Asian Research Journal of Agriculture



13(2): 13-25, 2020; Article no.ARJA.60981 ISSN: 2456-561X

Assessment of the Exposure Risk to Mycotoxins from Stored Maize (Zea mays L.) in Triple Bags with Aromatic Plants Leaves (Lippia multiflora and Hyptis suaveolens) in Côte d'Ivoire

Yao Vanessa Gaël^{1*}, G. Henri Marius Biego^{1,2}, Konan K. Constant³, Coulibaly Adama⁴ and Sidibe Daouda¹

¹Research Unit of Biochemistry and Food Sciences, Training and Research Unit of Biosciences, Felix Houphouet-Boigny University of Abidjan, 22 BP 582 Abidjan 22, Cote d'Ivoire. ²Department of Public Health, Hydrology and Toxicology, Training and Research Unit of Pharmacological and Biological Sciences, Felix Houphouet-Boigny University, BP 34 Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. ³Eco Epidemiology Unit, Environmental and Health Department, Institut Pasteur of Côte d'Ivoire 01, P.O. Box 490, Abidjan 01, Côte d'Ivoire. ⁴Training and Research Unit of Biological Sciences, Peleforo Gon Coulibaly University, P.O. Box 1328, Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author YVG designed the study, wrote the protocol, fitted the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author KKC checked the first draft of the manuscript and achieved the submitted manuscript. Authors CA and SD performed the statistical analysis and assisted the experiments implementation. Author GHMB expertized the results interpretations. All authors managed the literature, read and approved the submitted manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJA/2020/v13i230098 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Jean Béguinot, University of Burgundy, France. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Işılay Lavkor, Biological Control Research Institute, Turkey. (2) S. Fakoya, Ondo State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/60981</u>

> Received 29 June 2020 Accepted 05 September 2020 Published 16 September 2020

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

In Côte d'Ivoire, maize is second cereal most cultivated and consumed after rice. In bad storage conditions, corn may be contaminated by mycotoxins (aflatoxin B1, total aflatoxins, ochratoxin A, fuminosin B1, zearalenone). The aim of this study was to assess the exposure risk of these

*Corresponding author: E-mail: yao.bvg@gmail.com;

mycotoxins for maize stored in triple bags in presence of aromatic plants leaves (*Lippia multiflora* and *Hyptis suaveolens*). The contents of water activity, aflatoxin B1 (AFB1), total aflatoxins (AFT), ochratoxin A (OTA), fuminosin B1 (FB1) and zearalenone (ZEA) were studied and monitored. The levels of AFB1, AFT, OTA, FB1 and ZEA resulted from maize grains treated with plants leaves were significantly lower than those recorded with untreated maize of control bags. The estimated daily intakes in AFB1, AFT, OTA, FB1 and ZEA, deriving with consumption of maize from experimental batches stored for 18 months are respectively $1.69 \pm 0.00 - 2.09 \pm 0.01$ ng/kg bw/day, 8.66 - 10.91 ng/kg bw/day, $1.86 \pm 0.01 - 2.47 \pm 0.01$ ng/kg bw/day, $2.01 \pm 0.05 - 3.01 \pm 0.05$ ng/kg bw/day and $1.89 \pm 0.12 - 3.56 \pm 0.04$ ng/kg bw/day. These levels are lower than the estimated intakes from maximal reference value (EDILM) for OTA, FB1 and ZEA. For aflatoxin B1 and total aflatoxins, the estimated daily intakes are lower than the estimated intakes from maximal reference value (EDILM) during, respectively, 15 storage months and 10 storage months. However, after 18 storage months, exposure risk of aflatoxin B1 is higher than the estimated intakes from maximal reference value. This inexpensive and easy-to-use treatment should be popularized among farmers

Keywords: Mycotoxins; exposure risk; stored maize; aromatic plant; triple bagging.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ranked third in the world after wheat and rice, maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most widely grown cereals in the world. In Côte d'Ivoire, of all food crops, it is the second most cultivated cereal after rice (Oryza spp.), with an annual national production of 1.006.000 t in 2018 [1]. It plays an essential role as subsistence, commercial and socio-cultural culture [2]. Hence, maize is the staple food of a large segment of the lvorian populations. In Côte d'Ivoire, the mean daily consumption of maize grains is estimated at 28.4 g [3]. It allows diverse dishes such as porridge, couscous or dense paste (tô) eaten with sauce [4]. Despite the growth in its production and its socio-economic importance, post-harvest losses during storage remain a real challenge for farmers [5]. Indeed, post-harvest losses can reach more than 60% in maize stored in traditional storage structures [6]. These losses are mainly due to insects and molds under inadequate storage conditions. The activity of insect pests creates an environment favorable to the growth of molds of the genus Aspergillus, Penicillium and Fusarium [7]; [8]. These molds produce mycotoxins responsible for many diseases in humans and animals such as cancer [9]. Maize can be contaminated with two or more mycotoxins. In Côte d'Ivoire, studies revealed mycotoxin co-contamination in maize [10]; [11]. The consumption of maize contaminated by mycotoxins could represent an increased risk with carcinogenic and hepatotoxic effects for consumer [12]. There are reports that infants and children are even more sensitive to the effects of mycotoxins in general due to their immature immune systems coupled with high ingestion of maize-based foods [13]; [14]. It is very difficult or

almost impossible to eliminate mycotoxins (aflatoxins, ochratoxin A...) from raw foods such as maize, however a good storage system would help maintain food safety. Studies showed the efficiency of aromatic plants Lippia multiflora and Hyptis suaveolens on sanitary quality of maize stored in granaries, polypropylene bags, and triple bags thanks to their insecticidal and fungicidal effects [15]; [16]; [17]. However, the exposure risk to mycotoxins from maize grains stored in triple bags containing aromatic plants has not yet been assessed. Thus, the current study was initiated to assess the exposures risk to aflatoxin B1, total aflatoxins, ochratoxin A, fuminosin B1 and zearalenone by the consumption of stored maize in triple bags in presence of leaves of Lippia multiflora and Hyptis suaveolens during 18 months by the ivorian adult.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site

The experiment was carried out in the storeroom of Research Unit Laboratory of Biochemistry and Food Sciences (URBSA) UFR Biosciences at the University Félix HOUPHOUET-BOIGNY. The different bags were kept in a laboratory storeroom to 27.78 \pm 0.19°C temperature and 75.0 \pm 0.99% relative humidity. Wooden pallets were arranged floored as support for triple bagging system.

2.2 Collection of Maize Grains and Aromatic Plants used in the Study

Maize grains and leaves of *L. multiflora* and *H. suaveolens* were collected in March 2016 from producers of Gbêkê region (7°50 North and 5°18

West in center of Côte d'Ivoire). Prior to the storage, maize were sun-dried for 2-3 days before being used for the experiment. While, the *L. multiflora* and *H. suaveolens* leaves were drying at an average temperature of $30 \circ C$ for 6-7 days and kept away from direct sun exposure. The dried leaves were chopped into fine particles before being used for the experiment.

