.2	80690	2.44
T ₅ Pearl millet + Greengram (6:1)	169.30	7112	2192	4893	87.4	63987	2.14
T ₆ Pearl millet + Redgram (4:1)	184.45	7164	2252	4798	297.1	80469	2.43
T ₇ Pearl millet + Redgram (6:1)	170.48	7206	2231	4875	169.5	71250	2.27
T ₈ Pearl millet + Cowpea (4:1)	153.55	6158	1847	4169	233.1	46758	1.82
T ₉ Pearl millet + Cowpea (6:1)	160.45	6602	2013	4526	165.2	53525	1.95
T ₁₀ Pearl millet + Bengalgram (4:1)	159.26	6389	2141	4082	156.6	66351	2.16
T ₁₁ Pearl millet + Bengalgram (6:1)	160.14	6128	2023	4046	120.8	57815	2.01
SE(d)	10.66	516	203	349			
CD (P=0.05)	22.23	1077	424	728			

Table 2. Effect of intercropping on various competitive assessments

Treatments	Grain equivalent yield (kg/ha)	Land Equivalent Ratio (LER)*	Relative Crowding Coefficient (RCC)*
T ₂ Pearl millet + Blackgram (4:1)	2711	1.13	6.92
T ₃ Pearl millet + Blackgram (6:1)	2294	0.92	0.94
T ₄ Pearl millet + Greengram (4:1)	2643	1.09	5.41
T ₅ Pearl millet + Greengram (6:1)	2305	0.93	0.93
T ₆ Pearl millet + Redgram (4:1)	2638	1.21	1.70
T ₇ Pearl millet + Redgram (6:1)	2451	1.05	1.05
T ₈ Pearl millet + Cowpea (4:1)	1986	0.96	0.60
T ₉ Pearl millet + Cowpea (6:1)	2112	0.94	0.50
T ₁₀ Pearl millet + Bengalgram (4:1)	2390	0.99	1.20
T ₁₁ Pearl millet + Bengalgram (6:1)	2215	0.91	0.60
SE (d)	210		
CD (5%)	441		

*Data not statistically not analyzed.

3.2.2 Land equivalent ratio

Among the intercropping systems, pearl millet + redgram (4:1) intercropping system had the maximum land equivalent ratio (1.21), and it was closely followed by pearl millet + blackgram at 4:1 row ratio of (1.13) (Table 2.). It has been reported in the earlier studies that greater value of LER indicated greater biological efficiency of crops grown in association and was probably due to temporal and spatial complementary effect and thereby giving corresponding yield advantages [15]. Similar findings were reported by Sharmili *et al.*, [15].

3.2.3 Relative crowding coefficient

Pearl millet + blackgram at 4:1 ratio recorded higher RCC value of 6.92 compared to other intercropping systems. The RCC value greater than unity in this intercropping system denotes the advantage of intercropping (Table 2). This row proportion was found to be more competitive in terms of input resource use efficiency and to additionally indicate yield advantage [16]. These results were similar to the findings of Kumar *et al.*, [16].

3.3 Economics of Intercropping

Pearl millet + blackgram 4:1 combination recorded higher gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio than other treatment studied (Rs.1,40,385/ha, Rs.84,204/ha and 2.50 respectively). It was followed by pearl millet + greengram 4:1 (Rs.1,36,891/ha, Rs. 80,960/ha and 2.44) and pearl millet + redgram 4:1 (Rs.1,36,710/ha, Rs. 80,469/ha and 2.43) [17-19]. Intercropping was always beneficial and recorded higher B: C with respect to pearl millet sole crop. This may be attributed due to higher grain yield and good market price [20]. The results align with the findings of were similar to Kumar *et al.*, [20].