2.3 Implementation of Experiment

2.3.1 Using the triple bagging

Storage bags used in our study, were made of polypropylene bags and polyethylene bags (Purdue Improved Cowpea Storage: PICS) developed by Purdue University for storing cowpeas from Niger. These bags, obtained from suppliers, are composed of a triple bagging system.

2.3.2 Treatments

The storage method is based on the mixture of plants leaves. Method tested in this study, consisted in adding of aromatics plants leaves (0 - 5% w/w) in the polypropylene bags and the triple bagging system containing 50 kg maize grains and storing on pallets in warehouses for 18 months. The filling of the bags was performed by alternately as maize grains strata and aromatics plants. The maize grains were stored as follows:

- 1 control batch of 50 kg of maize grain in polypropylene bag without plants leaves (TPPB0);
- 1 control batch of 50 kg of maize grain in triple bagging system without plants leaves (TPB0);
- 1 experimental batch of 50 kg of maize grain in triple bagging system with 2.5% of plants leaves (0.625kg *L. multiflora* and 0.625kg *H. suaveolens*) (TB1);
- 1 experimental batch of 50 kg of maize grain in triple bagging system with 3.99% of plants leaves (0.40 kg *L. multiflora* and 1.60 kg *H. suaveolens*) (TB2);
- 1 experimental batch of 50 kg of maize grain in triple bagging system with 3.99% of plants leaves (1.60 kg *L. multiflora* and 0.40 kg *H. suaveolens*) (TB3);
- 1 experimental batch of 50 kg of maize grain in triple bagging system with 1.01% of plants leaves (0.10 kg *L. multiflora* and 0.40 kg *H. suaveolens*) (TB4);
- 1 experimental batch of 50 kg of maize grain in triple bagging system with 1.01% of plants

leaves (0.40 kg *L. multiflora* and 0.10 kg *H. suaveolens*) (TB5);

- 1 experimental batch of 50 kg of maize grain in triple bagging system with 5% of plants leaves (2.5 kg *L. multiflora* and 2.5 kg *H. suaveolens*) (TB6);
- 1 experimental batch of 50 kg of maize grain in triple bagging system with 2.5% of plants leaves (1.25 kg *L. multiflora*) (TB7);
- 1 experimental batch of 50 kg of maize grain in triple bagging system with 2.5% of plants leaves (1.25 kg *H. suaveolens*) (TB8).

2.4 Sampling

The sampling was performed at the beginning of the storage (0 month), then 5, 10, 15 and 18 months later, in triplicate. Thus, 2 kg of maize samples from each bag was gathered through the top, the center and the bottom opening sides.

2.4.1 Determination of water activity

The water activity was ascertained using a hygrometer from HygroLab Rotronic according to the method of [18]. Thus, a sample of 5 g of maize grains was placed in 10 Aw containers void of any trace of water. After two minutes, value of water activity was directly carried out in the device.

2.4.2 Analysis of aflatoxin B1, total aflatoxins, ochratoxin A, fuminosin B1 and zearalenone

Aflatoxins B1 (AFB1), total aflatoxins (AFT), ochratoxin A (OTA), fuminosin B1 (FB1) and zearalenone (ZEA) was made by HPLC following, respectively, official AOAC method [19], the European community regulation [20], the method AFNOR [21] and the methods of AOAC [22] and Miraglia and Brera [23].

2.5 Estimate of Intakes in Aflatoxin B1, Total Aflatoxins, Ochratoxin A, Fuminosin B1 and Zearalenone from Maize Stored

According to the definition of the Codex Alimentarius, the estimate of the exposure is the assessment of the quantitative exposure of the probable ingestion of chemical dangers through foods [24]. The mycotoxin intake was estimated from the data of maize consumption in Côte d'Ivoire [25]. The maize consumed daily by an Ivorian adult of 70 kg is estimated at 28.4 g per capita / day [3]. The mycotoxin intake was calculated using the following equation. The estimated values of the exposure were compared to estimated daily intakes calculated from limits maximal (EDILM). These limits were set at 5 μ g/kg, 4 μ g/kg, 5 μ g/kg, 2000 μ g/kg, and 500 μ g/kg respectively for aflatoxin B1, for total aflatoxins, for ochratoxin A, for fuminosine B1 and for zearalenone [20]; [26]; [27]; [28].

EAI = (T x Q) / BW

With EAI: the estimated daily intake of AFB1, AFT, OTA, FB1 and ZEA in ng kg⁻¹ of body weight (b.w.) day⁻¹T. The AFB1, AFT, OTA, FB1 and ZEA contents in maize stored (ng/kg); Q: the daily Intake of maize grains (g/day); BW: the Body weight of an adult person (70 kg).

2.6 Characterization of the Risk of Exposure Risk to Aflatoxin B1, Total Aflatoxins, Ochratoxin A, Fuminosin B1 and Zearalenone

The characterization of the Risk of Exposure (RE) to aflatoxin B1, total aflatoxins, ochratoxin A, fuminosin B1 and zearalenone is calculated with the following formula. This result allows only concluding on the potential occurrence of effects but not on their importance.

RE = (EDI) / (EDILM) If:

- RE <1 means that the exposed population is theoretically out of danger, that is, this exposed population is not likely to develop the health effects studied.
- RE> 1 means that the toxic effect can occur without it being possible to predict the probability of the occurrence of this event.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

All analyses were performed in triplicate and the full data were statistically treated using SPSS software (version 20.0). It consisted in Analysis of Variance at repeated measures. Means derived from parameters were compared with the Tukey High Significant Difference test at 5% significance level.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Determination of Water Activity, Aflatoxin B1, Total Aflatoxins, Ochratoxin A, Fuminosin B1 and zearalenone of Stored Maize

Table 2 presents the results of water activity, aflatoxin B1, total aflatoxins, ochratoxin A,

fuminosin B1 and zearalenone contents of stored maize. For all mycotoxins, these parameters increase progressively during storage. Control batches (TPPB0 and TPB0) have high values than experimental batches (TB1, TB2, TB3, TB4, TB5, TB6, TB7 and TB8) after 18 months of storage for all parameters.

3.2 Estimated Intake of Aflatoxin B1, Ochratoxin A, Fuminosin B1 and Zearalenone of Stored Maize

The ratio of Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) to estimated daily intakes calculated from limits maximal (EDILM) was determined to assess the risk of exposure to consumers.

3.2.1 Estimated intake of aflatoxin B1

Table 3 presents the results of the estimated intake of aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) obtained from the concentrations of AFB1 determined after 18 months of storage. Estimated intakes for all batches are between 1.69 and 13.81 ng/kg of bw/d. These contributions are all higher than the estimated daily intakes from limits maximal of AFB1 (2.02 ng/kg of bw/d). 50% of the lots present a high risk after 18 months for the exposure risk in AFB1. After 18 months of storage, all batches have a significant exposure risk.

3.2.2 Estimated intake of total aflatoxins

In control batches, the estimated intakes of total aflatoxins (AFT) increase significantly during 18 storage months. These intakes vary from 1.68 to 67.99 ng/kg of bw/d for TPPB0 and from 0.05 to 16.51 ng/kg of bw/d for TPB0. In experimental batches, estimated intakes increase significantly at 10th storage's month and the means are lower than control batches. At ten months, the lots TB2, TB3 and TB6 have lower estimated intakes of AFT compared to the estimated daily intakes from limits maximal (1.62 ng/kg of bw/d). After 18 months of storage, values in experimental lots are between 8.66 and 10.91 ng/kg of bw /d. These values are higher than the estimated daily intakes from limits maximal (1.62 ng/kg of bw/d). Thus, exposure risk of total aflatoxins is high for control and treated lots after 18 storage months.

3.2.3 Estimated intake of ochratoxin A

The estimated intake of ochratoxin A (OTA) increase significantly during 18 storage months in control batches. These intakes vary from 0.63

to 7.51 ng/kg of bw/d for TPPB0 and from 0.03 to 3.10 ng/kg of bw/d for TPB0. In experimental batches, estimated intakes increase significantly at 10th storage's month but the means are lower than control batches. After 10 months of storage, values are between 0.22 and 0.34 ng/kg of bw/d. After 18 months of storage, estimated intakes for experimental batches varies respectively from 1.86 to 2.47 ng/kg of bw/d. The lot TB6 has the lowest intake in OTA. However, the contributions of experimental batches TB2, TB3, TB6 and TB8 are lower than the estimated daily intakes from limits maximal (2.02 ng/kg of bw/d). These lots have a low exposure risk for OTA (Table 3).

3.2.4 Estimated intake of fuminosin B1

Estimated intake of fuminosin B1 (FB1) in control batches TPPB0 and TPB0 varies respectively from 0.04 to 18.63 ng/ kg of bw /d and from 0.04 to 4.22 ng/kg of bw/d during storage. Estimated intakes increase significantly at 10th storage's month in experimental batches. After 10 months of storage, intakes of FB1 in experimental batches are between 0.29 and 0.38 ng/kg of bw/d. After 18 months of storage, experimental batches vary between 2.01 from 3.01 ng/kg of bw/d. These contributions are lower than the estimated daily intakes from limits maximal (811.43 ng/kg of bw/d) (Table 3).

3.2.5 Estimated intake of zearalenone

Estimated intake of zearalenone (ZEA) in control batches TPPB0 and TPB0 varies respectively from 0.10 ± 0.00 to 10.27 ± 0.05 ng/ kg of bw /d and from 0.10 ± 0.00 to 4.93 ± 0.12 ng/ kg of bw /d during storage. After 10 months of storage, intake of ZEA in experimental batches are between 0.24 ± 0.01 and 0.42 ± 0.01 ng/ kg of bw /d. After 18 months of storage, experimental batches varies from 1.89 ± 0.12 to 3.56 ± 0.04 ng/ kg of bw /d. The estimated daily intakes from limits maximal (202.86 ng/kg of bw/d) is higher than intakes of zearalenone.

3.3 Risk Exposure in Aflatoxin B1, Total Aflatoxins, Ochratoxin A, Fuminosin B1 and Zearalenone

For every mycotoxin, the ratio of Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) to Estimated Daily Intake from limits maximal (EDILM) was determined to assess the risk of exposure to consumers after 18 months of storage. Table 4 shows the risk of exposure during storage. The results show that the risks of exposure to aflatoxin B1 and total aflatoxins are low up to, respectively, 15 and 10 months of storage in experimental batches. After 18 months of storage, for aflatoxin B1 and total aflatoxins, exposure risk is high in all batches (control and experimental). Exposure risk to ochratoxin A are lower than 1 up to 15 months of storage. Only, experimental lots TB2, TB3, TB6 and TB8 showed lower risk after 18 months of storage, with RE <1. For FB1 and ZEA, all batches have a low risk with RE <1.

4. DISCUSSION

The results observed in this study showed that the system bags in the presence of Lippia multiflora and/or Hyptis suaveolens used for the storage of corn slow down the evolution of the concentrations of aflatoxin B1 (AFB1), total aflatoxins (AFT), ochratoxin A (OTA), fuminosin B1 (FB1) and zearalenone (ZEA) by inhibiting the development of mycotoxinogenic germs. Thus, the concentrations of AFB1, AFT, OTA, FB1 and ZEA in the samples of maize stored in bags in triple bagging in the presence of aromatic plants are low compared to those stored in the polypropylene bag without aromatic plants (TPPB0) and in triple bagging without aromatic plants (TPB0). The combination of plants and the triple bagging system would reduce the metabolism of insects and molds thanks to the reduction of oxygen (O2) and the insecticidal and fungicidal activity of plants [29]; [30]. These results are in agreement with those of [31] who also observed a small change in OTA concentrations in cowpea stored in triple bags with the addition of L. multiflora in different proportions after eight months. The results of our study are equally agreement with the work of [16]. These authors observed low concentrations of aflatoxin B1 and ochratoxin A in maize stored in polypropylene bags with L. multiflora and H. suaveolens after nine months. Compared to the standard set by the European Union on OTA (5 µg/kg), the OTA concentrations in the treated batches are all lower until the fifteenth month of storage. While in the eighteenth month of storage, the level of OTA in the treated batches increased significantly and exceeded the standard with the exception of TB2, TB3, TB6 and TB8. For FB1 and ZEA, the concentrations in the treated lots are all below the respective standards of 2000 and 500 µg/kg until the 18th month of storage. These observations could also be explained by the evolution of water activity (Aw). Indeed, Aw is an important parameter in the preservation of food. For the prevention of fungal development, the corn grains must have