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings, it can be concluded that intercropping pearl millet with blackgram at a ratio of 4:1 resulted in higher productivity and per unit area compared to other intercropping methods. Hence, for rainfed conditions, it is recommended to adopt the pearl millet + blackgram intercropping system at a 4:1 ratio in order to achieve increased productivity and net income. Alternatively, another suggested

intercropping system is pearl millet + greengram, pearl millet + redgram at a ratio of 4:1 row ratio.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Division of Agronomy, School of Agricultural Sciences, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences (KITS), for providing the facilities to conduct the field trail in Coimbatore, India.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Naorem AK, Saritha M, Kumar S, Panwar NR, Meena KK, Patel A, Ram B. Pearl Millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) Research in India: A scientometric journey through the last two decades (2000–2022). *Annals Arid Zone*. 2023;62:1-17.
2. Satyavathi CT, Ambawat S, Khandelwal V, Srivastava RK. Pearl millet: a climate-resilient nutriceal for mitigating hidden hunger and provide nutritional security. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2021;12: 659938.
3. Sharmili K, Yasodha M, Rajesh P. Millet and pulses-based intercropping: A review. *Agricultural Reviews*. 2021;42(2):230-234.
4. Nandhini U, Somasundaram E. Intercropping—A Substantial Component in Sustainable Organic Agriculture. *Indian Journal Pure Applied Biosciences*. 2020; 8(2):133-143.
5. Manjunath MG, Salakinkop SR, Somanagouda G. Productivity and profitability of soybean-based millets intercropping systems. *Research on Crops*. 2018;19(1):43-47.
6. Keerthanapriya S, Hemalatha M, Joseph M, Prabina BJ. Assessment of competitiveness and yield advantages of little millet based intercropping system under rainfed condition. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2019;7(3): 4121-4124.
7. Ofori F, Stern WR. Cereal–legume intercropping systems. *Advances in agronomy*. 1987;41:41-90.
8. Lal RB, Ray S. Economics of crop production of different cropping intensities [India]. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 1976;46.

9. Willey R. Intercropping-its importance and research needs. 1. Competition and yield advantages; 1979.
10. De Wit CT. On competition. - Verslagen van Landboukundige Onderzoekingen. 1960;66:1-82.
11. Somu G, Meena N, Shashikumar C, Shivaray N, Druvakumar M, Kanavi MSP. Evaluation of sorghum based intercropping system for yield maximization in sorghum. Indian Journal of Pure and applied Biosciences. 2020;8:145-9.
12. Shiyal VN, Patel VM, Gamit MK, Patel KK, Kotadiya PL. Effect of Pearl Millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.)-Pulses Intercropping System with Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Pearl Millet. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(11):1950-1959.
13. Suman, Anil Kumar, Satyavan and Akshit. Effect of pearl millet based intercropping systems on yield and economics of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R.Br.]. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2021; 23(4):432-436.
14. Kaushik SS, Sharma TD. Wheat and component intercrop yield, land equivalent ratio and monetary indices influenced by intercropping and row proportions. International Journal of Current Microbiology Applied Sciences. 2017;6(7): 2626-2631.
15. Sharmili K, Parasuraman P, Sivagamy K. Effect of inter and sequential cropping of pulses in little millet (*Panicum sumatranse* L.) Based cropping system. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2023;57 (1):52-55.
16. Kumar A, Kumar P. Performance of advance pearl millet hybrids and mungbean under sole cropping and intercropping systems under semi arid environment. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(2):1671-1675.
17. Department of Agriculture and farmers welfare; (2021-22).
18. Rawat B, Kamboj NK, Kumar R, Gupta G. Effect of nutrient management practices on yield and economics of pearl millet based intercropping systems under rainfed situations. Annals of Agricultural Research. 2018;39(2).
19. Victor VP, Sharmili K, Kumar DP, Minithra R, Balaganesh B. Performance of pearl millet and pulses based intercropping system under rainfed condition. International Journal of Environmental Climate Change. 2023;13(8):747-52.
20. Kumar B, Ray PK. Performance of intercropping of legumes with finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) for enhancing productivity, sustainability and economics in Koshi region of Bihar. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(3):1568-1571.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116865>