an Aw lower than 0.65 being the accepted limit value [32]. In addition, authors have shown the correlation between the evolution of Aw and mycotoxins (OTA, FB1, ZEA) in studies on the storage of corn in granaries in the presence of L. multiflora and H. suaveolens [33] and storing cowpeas in bags with triple bagging in the presence of L. multiflora [31]. The mycotoxins contamination observed in this study was revealed in previous studies in Côte d'Ivoire [10]; [11]. Authors showed frequent co-exposure of major mycotoxins (AF, FB1 and OTA) [11]. For these authors, this co-exposure is related to the frequency of consumption of corn, peanuts, millet and attiéké. Co-exposure to these mycotoxins could cause negative effects on human health such as teratogenic, immunotoxic effects, etc [10]; [11]. For aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) and total aflatoxins, the estimated intakes, respectively, after 15 and 10 months of storage are lower than the estimated intakes from reference value (EDILM). The exposure risk is significantly high for AFB1 and total aflatoxins after storage time. The International Agency for Research into Cancer (IARC) has classified aflatoxin B1 as a Class 1 carcinogen [34]. Dietary aflatoxin exposure is considered to be an important risk factor in the development of hepatocellular cancer in some regions of the world [35]; [36]. If the IARC classifications are accepted, any contamination aflatoxin in maize destined for human consumption can be clearly Gaël et al.; ARJA, 13(2): 13-25, 2020; Article no.ARJA.60981

identified as a hazard to human health. Zhang et al., in their researches on the probabilistic risk assessment of dietary exposure to aflatoxin B1 in Guangzhou (China) estimated the cancer risk of AFB1 [37]. These results indicated that foods currently contaminated by AFB1 had low health risks for residents and that dietary exposure to AFB1may not account for the occurrence of liver cancer in Guangzhou (China). In our study, the risk of exposure remains low for FB1 and ZEA in batches treated after 18 months of storage. While the estimated adult exposure for FB1 is extremely low and appears as little risk, the estimated exposure of children may be of concern. The standard child's body weight at 15 kg is one fifth that of the average adult and yet the amount of maize-based food products consumed has been assumed to be similar based on their consumption of corn-based breakfast cereal and snack food such as corn This results in the exposure chips. of children being substantially higher than adults Some factors increasing risk [38]. of contamination such as weather variables are not entirely controllable, although there are a number of good agricultural practices (GAP) that reducina contamination will assist in [39]. Other factors such as insect pressure and storage conditions can be controlled [38]. The results of our work could contribute to control the storage conditions such as water activity.

Table 1. Statistical data for water activity, aflatoxin B1, total aflatoxins, ochratoxin A, fuminosin
B1 and zearalenone in maize grains according to the type of packaging during the storage
period

Source of variation	Parameters										
		Aw	AFB1	AFT	ΟΤΑ	FB1	ZEA				
Types	df				9						
	SS	0.24	2063.28	31153.43	557.59	4921.60	1448.78				
	F-value	180.77	13268.84	29871.53	5945.53	13709.41	71734.65				
	P-value			< 0	.001						
Error Types	df		20								
	SS	0.00	0.35	2.32	0.20	0.80	0.05				
Duration (months)	df	2.37	1.33	1.36	1.06	1.65	1.84				
	SS	0.20	1442.79	35768.55	981.61	2385.85	1703.49				
	F-value	257.44	10856.12	29871.53	15101.48	21722.98	83752.59				
	P-value			< 0.001							
Error Duration	df	47.37	26.56	27.12	1.30	32.92	36.83				
	SS	0.01	2.65	5.86	21.20	2.20	0.41				
Type x Storage duration	df	21.32	11.95	12.2	9.54	14.81	16.57				
	SS	0.01	1883.70	40000.15	394.01	3613.81	963.96				
	F-value	14.62	1574.86	121999.45	673.52	3655.93	5265.94				
	P-value			< 0	.001						

SS: sum of squares; F-value: value of the statistical test; P-value: probability value of the statistical test; df: degree of freedom, Aw: water activity, AFB1: aflatoxin B1, AFT: Total aflatoxins, OTA: ochratoxin A, FB1: fuminosin B1, ZEA: zearalenone

Parameters Storage		TPPB0	TPB0	TB1	TB2	TB3	TB4	TB5	TB6	TB7	TB8
	duration (month)										
Water	0	0.69 ± 0.02 ^{aA}	0.69±0.02 ^{aA}	0.69±0.02 ^{aA}	0.69±0.02 ^{aA}	0.69 ±0.02 ^{aA}	0.69±0.02 ^{aA}	0.69±0.02 ^{aA}	0.69±0.02 ^{aA}	0.69±0.02 ^{aA}	0.69±0.02 ^{aA}
activity	1	0.75 ±0.02 ^{bB}	0.72±0.01 ^{aA}	0.70±0.02 ^{aA}	0.71±0.01 ^{aA}	0.70 ±0.03 ^{aA}	0.71±0.02 ^{aA}	0.70±0.01 ^{aA}	0.70±0.01 ^{aA}	0.71±0.02 ^{aA}	0.70±0.01 ^{aA}
(Aw)	5	0.88 ±0.01 ^{cC}	0.73±0.01 ^{aA}	0.72±0.01 ^{aA}	0.72±0.01 ^{aA}	0.72 ±0.01 ^{aA}	0.73±0.01 ^{aA}	0.72±0.00 ^{aA}	0.72±0.00 ^{aA}	0.72±0.01 ^{ªA}	0.72±0.01 ^{aA}
	10	0.90 ±0.02 ^{dD}	0.76±0.03 ^{bB}	0.75±0.01 ^{bB}	0.73±0.01 ^{aB}	0.72 ±0.00 ^{aA}	0.75±0.00 ^{bB}	0.75±0.00 ^{bB}	0.73±0.01 ^{aB}	0.73±0.00 ^{aB}	0.73±0.01 ^{aB}
	15	0.94 ±0.01 ^{eE}	0.80±0.02 ^{cC}	0.76±0.00 ^{bC}	0.73±0.00 ^{aB}	0.73 ±0.00 ^{aB}	0.77±0.01 ^{bC}	0.76±0.01 ^{bC}	0.73±0.00 ^{aB}	0.74±0.01 ^{aB}	0.73±0.00 ^{aB}
	18	0.96 ± 0.01 ^{fF}	0.85±0.01 ^{dD}	0.79±0.01 ^{bD}	0.75±0.01 ^{ªC}	0.75 ±0.01 ^{aC}	0.80±0.01 ^{bD}	0.79±0.01 ^{bD}	0.74±0.01 ^{aC}	0.76±0.00 ^{abC}	0.75±0.00 ^{aB}
AFB1	0	0.04 ±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04 ±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}
(µg/kg)	1	1.30 ± 0.01 ^{ьв}	0.04±0.01 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04 ±0.01 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04±0.01 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04± 0.01 ^{aA}	0.04±0.01 ^{aA}
	5	5.28 ±0.05 ^{cC}	0.05±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04±0.01 ^{aA}	0.04 ±0.01 ^{aA}	0.04±0.01 ^{aA}	0.04±0.01 ^{aA}	0.04±0.00 ^{aA}	0.04± 0.01 ^{aA}	0.04±0.01 ^{aA}
	10	11.32 ± 0.60 ^{dD}	1.25±0.06 ^{ьв}	1.21±0.01 ^{ьв}	0.75±0.02 ^{aB}	0.73 ±0.03 ^{aB}	1.15±0.04 ^{ьв}	1.18±0.05 ^{ьв}	0.70±0.01 ^{aB}	0.82± 0.08 ^{aB}	0.7 ± 0.02 ^{aB}
	15	23.87 ±0.12 ^{eE}	4.25±0.08 ^{dD}	2.85±0.05 ^{bC}	1.71±0.02 ^{ªC}	1.59 ±0.09 ^{aC}	3.28±0.01 ^{cC}	2.94±0.04 ^{bC}	1.58±0.05 ^{°C}	2.44 ±0.36 ^{bC}	1.75±0.03 ^{aC}
	18	34.05 ± 0.07 ^{fF}	7.33±0.05 ^{eE}	5.01±0.00 ^{cD}	4.22±0.02 ^{aD}	4.20 ±0.01 ^{aD}	5.15±0.06 ^{cD}	5.07 ±0.05 ^{cD}	4.17 ± 0.05 ^{aD}	4.46± 0.11 ^{ªD}	4.30±0.05 ^{aD}
AFT	0	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11±0.00 ^{ªA}	0.11±0.00 ^{aA}	0.11±0.00 ^{aA}	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11±0.00 ^{aA}	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11±0.00 ^{aA}
(µg/kg)	1	4.14± 0.09 ^{bB}	0.13±0.00 ^{ªA}	0.13±0.00 ^{aA}	0.12±0.00 ^{aA}	0.12± 0.01 ^{ªA}	0.12±0.01 ^{aA}	0.12± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.12± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.12± 0.01 ^{aA}	0.13±0.00 ^{aA}
	5	16.95± 0.20 ^{cC}	0.18±0.01 ^{bB}	0.14±0.00 ^{bB}	0.12±0.00 ^{aA}	0.12± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.14±0.01 ^{bB}	0.15± 0.00 ^{ьв}	0.12± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.12± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.13±0.01 ^{ªA}
	10	34.59± 0.38 ^{dD}	4.12±0.08 ^{bC}	3.90±0.06 ^{bC}	2.40±0.02 ^{aB}	2.38 ±0.06 ^{aB}	3.94±0.06 ^{bC}	3.89± 0.13 ^{ьс}	2.26 ± 0.04 ^{aB}	2.70 ± .11 ^{aB}	2.54±0.03 ^{aB}
	15	76.01± 0.21 ^{eE}	15.11±0.36 ^{dD}	9.17±0.04 ^{bD}	6.27± 0.05 ^{°C}	5.46± 0.26 ^{ªC}	10.93±0.19 ^{ьD}	9.64± 0.07 ^{bD}	5.26± 0.08 ^{ªC}	8.63±0.36 ^{abC}	6.72±0.07 ^{aC}
	18	114.58±1.81 ^{fF}	33.70±0.23 ^{eE}	26.27±0.11 ^{cE}	22.07±0.11 ^{aD}	21.97±0.12 ^{ªD}	26.90±0.08 ^{cE}	26.51±0.03 ^{cE}	21.34±0.07 ^{aD}	23.66±0.17 ^{abD}	22.65±0.11 ^{aD}
OTA	0	0.08 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.08 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.08 ±0.00 ^{aA}	0.08±0.00 ^{aA}	0.08 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.08 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.08±0.00 ^{aA}	0.08±0.00 ^{aA}	0.08 ±0.00 ^{aA}	0.08 ± 0.00^{aA}
(µg/kg)	1	1.55 ± 0.01 ^{ьв}	0.08 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.08 ±0.00 ^{aA}	0.08±0.00 ^{aA}	0.08 ± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.08 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.08±0.00 ^{aA}	0.08±0.00 ^{aA}	0.08 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.08 ± 0.00 ^{aA}
	5	3.00 ± 0.02 ^{cC}	0.10 ± 0.01 ^{aA}	0.09± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.09 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.09 ± 0.01 ^{aA}	0.09 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.09± 0.01 ^{aA}	0.09 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.09 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.09 ± 0.01 ^{aA}
	10	7.35 ± 0.11 ^{dD}	1.32 ± 0.05 ^{ьв}	0.75 ±0.01 ^{bB}	0.54 ± 0.02 ^{aB}	0.54 ± 0.00 ^{aB}	0.85 ± 0.01 ^{bB}	0.79± 0.02 ^{bB}	0.55 ± 0.03 ^{aB}	0.57 ± 0.02 ^{abB}	0.57 ± 0.01 ^{aB}
	15	12.14±0.06 ^{eE}	3.27 ± 0.02 ^{dD}	1.51 ±0.02 ^{ьс}	1.02 ± 0.03 ^{aC}	1.01 ± 0.01 ^{aC}	1.68 ± 0.02 ^{cC}	1.57±0.02 ^{bcC}	1.00 ± 0.01 ^{aC}	1.20 ± 0.01 ^{bC}	1.13 ± 0.04 ^{ªC}
_	18	18.50±0.41 ^{fF}	7.64 ± 0.25 ^{fF}	5.75 ±0.27 ^{bD}	4.78 ± 0.22^{aD}	4.67 ± 0.03 ^{aD}	6.10 ± 0.01 ^{bD}	5.88± 0.23 ^{bD}	4.58 ± 0.25^{aD}	5.01 ± 0.10 ^{aD}	4.66 ± 0.50^{aD}
FB1	0	0.11 ± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11 ± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.11 ± 0.00 ^{aA}
(µg/kg)	1	3.34 ± 0.16 ^{bB}	0.13 ± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.12 ±0.00 ^{aA}	0.12 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.12 ± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.12 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.12± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.12 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.12 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.12 ± 0.00^{aA}

Table 2. Evolution of water activity, Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1), Total aflatoxin (AFT), Ochratoxin A (OTA), Fuminosin B1 (FB1) and Zearalenone (ZEA) of stored maize during 18 months

Paramete	rs Storage duration (month)	ТРРВ0	TPB0	TB1	TB2	ТВЗ	TB4	TB5	ТВ6	TB7	TB8
	5	7.21 ± 0.08 ^{cC}	0.16 ± 0.00 ^{bB}	0.15 ±0.01 ^{aA}	0.15 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.15± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.15 ± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.15± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.15 ± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.15 ± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.15 ± 0.00 ^{aA}
	10	24.60±0.27 ^{dD}	1.28 ± 0.04 ^{cC}	0.93±0.02 ^{bB}	0.72 ± 0.01 ^{aB}	0.73± 0.03 ^{aB}	0.94 ± 0.02 ^{bB}	0.93± 0.02 ^{bB}	0.71 ± 0.01 ^{aB}	0.75 ± 0.03 ^{abB}	0.77±0.07 ^{abB}
	15	32.98±0.14 ^{eE}	4.43±0.12 ^{dD}	2.36±0.04 ^{bcC}	1.59± 0.02 ^{aC}	1.52± 0.06 ^{aC}	2.62± 0.04 ^{cC}	2.51± 0.13 ^{cC}	1.42 ± 0.02 ^{aC}	1.74 ± 0.04 ^{bC}	1.62 ±0.02 ^{bC}
_	18	45.93±0.52 ^{fF}	10.41±0.47 ^{eE}	7.34 ±0.11 ^{cD}	5.20± 0.24 ^{aD}	5.20± 0.26 ^{aD}	7.36± 0.02 ^{cD}	7.42 ±0.06 ^{cD}	4.96 ± 0.07^{aD}	5.45± 0.02 ^{bD}	5.39±0.03 ^{abD}
ZEA	0	0.24 ± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.24 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.24 ±0.00 ^{aA}	0.24 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.24 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.24 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.24± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.24 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.24 ± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.24 ± 0.00^{aA}
(µg/kg)	1	2.37 ± 0.07 ^{bB}	0.26 ± 0.02^{aA}	0.25 ±0.00 ^{aA}	0.25 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.25 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.25 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.25± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.25 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.25 ± 0.00 ^{aA}	0.25 ± 0.00^{aA}
	5	4.99 ± 0.02 ^{cC}	0.36 ± 0.03 ^{bB}	0.30 ±0.02 ^{bB}	0.29 ± 0.02^{aA}	0.29 ± 0.01^{aA}	0.30 ± 0.00^{bB}	0.30 ±0.00 ^{bB}	0.30 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.30 ± 0.00^{aA}	0.30 ± 0.01^{aA}
	10	15.30±0.03 ^{dD}	1.21 ± 0.01 ^{ьс}	0.95 ±0.05 ^{bC}	0.63 ± 0.03 ^{aB}	0.62 ± 0.03 ^{aB}	1.04 ± 0.05 ^{bC}	0.98 ±0.01 ^{ьс}	0.59 ± 0.07 ^{aB}	0.67 ± 0.02 ^{aB}	0.64 ± 0.04 ^{aB}
	15	18.99±0.04 ^{eE}	5.58 ± 0.22 ^{dD}	3.40 ±0.16 ^{bD}	2.26 ± 0.06^{aC}	2.10 ± 0.01 ^{aC}	3.52 ± 0.06 ^{bD}	3.44 ±0.05 ^{bD}	2.01 ± 0.01 ^{aC}	2.39 ± 0.04^{abC}	2.32 ± 0.02^{aC}
	18	25.31±0.07 ^{fF}	12.16±0.20 ^{eE}	8.32 ± 0.08 ^{cE}	5.34 ± 0.04 ^{aD}	4.93 ± 0.05 ^{aD}	8.78 ± 0.14 ^{cE}	8.56 ± 0.16 ^{cE}	4.66± 0.10 ^{ªD}	5.45 ± 0.07 ^{abD}	5.44±0.02 ^{ªD}

The mean (± SD) with different lowercase / uppercase letters on the same line / in the same column are different test probability of 5%. AFB1, AFT, OTA. FB1 and ZEA: Aflatoxin B1, total aflatoxins, Ochratoxin A. Fuminosin B1 and Zearalenone. TPPB0 = Control with polypropylene bag; TPB0 = Control with triple bag (no plants); TB1 = Triple bag with 2.5% of plants (0.625kg L. multiflora and 0.625kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB2 = triple bag with 3.99% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 1.60 kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB3 = triple bag with 3.99% of plants (1.60 kg L. multiflora and 0.40 kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB2 = triple bag with 3.99% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 1.60 kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB3 = triple bag with 3.99% of plants (1.60 kg L. multiflora and 0.40 kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB1 = Triple bag with 3.99% of plants (0.40 kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB3 = triple bag with 3.99% of plants (1.60 kg L. multiflora and 0.40 kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB2 = triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.40 kg H. suaveolens) ; TB5 = triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.10 kg H. suaveolens; TB6 = triple bag with 5% of plants (2.5 kg L. multiflora and 2.5 kg H. suaveolens) ; TB7 = triple bag with 2.5% of plants (1.25kg L. multiflora); TB8 = triple bag with 2.5% of plants (1.25kg H. suaveolens)

Mycotoxins	Storage			Estimated intakes from limits								
	duration (Month)	TPPB0	TPB0	TB1	TB2	TB3	TB4	TB5	TB6	TB7	TB8	maximal (EDILM) (ng/kg bw/d)
AFB1	1	0.53	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	2.02
	5	2.14	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	
	10	4.59	0.51	0.49	0.30	0.30	0.47	0.48	0.28	0.33	0.31	
	15	9.68	1.72	1.16	0.69	0.65	1.33	1.19	0.64	0.99	0.71	
	18	13.81	2.97	2.03	1.71	1.70	2.09	2.06	1.69	1.81	1.74	
AFT	1	1.68	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	1.62
	5	6.88	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	
	10	14.03	1.67	1.58	0.97	0.97	1.60	1.58	0.92	1.10	1.03	
	15	30.84	6.13	3.72	2.54	2.22	4.43	3.91	2.13	3.50	2.73	
	18	67.99	16.51	10.66	8.95	8.91	10.91	10.76	8.66	9.60	9.19	
ΟΤΑ	1	0.63	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	2.02
	5	1.22	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	
	10	2.98	0.54	0.30	0.22	0.22	0.34	0.32	0.22	0.23	0.23	
	15	4.93	1.33	0.61	0.41	0.41	0.68	0.64	0.41	0.49	0.46	
	18	7.51	3.10	2.33	1.94	1.89	2.47	2.39	1.86	2.03	1.89	
FB1	1	1.36	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	811.43
	5	2.93	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	
	10	9.98	0.52	0.38	0.29	0.30	0.38	0.38	0.29	0.30	0.31	
	15	13.38	1.80	0.96	0.65	0.62	1.06	1.02	0.58	0.71	0.66	
	18	18.63	4.22	2.98	2.11	2.11	2.99	3.01	2.01	2.21	2.19	
ZEA	1	0.96	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	202.86
	5	2.02	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	
	10	6.21	0.49	0.39	0.26	0.25	0.42	0.40	0.24	0.27	0.26	
	15	7.70	2.26	1.38	0.92	0.85	1.43	1.40	0.82	0.97	0.94	
	18	10.27	4,93	3.38	2.17	2.00	3.56	3.47	1.89	2.21	2.21	

Table 3. Estimated intakes of Aflatoxin B1, Total aflatoxins, Ochratoxin A, Fuminosin B1 and Zearalenone of Ivorian adults by consumption of stored maize

The mean (± SD) with different lowercase / uppercase letters on the same line / in the same column are different test probability of 5%. AFB1, AFT, OTA. FB1 and ZEA: Aflatoxin B1, total aflatoxins, Ochratoxin A. Fuminosin B1 and Zearalenone. TPPB0 = Control with polypropylene bag; TPB0 = Control with triple bag (no plants); TB1 = Triple bag with 2.5% of plants (0.625kg L. multiflora and 0.625kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB2 = triple bag with 3.99% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 1.60 kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB3 = triple bag with 3.99% of plants (1.60 kg L. multiflora and 0.40 kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB2 = triple bag with 3.99% of plants (0.10 kg L. multiflora and 0.40 kg H. suaveolens) ; TB5 = triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.40 kg H. suaveolens); (w / w); TB5 = triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.40 kg H. suaveolens); TB5 = triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.40 kg H. suaveolens); TB7 = triple bag with 2.5% of plants (1.25kg L. multiflora); TB8 = triple bag with 2.5% of plants (1.25kg H. suaveolens)

Mycotoxins	Storage	Treatments											
-	duration (Months)	TPPB0	TPB0	TB1	TB2	TB3	TB4	TB5	TB6	TB7	TB8		
AFB1	1	0.26	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01		
	5	1.06	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01		
	10	2.27	0.25	0.24	0.15	0.15	0.23	0.24	0.14	0.16	0.15		
	15	4.79	0.85	0.57	0.34	0.32	0.66	0.59	0.32	0.49	0.35		
	18	6.84	1.47	1.01	0.85	0.84	1.03	1.02	0.84	0.90	0.86		
AFT	1	1.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03		
	5	4.24	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03		
	10	8.66	1.03	0.98	0.60	0.60	0.99	0.97	0.57	0.68	0.64		
	15	19.04	3.78	2.30	1.57	1.37	2.74	2.41	1.32	2.16	1.68		
_	18	41.97	10.19	6.58	5.53	5.50	6.74	6.64	5.34	5.93	5.67		
ΟΤΑ	1	0.31	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02		
	5	0.60	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02		
	10	1.48	0.26	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.17	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.11		
	15	2.44	0.66	0.30	0.20	0.20	0.34	0.31	0.20	0.24	0.23		
	18	3.72	1.53	1.15	0.96	0.94	1.22	1.18	0.92	1.10	0.94		
FB1	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	10	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	15	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	18	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
ZEA	1	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	5	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	10	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	15	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	18	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01		

Table 4. Risk of exposure in aflatoxin B1, total aflatoxins, ochratoxin A, fuminosin B1 and zearalenone of stored maize during 18 months (EDI/EDILM)

The mean (± SD) with different lowercase / uppercase letters on the same line / in the same column are different test probability of 5%. AFB1, AFT, OTA. FB1 and ZEA: Aflatoxin B1, total aflatoxins, Ochratoxin A. Fuminosin B1 and Zearalenone. TPPB0 = Control with polypropylene bag; TPB0 = Control with triple bag (no plants); TB1 = Triple bag with 2.5% of plants (0.625kg L. multiflora and 0.625kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB2 = triple bag with 3.99% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 1.60 kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB3 = triple bag with 3.99% of plants (1.60 kg L. multiflora and 0.40 kg H. suaveolens) (w / w); TB4 = triple bag with 1.01% of plants (w / w) (0.10 kg L. multiflora and 0.40 kg H. suaveolens); TB5= triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.10 kg H. suaveolens); TB5= triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.10 kg H. suaveolens); TB5= triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.10 kg H. suaveolens); TB5= triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.10 kg H. suaveolens); TB5= triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.10 kg H. suaveolens); TB5= triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.10 kg H. suaveolens); TB5= triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.10 kg H. suaveolens); TB5= triple bag with 1.01% of plants (0.40 kg L. multiflora and 0.10 kg H. suaveolens); TB7= triple bag with 2.5% of plants (1.25kg L. multiflora); TB8= triple bag with 2.5% of plants (1.25kg H. suaveolens)

5. CONCLUSION

This study contributes to improving corn storage and preserving the health of corn consumers. The results showed that the leaves of *L. multiflora* and *H. suaveolens* in the triple bagging system reduce exposure risk of mycotoxins (Aflatoxin B1, total Aflatoxin, Ochratoxin A, Zearalenone and Fuminosin B1). However, exposure risk to aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) and ochratoxin A (OTA) is low until 15 months of storage in experimental batches. The exposure risk to total aflatoxins (AFT) is low until 10 months of storage. The batches of maize stored in polypropylene bag (TPPB0) and triple bag without plants (TPB0), exposure risk is very high for AFB1, AFT and OTA. The storage technology used is inexpensive, easilv applicable and environmentallv friendly. However, for greater efficiency, it would be important to encourage good post-harvest practices.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. FAOSTAT. Statistical databases on African countries food commodities trade, production, consumption, and utilization. FAO, Rome, Italy; 2018.
- Kouakou K, Akanvou L, Konan A, Mahyao A. Stratégies paysannes de maintien et de gestion de la biodiversité du maïs (Zea mays L.) dans le département de Katiola, Côte d'Ivoire. Journal of Applied Biosciences. 2010;33:2100-2109.
- Beugre GA, Yapo BM, Blei SH, Gnakri D. Effect of fermentation time on the physicochemical properties of maize flour. International Journal of Research Studies in Biosciences. 2014;2:30-38.
- Dedi KJ, Gbéhé S., Youo C. Caractérisation de neuf échantillons de farine de maïs *Zea mays* (L.) vendus sur les marchés d'Adjamé, Yopougon et Abobo en Côte d'Ivoire. J. Appl. Biosci. 2017;115:11434-11440.
- Abass AB, Ndunguru G, Mamiro P, Alenkhe B, Mlingi N, Bekunda M. Postharvest food losses in a maize-based farming system of semi-arid savannah area of Tanzania. J. Stored Prod. Res. 2014; 57:49-57.
- Johnson F, N'ZI KG, Seri-Kouassi, Foua-BI K. Aperçu des Problèmes de Stockage et Incidences des Insectes sur la Conservation du riz et du maïs en Milieux Paysan: cas de la Région de Bouaflé -Côte d'Ivoire. European Journal of Scientific Research. 2012;83(3):349-363.
- Fandohan P, Gnonlonfin B, Hell K, Marasas W0FO, Wingfield MJ. Impact of indigenous storage systems and insect infestation on the contamination of maize with fumonisins. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2005; 5:546-552.
- Kankologo MA, Hell K, Nawa NI. Assessment for fungal, mycotoxin and insect spoilage in maize stored for human consumption in Zambia. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2009; 89(8):1-10.

- Kilonzo RM, Imungi JK, Muiru WM, Lamuka PO, Kamau Njage PM. Household dietary exposure to aflatoxins from maize and maize products in Kenya. Food Additives & Contaminants. 2014; 31(12): 2055-2062.
- Sangaré-Tigori B, Moukha S, Kouadio J, Betbeder A-M, Dano S, Creppy E. Cooccurrence of aflatoxin B1, fuminosin B1, ochratoxin A and zearalenone in cereals and peanuts in Côte d'Ivoire. Food Additives and Contaminants. 2006; 23: 1000-1007.
- Kouadio JH, Lattanzio VMT, Ouattara D, Kouakou B, Visconti A. Assessment of mycotoxin exposure in Côte D'Ivoire (Ivory Coast) through multi-biomarker analysis and possible correlation with food consumption patterns. Toxicol Int. 2014; 21(3):248-257.
- AFSSA, Evaluation des risques liés à la présence de mycotoxines dans les chaînes alimentaires humaine et animale. Rapport final, France. 2009;308.
- 13. Adetunji MC, Atanta C, Chibundu E. Risk assessment of mycotoxins in stored maize grains consumed by infants and young children in Nigeria. Children. 2017; 4(7):58-68.
- 14. Majeed S, Iqbal M, Asi RM, Iqbal ZS. Aflatoxins and ochratoxin a contamination in rice, corn and corn products from Punjab, Pakistan. Journal of Cereal Science. 2013; 58: 446-450.
- Niamketchi L, Biego HM, Sidibe D, Coulibaly A, Konan Y, Chatigre O. Changes in aflatoxins contents of the maize (*Zea Mays* L.) stored in clay granaries with use of biopesticides from rural conditions and estimation of their Intake. International Journal of Environmental & Agriculture Research. 2016;2(5):198-211.
- 16. Ezoua P, Konan C, Coulibaly A, Sidibe D, Niamketchi L, Konan Y, Amane D, Chatigre OK, Biego HM. Daily intake of aflatoxin b1 and ochratoxin a from maize grain (*Zea mays* L.) during the storage with *Lippia multiflora* (Verbenaceae) and *Hyptis suaveolens* (Lamiaceae) Leaves in Côte d'Ivoire. Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research. 2017; 3(4):1-13.
- 17. Yao VG, Biego HM, Konan KC, Niamketchi L, Coulibaly A. Evolution of mycotoxins during maize grains storage in triple bags containing plants biopesticides (*Lippia*)

multiflora and *Hyptis suaveolens*). Asian Food Sciences Journal. 2020; 17(3):22-33.

- Mc Cormick, Determination of water activity. McCormick and Company, Inc. Manual of technical methods and procedures. Baltimore, USA; 2005.
- AOAC. Aflatoxins in corn, raw peanuts and peanut butter: immunoaffinity column (aflatest) method. AOAC International, Method 991.31. Natural toxins, chapter 49. 2005; 21.
- 20. AFNOR. Association Française de Normalisation, NF en 13585. 2002;03-124.
- EC. European Commission. Commission Regulation (EC) No 401/2006 of 28 September of 23 February 2006 laying down the methods of sampling and analysis for the official control of the levels of mycotoxins in foodstuffs. Official Journal of the European Union. L70. 2006; 14-17.
- AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Analytical Chemists.
 17th Edition. Washington, DC, USA; 2000.
- Miraglia M, Brera C. Mycotoxins in grains and related products. In Food Analysis by HPLC. Leo M.L Nollet 2nd eds. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York. Chapter n°. 2000; 12:493-522.
- Kroes R, Muller D, Lambe J, Verger P, Visconti A. Assessment of intake from the diet. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2002; 40:327-385.
- Assidjo A, Sadat C, Akmel D, Akaki E, Elleingand B, Yao L. Analyse des risques : Outils innovants d'amélioration de la sécurité sanitaire des aliments RASPA. 2013;11(6):3-13.
- 26. FAO. Réglementations relatives aux mycotoxines dans les produits d'alimentation humaine et animale, à l'échelle mondiale en 2003. 2003; 188.
- EC. European Commission. Commission Regulation (EC) No 1126/2007 of 28 September 2007 amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs as regards *Fusarium* toxins in maize and maize products. Official Journal of the European Union. L255. 2007;16-17.
- EC. European Commission. Commission Regulation (EC) No 165/2010 of 26 February 2010 amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 setting maximum levels for

certain contaminants in foodstuffs as regards Aflatoxins. Official Journal of the European Union. L50. 2010; 11-12.

- 29. Adjou E, Soumanou M. Efficacité des extraits de plantes dans la lutte contre les moisissures toxinogènes isolées de l'arachide en post-récolte au Benin. Journal of Applied Biosciences. 2013; 70: 5555-5566.
- Baoua I, Laouali A, Ousmane B, Baributsa D, Murdock LL. PICS bags for post-harvest storage of maize grain in West Africa. Journal of Stored Products Research. 2014; 58: 20-28.
- Konan KC, Coulibaly A, Sidibe D, Chatigre O, Biego GHM. Evolution of ochratoxin a contents during storage of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L Walp) bagged pics with lippia multiflora moldenke leaves and estimation of daily intake in adult ivorian. American Journal of Experimental Agriculture. 2016;13(4):1-14
- 32. Pitt JI, Hocking AD. Fungi and food spoilage. Sydney. Academic Press; 1985.
- Niamketchi L, Amané D, Sidibé D, Coulibaly A, Konan Y, Chatigre O, Biego GH. Evolution of ochratoxin A. fuminosin B1 and zearalenone contents during maize (*Zea mays* I.) stored in clay granaries with use of biopesticides from rural conditions and estimating of the daily intake in the ivorian adult. Int. J. of Current Research. 2016; 8(6):33133-33145.
- IARC: Some naturally occurring substances, food items and constituents, heterocyclic aromatic amines and mycotoxins. Monograph on evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. 1993; 56: 489-521. (Lyon, France: IARC)
- Sudakin DL. Dietary aflatoxin exposure and chemoprevention of cancer: a clinical review. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol. 2003; 41(2): 195-204.
- Zhang W, Yufei L, Boheng L, Zhang Y, Zhong X, Luo X, Huang J, Wang Y, Cheng W, Chen K. Probabilistic risk assessment of dietary exposure to aflatoxin B1 in Guangzhou (China). Scientific Reports. 2020; 10(7973):1-9.
- Wu F, Groopman JD, Pestka JJ. Public health impacts of foodborne mycotoxins. Annual Review of Food Science and Technology. 2014;5(1):351-372.
- Bricknett L. Management of mycotoxins in Australian maize. Thesis of University of Queenland. Australia. 2015;219.

39. Codex Alimentarius Commission. Code of practice for the prevention and reduction of mycotoxins contamination in cereals including annexes on ochratoxin A. zearalenone. fumonisins and tricothecenes. FAO/ WHO. The Hague. Netherlands; 2003.

© 2020 Gaël et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/60981